



$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$  Status: \*\*\*

According to the quark model, the  $\Xi_c^+$  (quark content *usc*) and  $\Xi_c^0$  form an isospin doublet, and the spin-parity ought to be  $J^P = 1/2^+$ . None of  $I$ ,  $J$ , or  $P$  has actually been measured.

## $\Xi_c^+$ MASS

The fit uses the  $\Xi_c^+$  and  $\Xi_c^0$  mass and mass-difference measurements.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>2465.6 ± 1.4 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>2465.9 ± 1.4 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
2467.0 ± 1.6 ± 2.0	147	EDWARDS	96 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$
2464.4 ± 2.0 ± 1.4	30	FRABETTI	93B E687	$\gamma Be, \bar{E}_\gamma = 220$ GeV
2465.1 ± 3.6 ± 1.9	30	ALBRECHT	90F ARG	$e^+ e^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$
2467 ± 3 ± 4	23	ALAM	89 CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ 10.6 GeV
2466.5 ± 2.7 ± 1.2	5	BARLAG	89C ACCM	$\pi^- Cu$ 230 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2459 ± 5 ± 30	56	<sup>1</sup> COTEUS	87 SPEC	$nA \simeq 600$ GeV
2460 ± 25	82	BIAGI	83 SPEC	$\Sigma^- Be$ 135 GeV

<sup>1</sup> Although COTEUS 87 claims to agree well with BIAGI 83 on the mass and width, there appears to be a discrepancy between the two experiments. BIAGI 83 sees a single peak (stated significance about 6 standard deviations) in the  $\Lambda K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$  mass spectrum. COTEUS 87 sees two peaks in the same spectrum, one at the  $\Xi_c^+$  mass, the other 75 MeV lower. The latter is attributed to  $\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^0 K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \rightarrow (\Lambda \gamma) K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ , with the  $\gamma$  unseen. The *combined* significance of the double peak is stated to be 5.5 standard deviations. But the absence of any trace of a lower peak in BIAGI 83 seems to us to throw into question the interpretation of the lower peak of COTEUS 87.

## $\Xi_c^+$ MEAN LIFE

VALUE ( $10^{-12}$ s)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.35 <math>^{+0.07}_{-0.04}</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.41 $^{+0.11}_{-0.08}$ ± 0.02	30	FRABETTI	93B E687	$\gamma Be, \bar{E}_\gamma = 220$ GeV
0.20 $^{+0.11}_{-0.06}$	6	BARLAG	89C ACCM	$\pi^- (K^-) Cu$ 230 GeV
0.40 $^{+0.18}_{-0.12}$ ± 0.10	102	COTEUS	87 SPEC	$nA \simeq 600$ GeV
0.48 $^{+0.21}_{-0.15}$ $^{+0.20}_{-0.10}$	53	BIAGI	85C SPEC	$\Sigma^- Be$ 135 GeV

$\Xi_c^+$  DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1 \Lambda K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \Lambda \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^+$	not seen
$\Gamma_3 \Sigma(1385)^+ K^- \pi^+$	not seen
$\Gamma_4 \Sigma^+ K^- \pi^+$	seen
$\Gamma_5 \Sigma^+ \bar{K}^*(892)^0$	seen
$\Gamma_6 \Sigma^0 K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$	seen
$\Gamma_7 \Xi^0 \pi^+$	seen
$\Gamma_8 \Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$	seen
$\Gamma_9 \Xi(1530)^0 \pi^+$	not seen
$\Gamma_{10} \Xi^0 \pi^+ \pi^0$	seen
$\Gamma_{11} \Xi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_{12} \Xi^0 e^+ \nu_e$	seen

 $\Xi_c^+$  BRANCHING RATIOS

$$\Gamma(\Lambda K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	56	COTEUS	87	SPEC $nA \simeq 600 \text{ GeV}$
seen	82	<sup>2</sup> BIAGI	83	SPEC $\Sigma^- \text{Be } 135 \text{ GeV}$

<sup>2</sup> BIAGI 85B looks for but does not see the  $\Xi_c^+$  in  $pK^- \bar{K}^0 \pi^+$  ( $\Gamma(pK^- \bar{K}^0 \pi^+) / \Gamma(\Lambda K^- \pi^+ \pi^+) < 0.08$  with 90% CL),  $p2K^- 2\pi^+$  ( $\Gamma(p2K^- 2\pi^+) / \Gamma(\Lambda K^- \pi^+ \pi^+) < 0.03$ , 90% CL),  $\Omega^- K^+ \pi^+$ ,  $\Lambda K^{*0} \pi^+$ , and  $\Sigma(1385)^+ K^- \pi^+$ .

$$\Gamma(\Lambda K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+) \quad \Gamma_1/\Gamma_8$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.58 ± 0.16 ± 0.07</b>	61	BERGFELD	96	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$$\Gamma(\Lambda \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^+)/\Gamma(\Lambda K^- \pi^+ \pi^+) \quad \Gamma_2/\Gamma_1$$

Unseen decay modes of the  $\bar{K}^*(892)^0$  are included.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.5	90	BERGFELD	96	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^+ K^- \pi^+)/\Gamma(\Lambda K^- \pi^+ \pi^+) \quad \Gamma_3/\Gamma_1$$

Unseen decay modes of the  $\Sigma(1385)^+$  are included.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.7	90	BERGFELD	96	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ K^- \pi^+)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+) \quad \Gamma_4/\Gamma_8$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1.18 ± 0.26 ± 0.17</b>	119	BERGFELD	96	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.09^{+0.13+0.03}_{-0.06-0.02}$	5	BARLAG	89C ACCM	$2 \Sigma^+ K^- \pi^+, 3 \Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
----------------------------------	---	--------	----------	---

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \bar{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$  $\Gamma_5/\Gamma_8$ Unseen decay modes of the  $\bar{K}^*(892)^0$  are included.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.92±0.27±0.14</b>	61	BERGFELD	96	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
seen	59	AVERY	95	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^0 K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)/\Gamma(\Lambda K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$  $\Gamma_6/\Gamma_1$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.84±0.36</b>	47	<sup>3</sup> COTEUS	87	SPEC $nA \simeq 600 \text{ GeV}$

<sup>3</sup> See, however, the note on the COTEUS 87  $\Xi_c^+$  mass measurement. $\Gamma(\Xi^0 \pi^+)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$  $\Gamma_7/\Gamma_8$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.55±0.13±0.09</b>	39	EDWARDS	96	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$

 $\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_8/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>seen</b>	131	BERGFELD	96	$e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$
<b>seen</b>	160	AVERY	95	$e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$
<b>seen</b>	30	FRABETTI	93B E687	$\gamma \text{Be}, \bar{E}_\gamma = 220 \text{ GeV}$
<b>seen</b>	30	ALBRECHT	90F ARG	$e^+ e^- \text{ at } \gamma(4S)$
<b>seen</b>	23	ALAM	89	CLEO $e^+ e^- 10.6 \text{ GeV}$

 $\Gamma(\Xi(1530)^0 \pi^+)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$  $\Gamma_9/\Gamma_8$ Unseen decay modes of the  $\Xi(1530)^0$  are included.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&lt;0.2</b>	90	BERGFELD	96	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$

 $\Gamma(\Xi^0 \pi^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$  $\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma_8$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>2.34±0.57±0.37</b>	81	EDWARDS	96	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$

 $\Gamma(\Xi(1530)^0 \pi^+)/\Gamma(\Xi^0 \pi^+ \pi^0)$  $\Gamma_9/\Gamma_{10}$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&lt;0.3</b>	90	EDWARDS	96	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$

 $\Gamma(\Xi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$  $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma_8$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>1.74±0.42±0.27</b>	57	EDWARDS	96	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$

 $\Gamma(\Xi^0 e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$  $\Gamma_{12}/\Gamma_8$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>2.3±0.6<sup>+0.3</sup><sub>-0.6</sub></b>	41	ALEXANDER	95B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$

$\Xi_c^+$  REFERENCES

BERGFELD	96	PL B365 431	+Eisenstein, Ernst+	(CLEO Collab.)
EDWARDS	96	PL B373 261	+McLean, Ogg+	(CLEO Collab.)
ALEXANDER	95B	PRL 74 3113	+Bebek, Berkelman+	(CLEO Collab.)
Also	95E	PRL 75 4155 (erratum)		
AVERY	95	PRL 75 4364	+Freyberger, Lingel+	(CLEO Collab.)
FRAEBETTI	93B	PRL 70 1381	+Cheung, Cumalat+	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
ALBRECHT	90F	PL B247 121	+Ehrlichmann, Harder, Kruger, Nau+	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALAM	89	PL B226 401	+Katayama, Kim, Li, Lou, Sun+	(CLEO Collab.)
BARLAG	89C	PL B233 522	+Boehringer, Bosman+	(ACCMOR Collab.)
COTEUS	87	PRL 59 1530	+Binkley+	(FNAL E400 Collab.)
BIAGI	85B	ZPHY C28 175	+Bourquin, Britten+	(CERN WA62 Collab.)
BIAGI	85C	PL 150B 230	+Bourquin, Britten+	(CERN WA62 Collab.)
BIAGI	83	PL 122B 455	+Bourquin, Britten+	(CERN WA62 Collab.)