$$D^{*\pm}_s \qquad\qquad I(J^P) = 0(?^?)$$

J^P is natural, width and decay modes consistent with 1^-.

$D^{*\pm}_s$ MASS

The fit includes $D^\pm$, D^0, $D^\pm_s$, $D^{*\pm}$, D^{*0}, and $D^{*\pm}_s$ mass and mass difference measurements.

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2112.4±0.7 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
2106.6±2.1±2.7	[1] BLAYLOCK 87	MRK3	$e^+e^- \to D^\pm_s \gamma X$

[1] Assuming $D^\pm_s$ mass $= 1968.7 \pm 0.9$ MeV.

$m_{D^{*\pm}_s} - m_{D^\pm_s}$

The fit includes $D^\pm$, D^0, $D^\pm_s$, $D^{*\pm}$, D^{*0}, and $D^{*\pm}_s$ mass and mass difference measurements.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
143.8 ± 0.4 OUR FIT				
143.9 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE				
143.76± 0.39±0.40		GRONBERG 95	CLE2	e^+e^-
144.22± 0.47±0.37		BROWN 94	CLE2	e^+e^-
142.5 ± 0.8 ±1.5		[2] ALBRECHT 88	ARG	$e^+e^- \to D^\pm_s \gamma X$
139.5 ± 8.3 ±9.7	60	AIHARA 84D	TPC	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
143.0 ±18.0	8	ASRATYAN 85	HLBC	FNAL 15-ft, $\nu\text{-}^2\text{H}$
110 ±46		BRANDELIK 79	DASP	$e^+e^- \to D^\pm_s \gamma X$

[2] Result includes data of ALBRECHT 84B.

$D^{*\pm}_s$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 1.9	90	GRONBERG 95	CLE2	e^+e^-
< 4.5	90	ALBRECHT 88	ARG	$E^{ee}_{cm} = 10.2$ GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
< 4.9	90	BROWN 94	CLE2	e^+e^-
<22	90	BLAYLOCK 87	MRK3	$e^+e^- \to D^\pm_s \gamma X$

D_s^{*+} DECAY MODES

D_s^{*-} modes are charge conjugates of the modes below.

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$D_s^+\gamma$	(94.2 ± 2.5) %
Γ_2	$D_s^+\pi^0$	(5.8 ± 2.5) %

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to a branching ratio uses 1 measurements and one constraint to determine 2 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 0.0$ for 0 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\left\langle\delta x_i\delta x_j\right\rangle/(\delta x_i\cdot\delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

$$x_2 \quad \boxed{\begin{array}{l} -100 \end{array}}$$
$$\qquad\quad x_1$$

D_s^{*+} BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(D_s^+\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\hfill \Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.942±0.026 OUR FIT				

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen	ASRATYAN	91	HLBC	$\overline{\nu}_\mu$ Ne
seen	ALBRECHT	88	ARG	$e^+e^- \to D_s^\pm\gamma X$
seen	AIHARA	84D		
seen	ALBRECHT	84B		
seen	BRANDELIK	79		

$\Gamma(D_s^+\pi^0)/\Gamma(D_s^+\gamma)$ $\hfill \Gamma_2/\Gamma_1$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.062±0.029 OUR FIT				
$0.062^{+0.020}_{-0.018}\pm0.022$	GRONBERG	95	CLE2	e^+e^-

$D_s^{*\pm}$ REFERENCES

GRONBERG	95	PRL 75 3232	+Korte, Kutschke+	(CLEO Collab.)
BROWN	94	PR D50 1884	+Fast, McIlwain, Miao+	(CLEO Collab.)
ASRATYAN	91	PL B257 525	+Marage+(ITEP, BELG, SACL, SERP, CRAC, BARI, CERN)
ALBRECHT	88	PL B207 349	+Binder, Boeckmann+	(ARGUS Collab.)
BLAYLOCK	87	PRL 58 2171	+Bolton, Brown, Bunnell+	(Mark III Collab.)
ASRATYAN	85	PL 156B 441	+Fedotov, Ammosov, Burtovoy+	(ITEP, SERP)
AIHARA	84D	PRL 53 2465	+Alston-Garnjost, Badtke, Bakken+	(TPC Collab.)
ALBRECHT	84B	PL 146B 111	+Drescher, Heller+	(ARGUS Collab.)
BRANDELIK	79	PL 80B 412	+Braunschweig, Martyn, Sander+	(DASP Collab.)

———— **OTHER RELATED PAPERS** ————

KAMAL	92	PL B284 421	+Xu	(ALBE)
BRANDELIK	78C	PL 76B 361	+Cords+	(DASP Collab.)
BRANDELIK	77B	PL 70B 132	+Braunschweig, Martyn, Sander+	(DASP Collab.)