



$$I(J^P) = 0(0^-)$$

I, J, P need confirmation. Quantum numbers shown are quark-model predictions.

B_s^0 MASS

The fit uses m_{B^+} , $(m_{B^0} - m_{B^+})$, $m_{B_s^0}$, and $(m_{B_s^0} - (m_{B^+} + m_{B^0})/2)$ to determine m_{B^+} , m_{B^0} , $m_{B_s^0}$, and the mass differences.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5369.3 ± 2.0 OUR FIT				
5369.6 ± 2.4 OUR AVERAGE				
5369.9 ± 2.3 ± 1.3	32	¹ ABE	96B CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV
5374 ± 16 ± 2	3	ABREU	94D DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
5359 ± 19 ± 7	1	¹ AKERS	94J OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
5368.6 ± 5.6 ± 1.5	2	BUSKULIC	93G ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
5370 ± 40	6	² AKERS	94J OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
5383.3 ± 4.5 ± 5.0	14	ABE	93F CDF	Repl by ABE 96B

¹ From the decay $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\phi$.

² From the decay $B_s \rightarrow D_s^- \pi^+$.

$m_{B_s^0} - m_B$

m_B is the average of our B masses $(m_{B^+} + m_{B^0})/2$. The fits uses m_{B^+} , $(m_{B^0} - m_{B^+})$, $m_{B_s^0}$, and $m_{B_s^0} - m_B$ to determine m_{B^+} , m_{B^0} , $m_{B_s^0}$, and the mass differences.

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
90.2 ± 2.2 OUR FIT				
89.7 ± 2.7 ± 1.2		ABE	96B CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
80 to 130	68	LEE-FRANZINI 90	CSB2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(5S)$

$m_{B_{sH}^0} - m_{B_{sL}^0}$

See the $B_s^0 - \bar{B}_s^0$ MIXING section near the end of these B_s^0 Listings.

B_s^0 MEAN LIFE

“OUR EVALUATION” is an average of the data listed below performed by the LEP B Lifetimes Working Group as described in our review “Production and Decay of b -flavored Hadrons” in the B^\pm Section of the Listings. The averaging procedure takes into account correlations between the measurements and asymmetric lifetime errors.

<u>VALUE (10^{-12} s)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1.54 ± 0.07 OUR EVALUATION				
$1.34^{+0.23}_{-0.19} \pm 0.05$		3 ABE	98B CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV
$1.72^{+0.20+0.18}_{-0.19-0.17}$		4 ACKERSTAFF	98F OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
$1.50^{+0.16}_{-0.15} \pm 0.04$		5 ACKERSTAFF	98G OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
$1.47 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.08$		6 BARATE	98C ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
$1.56^{+0.29+0.08}_{-0.26-0.07}$		5 ABREU	96F DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
$1.65^{+0.34}_{-0.31} \pm 0.12$		6 ABREU	96F DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
$1.76 \pm 0.20^{+0.15}_{-0.10}$		7 ABREU	96F DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
$1.60 \pm 0.26^{+0.13}_{-0.15}$		8 ABREU	96F DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
$1.54^{+0.14}_{-0.13} \pm 0.04$		5 BUSKULIC	96M ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
$1.42^{+0.27}_{-0.23} \pm 0.11$	76	5 ABE	95R CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1.51 ± 0.11		9 BARATE	98C ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
$1.34^{+0.23}_{-0.19} \pm 0.05$		10 ABE	96N CDF	Repl. by ABE 98B
1.67 ± 0.14		11 ABREU	96F DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
$1.61^{+0.30+0.18}_{-0.29-0.16}$	90	6 BUSKULIC	96E ALEP	Repl. by BARATE 98C
$1.74^{+1.08}_{-0.69} \pm 0.07$	8	12 ABE	95R CDF	Sup. by ABE 96N
$1.54^{+0.25}_{-0.21} \pm 0.06$	79	5 AKERS	95G OPAL	Repl. by ACKERSTAFF 98G
$1.59^{+0.17}_{-0.15} \pm 0.03$	134	5 BUSKULIC	95O ALEP	Sup. by BUSKULIC 96M
0.96 ± 0.37	41	13 ABREU	94E DLPH	Sup. by ABREU 96F
$1.92^{+0.45}_{-0.35} \pm 0.04$	31	5 BUSKULIC	94C ALEP	Sup. by BUSKULIC 95O
$1.13^{+0.35}_{-0.26} \pm 0.09$	22	5 ACTON	93H OPAL	Sup. by AKERS 95G

³ Measured using fully reconstructed $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\phi$ decay.

⁴ ACKERSTAFF 98F use fully reconstructed $D_s^- \rightarrow \phi\pi^-$ and $D_s^- \rightarrow K^{*0}K^-$ in the inclusive B_s^0 decay.

⁵ Measured using $D_s^- \ell^+$ vertices.

⁶ Measured using D_s hadron vertices.

⁷ Measured using $\phi\ell$ vertices.

⁸ Measured using inclusive D_s vertices.

⁹ Combined results from $D_s^- \ell^+$ and D_s hadron.

¹⁰ ABE 96N uses 58 ± 12 exclusive $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\phi$ events.

¹¹ Combined result for the four ABREU 96F methods.

¹² Exclusive reconstruction of $B_s^- \rightarrow \psi \phi$.

¹³ ABREU 94E uses the flight-distance distribution of D_s vertices, ϕ -lepton vertices, and $D_s \mu$ vertices.

B_s^0 DECAY MODES

These branching fractions all scale with $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s^0)$, the LEP B_s^0 production fraction. The first four were evaluated using $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s^0) = (10.5^{+1.8}_{-1.7})\%$ and the rest assume $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s^0) = 12\%$.

The branching fraction $B(B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell \text{ anything})$ is not a pure measurement since the measured product branching fraction $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s^0) \times B(B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell \text{ anything})$ was used to determine $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s^0)$, as described in the note on "Production and Decay of b -Flavored Hadrons."

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1 D_s^- anything	(92 \pm 33) %	
Γ_2 $D_s^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ anything	[a] (8.1 \pm 2.5) %	
Γ_3 $D_s^- \pi^+$	< 13 %	
Γ_4 $J/\psi(1S)\phi$	(9.3 \pm 3.3) $\times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_5 $J/\psi(1S)\pi^0$	< 1.2 $\times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_6 $J/\psi(1S)\eta$	< 3.8 $\times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_7 $\psi(2S)\phi$	seen	
Γ_8 $\pi^+ \pi^-$	< 1.7 $\times 10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_9 $\pi^0 \pi^0$	< 2.1 $\times 10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{10} $\eta \pi^0$	< 1.0 $\times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{11} $\eta \eta$	< 1.5 $\times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{12} $\pi^+ K^-$	< 2.1 $\times 10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{13} $K^+ K^-$	< 5.9 $\times 10^{-5}$	90%
Γ_{14} $\rho \bar{\rho}$	< 5.9 $\times 10^{-5}$	90%
Γ_{15} $\gamma \gamma$	< 1.48 $\times 10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{16} $\phi \gamma$	< 7 $\times 10^{-4}$	90%

Lepton Family number (LF) violating modes or $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current ($B1$) modes

Γ_{17} $\mu^+ \mu^-$	$B1$	< 2.0 $\times 10^{-6}$	90%
Γ_{18} $e^+ e^-$	$B1$	< 5.4 $\times 10^{-5}$	90%
Γ_{19} $e^\pm \mu^\mp$	LF [b]	< 4.1 $\times 10^{-5}$	90%
Γ_{20} $\phi \nu \bar{\nu}$	$B1$	< 5.4 $\times 10^{-3}$	90%

[a] Not a pure measurement. See note at head of B_s^0 Decay Modes.

[b] The value is for the sum of the charge states of particle/antiparticle states indicated.

B_s^0 BRANCHING RATIOS **$\Gamma(D_s^- \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** **$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

0.92±0.33 OUR AVERAGE0.81±0.24±0.24 90 14 BUSKULIC 96E ALEP $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ 1.56±0.58±0.47 147 15 ACTON 92N OPAL $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

¹⁴ BUSKULIC 96E separate $c\bar{c}$ and $b\bar{b}$ sources of D_s^+ mesons using a lifetime tag, subtract generic $\bar{b} \rightarrow W^+ \rightarrow D_s^+$ events, and obtain $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s^0) \times B(B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- \text{ anything}) = 0.088 \pm 0.020 \pm 0.020$ assuming $B(D_s \rightarrow \phi\pi) = (3.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$ and PDG 1994 values for the relative partial widths to other D_s channels. We evaluate using our current values $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s^0) = 0.105_{-0.017}^{+0.018}$ and $B(D_s \rightarrow \phi\pi) = 0.036 \pm 0.009$. Our first error is their experiment's and our second error is that due to $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s^0)$ and $B(D_s \rightarrow \phi\pi)$.

¹⁵ ACTON 92N assume that excess of 147 ± 48 D_s^0 events over that expected from B^0 , B^+ , and $c\bar{c}$ is all from B_s^0 decay. The product branching fraction is measured to be $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s^0)B(B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- \text{ anything}) \times B(D_s^- \rightarrow \phi\pi^-) = (5.9 \pm 1.9 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-3}$. We evaluate using our current values $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s^0) = 0.105_{-0.017}^{+0.018}$ and $B(D_s \rightarrow \phi\pi) = 0.036 \pm 0.009$. Our first error is their experiment's and our second error is that due to $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s^0)$ and $B(D_s \rightarrow \phi\pi)$.

 $\Gamma(D_s^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_2/Γ**

The values and averages in this section serve only to show what values result if one assumes our $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s^0)$. They cannot be thought of as measurements since the underlying product branching fractions were also used to determine $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s^0)$ as described in the note on "Production and Decay of b -Flavored Hadrons."

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

0.081±0.025 OUR AVERAGE0.076±0.012±0.022 134 16 BUSKULIC 95O ALEP $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ 0.107±0.043±0.032 17 ABREU 92M DLPH $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ 0.103±0.036±0.031 18 18 ACTON 92N OPAL $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

0.13 ±0.04 ±0.04 27 19 BUSKULIC 92E ALEP $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

¹⁶ BUSKULIC 95O use $D_s \ell$ correlations. The measured product branching ratio is $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s) \times B(B_s \rightarrow D_s^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell \text{ anything}) = (0.82 \pm 0.09_{-0.14}^{+0.13})\%$ assuming $B(D_s \rightarrow \phi\pi) = (3.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$ and PDG 1994 values for the relative partial widths to the six other D_s channels used in this analysis. Combined with results from $\Upsilon(4S)$ experiments this can be used to extract $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s) = (11.0 \pm 1.2_{-2.6}^{+2.5})\%$. We evaluate using our current values $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s^0) = 0.105_{-0.017}^{+0.018}$ and $B(D_s \rightarrow \phi\pi) = 0.036 \pm 0.009$. Our first error is their experiment's and our second error is that due to $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s^0)$ and $B(D_s \rightarrow \phi\pi)$.

¹⁷ ABREU 92M measured muons only and obtained product branching ratio $B(Z \rightarrow b\bar{c}) \times B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s) \times B(B_s \rightarrow D_s \mu^+ \nu_\mu \text{ anything}) \times B(D_s \rightarrow \phi\pi) = (18 \pm 8) \times 10^{-5}$. We evaluate using our current values $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s^0) = 0.105_{-0.017}^{+0.018}$ and $B(D_s \rightarrow \phi\pi) = 0.036 \pm 0.009$. Our first error is their experiment's and our second error is that due

to $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S^0)$ and $B(D_S \rightarrow \phi\pi)$. We use $B(Z \rightarrow b \text{ or } \bar{b}) = 2B(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 2 \times (0.2212 \pm 0.0019)$.

¹⁸ ACTON 92N is measured using $D_S \rightarrow \phi\pi^+$ and $K^*(892)^0 K^+$ events. The product branching fraction measured is measured to be $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S^0)B(B_S^0 \rightarrow D_S^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell \text{ anything}) \times B(D_S^- \rightarrow \phi\pi^-) = (3.9 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$. We evaluate using our current values $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S^0) = 0.105_{-0.017}^{+0.018}$ and $B(D_S \rightarrow \phi\pi) = 0.036 \pm 0.009$. Our first error is their experiment's and our second error is that due to $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S^0)$ and $B(D_S \rightarrow \phi\pi)$.

¹⁹ BUSKULIC 92E is measured using $D_S \rightarrow \phi\pi^+$ and $K^*(892)^0 K^+$ events. They use $2.7 \pm 0.7\%$ for the $\phi\pi^+$ branching fraction. The average product branching fraction is measured to be $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S^0)B(B_S^0 \rightarrow D_S^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell \text{ anything}) = 0.020 \pm 0.0055_{-0.006}^{+0.005}$. We evaluate using our current values $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S^0) = 0.105_{-0.017}^{+0.018}$ and $B(D_S \rightarrow \phi\pi) = 0.036 \pm 0.009$. Our first error is their experiment's and our second error is that due to $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S^0)$ and $B(D_S \rightarrow \phi\pi)$. Superseded by BUSKULIC 95O.

$\Gamma(D_S^- \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.13	6	²⁰ AKERS	94J OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
seen	1	BUSKULIC	93G ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$

²⁰ AKERS 94J sees ≤ 6 events and measures the limit on the product branching fraction $f(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S^0) \cdot B(B_S^0 \rightarrow D_S^- \pi^+) < 1.3\%$ at CL = 90%. We divide by our current value $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S^0) = 0.105$.

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.93 ± 0.28 ± 0.17		²¹ ABE	96Q CDF	$p\bar{p}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<6	1	²² AKERS	94J OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
seen	14	²³ ABE	93F CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV
seen	1	²⁴ ACTON	92N OPAL	Sup. by AKERS 94J

²¹ ABE 96Q assumes $f_u = f_d$ and $f_s/f_u = 0.40 \pm 0.06$. Uses $B \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K$ and $B \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K^*$ branching fractions from PDG 94. They quote two systematic errors, ± 0.10 and ± 0.14 where the latter is the uncertainty in f_s . We combine in quadrature.

²² AKERS 94J sees one event and measures the limit on the product branching fraction $f(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S^0) \cdot B(B_S^0 \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\phi) < 7 \times 10^{-4}$ at CL = 90%. We divide by our current value $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S^0) = 0.112$.

²³ ABE 93F measured using $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-$.

²⁴ In ACTON 92N a limit on the product branching fraction is measured to be $f(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S^0) \cdot B(B_S^0 \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\phi) \leq 0.22 \times 10^{-2}$.

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
<1.2 × 10⁻³	90	²⁵ ACCIARRI	97C L3

²⁵ ACCIARRI 97C assumes B^0 production fraction ($39.5 \pm 4.0\%$) and B_S ($12.0 \pm 3.0\%$).

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<3.8 \times 10^{-3}$	90	²⁶ ACCIARRI	97C L3	

²⁶ ACCIARRI 97C assumes B^0 production fraction ($39.5 \pm 4.0\%$) and B_s ($12.0 \pm 3.0\%$).

 $\Gamma(\psi(2S)\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	1	BUSKULIC	93G ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.7 \times 10^{-4}$	90	²⁷ BUSKULIC	96V ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

²⁷ BUSKULIC 96V assumes PDG 96 production fractions for B^0 , B^+ , B_s , b baryons.

 $\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.1 \times 10^{-4}$	90	²⁸ ACCIARRI	95H L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

²⁸ ACCIARRI 95H assumes $f_{B^0} = 39.5 \pm 4.0$ and $f_{B_s} = 12.0 \pm 3.0\%$.

 $\Gamma(\eta\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	90	²⁹ ACCIARRI	95H L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

²⁹ ACCIARRI 95H assumes $f_{B^0} = 39.5 \pm 4.0$ and $f_{B_s} = 12.0 \pm 3.0\%$.

 $\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.5 \times 10^{-3}$	90	³⁰ ACCIARRI	95H L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

³⁰ ACCIARRI 95H assumes $f_{B^0} = 39.5 \pm 4.0$ and $f_{B_s} = 12.0 \pm 3.0\%$.

 $\Gamma(\pi^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.1 \times 10^{-4}$	90	³¹ BUSKULIC	96V ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<2.6 \times 10^{-4}$	90	³² AKERS	94L OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
-----------------------	----	---------------------	----------	------------------------

³¹ BUSKULIC 96V assumes PDG 96 production fractions for B^0 , B^+ , B_s , b baryons.

³² Assumes $B(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 0.217$ and B_d^0 (B_s^0) fraction 39.5% (12%).

 $\Gamma(K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<5.9 \times 10^{-5}$	90	³³ BUSKULIC	96V ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<1.4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	³⁴ AKERS	94L OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
-----------------------	----	---------------------	----------	------------------------

³³ BUSKULIC 96V assumes PDG 96 production fractions for B^0 , B^+ , B_s , b baryons.

³⁴ Assumes $B(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 0.217$ and B_d^0 (B_s^0) fraction 39.5% (12%).

$\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<5.9 \times 10^{-5}$	90	³⁵ BUSKULIC	96V ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

³⁵ BUSKULIC 96V assumes PDG 96 production fractions for B^0 , B^+ , B_s , b baryons.

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<14.8 \times 10^{-5}$	90	³⁶ ACCIARRI	95I L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

³⁶ ACCIARRI 95I assumes $f_{B^0} = 39.5 \pm 4.0$ and $f_{B_s} = 12.0 \pm 3.0\%$.

 $\Gamma(\phi\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<7 \times 10^{-4}$	90	³⁷ ADAM	96D DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

³⁷ ADAM 96D assumes $f_{B^0} = f_{B^-} = 0.39$ and $f_{B_s} = 0.12$.

 $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

Test for $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.0 \times 10^{-6}$	90	³⁸ ABE	98 CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<3.8 \times 10^{-5}$	90	³⁹ ACCIARRI	97B L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
$<8.4 \times 10^{-6}$	90	⁴⁰ ABE	96L CDF	Repl. by ABE 98

³⁸ ABE 98 assumes production of $\sigma(B^0) = \sigma(B^+)$ and $\sigma(B_s)/\sigma(B^0) = 1/3$. They normalize to their measured $\sigma(B^0, p_T(B) > 6, |y| < 1.0) = 2.39 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.44 \mu\text{b}$.

³⁹ ACCIARRI 97B assume PDG 96 production fractions for B^+ , B^0 , B_s , and Λ_b .

⁴⁰ ABE 96L assumes B^+/B_s production ratio 3/1. They normalize to their measured $\sigma(B^+, p_T(B) > 6 \text{ GeV}/c, |y| < 1) = 2.39 \pm 0.54 \mu\text{b}$.

 $\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ

Test for $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<5.4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	⁴¹ ACCIARRI	97B L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

⁴¹ ACCIARRI 97B assume PDG 96 production fractions for B^+ , B^0 , B_s , and Λ_b .

 $\Gamma(e^\pm\mu^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{19}/Γ

test of lepton family number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<4.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	⁴² ACCIARRI	97B L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

⁴² ACCIARRI 97B assume PDG 96 production fractions for B^+ , B^0 , B_s , and Λ_b .

 $\Gamma(\phi\nu\bar{\nu})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{20}/Γ

Test for $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<5.4 \times 10^{-3}$	90	⁴³ ADAM	96D DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

⁴³ ADAM 96D assumes $f_{B^0} = f_{B^-} = 0.39$ and $f_{B_s} = 0.12$.

POLARIZATION IN B_s^0 DECAY

Γ_L/Γ in $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\phi$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.56 \pm 0.21 \begin{smallmatrix} +0.02 \\ -0.04 \end{smallmatrix}$	19	ABE	95Z CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV

$B_s^0-\bar{B}_s^0$ MIXING

For a discussion of $B_s^0-\bar{B}_s^0$ mixing see the note on " $B^0-\bar{B}^0$ Mixing" in the B^0 Particle Listings above.

χ_s is a measure of the time-integrated $B_s^0-\bar{B}_s^0$ mixing probability that produced $B_s^0(\bar{B}_s^0)$ decays as a $\bar{B}_s^0(B_s^0)$. Mixing violates $\Delta B \neq 2$ rule.

$$\chi_s = \frac{x_s^2}{2(1+x_s^2)}$$

$$\chi_s = \frac{\Delta m_{B_s^0}}{\Gamma_{B_s^0}} = (m_{B_{sH}^0} - m_{B_{sL}^0}) \tau_{B_s^0},$$

where H, L stand for heavy and light states of two B_s^0 CP eigenstates and

$$\tau_{B_s^0} = \frac{1}{0.5(\Gamma_{B_{sH}^0} + \Gamma_{B_{sL}^0})}.$$

χ_B at high energy

This is a $B-\bar{B}$ mixing measurement for an admixture of B^0 and B_s^0 at high energy.

$$\chi_B = f'_d \chi_d + f'_s \chi_s$$

where f'_d and f'_s are the branching ratio times production fractions of B_d^0 and B_s^0 mesons relative to all b -flavored hadrons which decay weakly. Mixing violates $\Delta B \neq 2$ rule.

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.118 ± 0.006					OUR AVERAGE
0.131 ± 0.020 ± 0.016			44 ABE	97I CDF	$p\bar{p}$ 1.8 TeV
0.1107 ± 0.0062 ± 0.0055			45 ALEXANDER	96 OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
0.121 ± 0.016 ± 0.006			46 ABREU	94J DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
0.123 ± 0.012 ± 0.008			ACCIARRI	94D L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
0.114 ± 0.014 ± 0.008			47 BUSKULIC	94G ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
0.129 ± 0.022			48 BUSKULIC	92B ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
0.176 ± 0.031 ± 0.032		1112	49 ABE	91G CDF	$p\bar{p}$ 1.8 TeV
0.148 ± 0.029 ± 0.017			50 ALBAJAR	91D UA1	$p\bar{p}$ 630 GeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.136 ±0.037 ±0.040		51 UENO	96 AMY	e^+e^- at 57.9 GeV
0.144 ±0.014 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.017 \\ -0.011 \end{smallmatrix}$		52 ABREU	94F DLPH	Sup. by ABREU 94J
0.131 ±0.014		53 ABREU	94J DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
0.157 ±0.020 ±0.032		54 ALBAJAR	94 UA1	$\sqrt{s} = 630$ GeV
0.121 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.044 \\ -0.040 \end{smallmatrix}$ ±0.017	1665	55 ABREU	93C DLPH	Sup. by ABREU 94J
0.143 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.022 \\ -0.021 \end{smallmatrix}$ ±0.007		56 AKERS	93B OPAL	Sup. by ALEXANDER 96
0.145 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.041 \\ -0.035 \end{smallmatrix}$ ±0.018		57 ACTON	92C OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
0.121 ±0.017 ±0.006		58 ADEVA	92C L3	Sup. by ACCIARRI 94D
0.132 ±0.22 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.015 \\ -0.012 \end{smallmatrix}$	823	59 DECAMP	91 ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
0.178 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.049 \\ -0.040 \end{smallmatrix}$ ±0.020		60 ADEVA	90P L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
0.17 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.15 \\ -0.08 \end{smallmatrix}$		61,62 WEIR	90 MRK2	e^+e^- 29 GeV
0.21 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.29 \\ -0.15 \end{smallmatrix}$		61 BAND	88 MAC	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 29$ GeV
>0.02	90	61 BAND	88 MAC	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 29$ GeV
0.121 ±0.047		61,63 ALBAJAR	87C UA1	Repl. by ALBAJAR 91D
<0.12	90	61,64 SCHAAD	85 MRK2	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 29$ GeV

⁴⁴ Uses di-muon events.

⁴⁵ ALEXANDER 96 uses a maximum likelihood fit to simultaneously extract χ as well as the forward-backward asymmetries in $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ and $c\bar{c}$.

⁴⁶ This ABREU 94J result is from 5182 $\ell\ell$ and 279 $\Lambda\ell$ events. The systematic error includes 0.004 for model dependence.

⁴⁷ BUSKULIC 94G data analyzed using ee , $e\mu$, and $\mu\mu$ events.

⁴⁸ BUSKULIC 92B uses a jet charge technique combined with electrons and muons.

⁴⁹ ABE 91G measurement of χ is done with $e\mu$ and ee events.

⁵⁰ ALBAJAR 91D measurement of χ is done with dimuons.

⁵¹ UENO 96 extracted χ from the energy dependence of the forward-backward asymmetry.

⁵² ABREU 94F uses the average electric charge sum of the jets recoiling against a b -quark jet tagged by a high p_T muon. The result is for $\bar{\chi} = f_d\chi_d + 0.9f_s\chi_s$.

⁵³ This ABREU 94J result combines $\ell\ell$, $\Lambda\ell$, and jet-charge ℓ (ABREU 94F) analyses. It is for $\bar{\chi} = f_d\chi_d + 0.96f_s\chi_s$.

⁵⁴ ALBAJAR 94 uses dimuon events. Not independent of ALBAJAR 91D.

⁵⁵ ABREU 93C data analyzed using ee , $e\mu$, and $\mu\mu$ events.

⁵⁶ AKERS 93B analysis performed using dilepton events.

⁵⁷ ACTON 92C uses electrons and muons. Superseded by AKERS 93B.

⁵⁸ ADEVA 92C uses electrons and muons.

⁵⁹ DECAMP 91 done with opposite and like-sign dileptons. Superseded by BUSKULIC 92B.

⁶⁰ ADEVA 90P measurement uses ee , $\mu\mu$, and $e\mu$ events from 118k events at the Z . Superseded by ADEVA 92C.

⁶¹ These experiments are not in the average because the combination of B_s and B_d mesons which they see could differ from those at higher energy.

⁶² The WEIR 90 measurement supersedes the limit obtained in SCHAAD 85. The 90% CL are 0.06 and 0.38.

⁶³ ALBAJAR 87C measured $\chi = (\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow B^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ X)$ divided by the average production weighted semileptonic branching fraction for B hadrons at 546 and 630 GeV.

⁶⁴ Limit is average probability for hadron containing B quark to produce a positive lepton.

$$\Delta m_{B_s^0} = m_{B_s^0 H} - m_{B_s^0 L}$$

$\Delta m_{B_s^0}$ is a measure of 2π times the $B_s^0 - \bar{B}_s^0$ oscillation frequency in time-dependent mixing experiments.

"OUR EVALUATION" is an average of the data listed below performed by the LEP B Oscillation Working Group as described in our review "Production and Decays of B -flavored Hadrons" in the B^\pm Section of these Listings. The averaging procedure takes into account correlations between the measurements.

VALUE ($10^{12} \text{ } \hbar \text{ s}^{-1}$)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
---------------------------------------------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

>9.1 (CL = 95%) OUR EVALUATION

>7.9	95	65 BARATE	98C ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
------	----	-----------	----------	-------------------------

>3.1	95	66 ACKERSTAFF	97U OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
------	----	---------------	----------	-------------------------

>6.5	95	67 ADAM	97 DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
------	----	---------	---------	-------------------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>2.2	95	68 ACKERSTAFF	97V OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
------	----	---------------	----------	-------------------------

>6.6	95	69 BUSKULIC	96M ALEP	Repl. by BARATE 98C
------	----	-------------	----------	---------------------

>2.2	95	68 AKERS	95J OPAL	Sup. by ACKER-STAFF 97V
------	----	----------	----------	-------------------------

>5.7	95	70 BUSKULIC	95J ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
------	----	-------------	----------	-------------------------

>1.8	95	68 BUSKULIC	94B ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
------	----	-------------	----------	-------------------------

⁶⁵ BARATE 98C combines results from $D_s h\text{-}l/Q_{\text{hem}}$, $D_s h\text{-}K$ in the same side, $D_s l\text{-}l/Q_{\text{hem}}$ and $D_s l\text{-}K$ in the same side.

⁶⁶ Uses $l\text{-}Q_{\text{hem}}$.

⁶⁷ ADAM 97 combines results from $D_s l\text{-}Q_{\text{hem}}$, $l\text{-}Q_{\text{hem}}$, and $l\text{-}l$.

⁶⁸ Uses $l\text{-}l$.

⁶⁹ BUSKULIC 96M uses D_s lepton correlations and lepton, kaon, and jet charge tags.

⁷⁰ BUSKULIC 95J uses $l\text{-}Q_{\text{hem}}$. They find $\Delta m_s > 5.6$ [> 6.1] for $f_s=10\%$ [12%]. We interpolate to our central value $f_s=10.5\%$.

$$x_s = \Delta m_{B_s^0} / \Gamma_{B_s^0}$$

This is derived from "OUR EVALUATION" of $\Delta m_{B_s^0}$ measurements and $\tau_{B_s^0} = 1.54 \text{ ps}$, our central value.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID
-------	-----	-------------

>14.0 (CL = 95%) OUR EVALUATION

χ_s

This $B_s^0 - \bar{B}_s^0$ integrated mixing parameter is derived from x_s above.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID
-------	-----	-------------

>0.4975 (CL = 95%) OUR EVALUATION

B_s^0 REFERENCES

ABE	98	PR D57 R3811	F. Abe+	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	98B	PR D57 5382	F. Abe+	(CDF Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	98F	EPJ C2 407	K. Ackerstaff+	(OPAL Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	98G	PL B426 161	K. Ackerstaff+	(OPAL Collab.)
BARATE	98C	EPJ C (to be publ.)	R. Barate+	(ALEPH Collab.)
CERN-PPE/97-157				
ABE	97I	PR D55 2546	F. Abe+	(CDF Collab.)
ACCIARRI	97B	PL B391 474	M. Acciarri+	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	97C	PL B391 481	M. Acciarri+	(L3 Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97U	ZPHY C76 401	K. Ackerstaff+	(OPAL Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97V	ZPHY C76 417	K. Ackerstaff+	(OPAL Collab.)
ADAM	97	PL B414 382	W. Adam+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABE	96B	PR D53 3496	+Albrow, Amendolia, Amidei+	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	96L	PRL 76 4675	+Akimoto, Akopian, Albrow+	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	96N	PRL 77 1945	+Akimoto, Akopian, Albrow+	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	96Q	PR D54 6596	+Akimoto, Akopian, Albrow+	(CDF Collab.)
ABREU	96F	ZPHY C71 11	+Adam, Adye, Agasi+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ADAM	96D	ZPHY C72 207	W. Adam+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ALEXANDER	96	ZPHY C70 357	+Allison, Altekamp+	(OPAL Collab.)
BUSKULIC	96E	ZPHY C69 585	+Casper, De Bonis, Decamp+	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	96M	PL B377 205	+De Bonis, Decamp, Ghez+	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	96V	PL B384 471	+De Bonis, Decamp, Ghez+	(ALEPH Collab.)
PDG	96	PR D54 1		
UENO	96	PL B381 365	+Kanda, Olsen, Kirk+	(AMY Collab.)
ABE	95R	PRL 74 4988	+Albrow, Amendolia, Amidei+	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	95Z	PRL 75 3068	+Albrow, Amendolia, Amidei+	(CDF Collab.)
ACCIARRI	95H	PL B363 127	+Adam, Adriani, Aguilar-Benitez+	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	95I	PL B363 137	+Adam, Adriani, Aguilar-Benitez+	(L3 Collab.)
AKERS	95G	PL B350 273	+Alexander, Allison, Ametewee+	(OPAL Collab.)
AKERS	95J	ZPHY C66 555	+Alexander, Allison, Ametewee+	(OPAL Collab.)
BUSKULIC	95J	PL B356 409	+Casper, De Bonis, Decamp+	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	95O	PL B361 221	+Casper, De Bonis, Decamp+	(ALEPH Collab.)
ABREU	94D	PL B324 500	+Adam, Adye, Agasi, Aleksan+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	94E	ZPHY C61 407	+Adam, Adye, Agasi, Aleksan+	(DELPHI Collab.)
Also	92M	PL B289 199	Abreu, Adam, Adye, Agasi, Alekseev+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	94F	PL B322 459	+Adam, Adye, Agasi, Ajinenko+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	94J	PL B332 488	+Adam, Adye, Agasi, Ajinenko+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	94D	PL B335 542	+Adam, Adriani, Aguilar-Benitez, Ahlen+	(L3 Collab.)
AKERS	94J	PL B337 196	+Alexander, Allison, Anderson, Arcelli+	(OPAL Collab.)
AKERS	94L	PL B337 393	+Alexander, Allison, Anderson, Arcelli+	(OPAL Collab.)
ALBAJAR	94	ZPHY C61 41	+Ankoviak, Bartha, Bezaguet, Boehrer+	(UA1 Collab.)
BUSKULIC	94B	PL B322 441	+De Bonis, Decamp, Ghez, Goy, Lees+	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	94C	PL B322 275	+De Bonis, Decamp, Ghez, Goy, Lees+	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	94G	ZPHY C62 179	+Casper, De Bonis, Decamp, Ghez+	(ALEPH Collab.)
PDG	94	PR D50 1173	Montanet+	(CERN, LBL, BOST, IFIC+)
ABE	93F	PRL 71 1685	+Albrow, Amidei, Anway-Wiese+	(CDF Collab.)
ABREU	93C	PL B301 145	+Adam, Adye, Agasi, Aleksan+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACTON	93H	PL B312 501	+Akers, Alexander, Allison, Anderson+	(OPAL Collab.)
AKERS	93B	ZPHY C60 199	+Alexander, Allison, Anderson, Arcelli+	(OPAL Collab.)
BUSKULIC	93G	PL B311 425	+De Bonis, Decamp, Ghez, Goy, Lees+	(ALEPH Collab.)
ABREU	92M	PL B289 199	+Adam, Adye, Agasi, Alekseev+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACTON	92C	PL B276 379	+Alexander, Allison, Allport, Anderson+	(OPAL Collab.)
ACTON	92N	PL B295 357	+Alexander, Allison, Allport, Anderson+	(OPAL Collab.)
ADEVA	92C	PL B288 395	+Adriani, Aguilar-Benitez, Ahlen+	(L3 Collab.)
BUSKULIC	92B	PL B284 177	+Decamp, Goy, Lees, Minard+	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	92E	PL B294 145	+Decamp, Goy, Lees, Minard+	(ALEPH Collab.)
ABE	91G	PRL 67 3351	+Amidei, Apollinari, Atac, Auchincloss+	(CDF Collab.)
ALBAJAR	91D	PL B262 171	+Albrow, Allkofer, Ankoviak, Apsimon+	(UA1 Collab.)
DECAMP	91	PL B258 236	+Deschizeaux, Goy, Lees, Minard+	(ALEPH Collab.)
ADEVA	90P	PL B252 703	+Adriani, Aguilar-Benitez, Akbari, Alcaraz+	(L3 Collab.)
LEE-FRANZINI	90	PRL 65 2947	+Heintz, Lovelock, Narain, Schamberger+	(CUSB II Collab.)
WEIR	90	PL B240 289	+Abrams, Adolphsen, Alexander, Alvarez+	(Mark II Collab.)
BAND	88	PL B200 221	+Camporesi, Chadwick+	(MAC Collab.)
ALBAJAR	87C	PL B186 247	+Albrow, Allkofer, Arnison+	(UA1 Collab.)
SCHAAD	85	PL 160B 188	+Nelson, Abrams, Amidei+	(Mark II Collab.)