

**Z Boson – THIS IS PART 2 OF 2**

To reduce the size of this section's PostScript file, we have divided it into two PostScript files. We present the following index:

## PART 1

Page #	Section name
1	Mass
17	Width
18	Decay modes
19	Partial widths
21	Branching ratios

## PART 2

Page #	Section name
35	Average particle multiplicities in hadronic $z$ decay
42	Hadronic pole cross section
42	Vector couplings to charged leptons
44	Axial-vector couplings to charged leptons
45	Couplings to neutral leptons
46	Asymmetry parameters
48	Transverse spin correlations
49	Charge asymmetry
55	References

**AVERAGE PARTICLE MULTIPLICITIES IN HADRONIC Z DECAY**

Summed over particle and antiparticle, when appropriate.

 $\langle N_{\pi^\pm} \rangle$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>17.05 ± 0.43</b>	AKERS	94P OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV

 $\langle N_{\pi^0} \rangle$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>9.79 ± 0.28 OUR AVERAGE</b>			
9.63 ± 0.13 ± 0.63	BARATE	97J ALEP	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
9.90 ± 0.02 ± 0.33	ACCIARRI	96 L3	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
9.2 ± 0.2 ± 1.0	ADAM	96 DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
9.18 ± 0.03 ± 0.73	ACCIARRI	94B L3	Repl. by ACCIARRI 96

 $\langle N_\eta \rangle$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.93 ± 0.01 ± 0.09</b>	ACCIARRI	96 L3	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.91 ± 0.02 ± 0.11	ACCIARRI	94B L3	Repl. by ACCIARRI 96
0.298 ± 0.023 ± 0.021	<sup>103</sup> BUSKULIC	92D ALEP	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
<sup>103</sup> BUSKULIC 92D obtain this value for $x > 0.1$ .			

 $\langle N_{\rho^0} \rangle$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1.30 ± 0.12 OUR AVERAGE</b>			
1.45 ± 0.06 ± 0.20	BUSKULIC	96H ALEP	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
1.21 ± 0.04 ± 0.15	ABREU	95L DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1.43 ± 0.12 ± 0.22	ABREU	93 DLPH	Repl. by ABREU 95L

 $\langle N_\omega \rangle$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1.11 ± 0.11 OUR AVERAGE</b>			
1.17 ± 0.09 ± 0.15	ACCIARRI	97D L3	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
1.07 ± 0.06 ± 0.13	BUSKULIC	96H ALEP	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV

 $\langle N_{\eta'} \rangle$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.25 ± 0.04</b>	<sup>104</sup> ACCIARRI	97D L3	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.068 ± 0.018 ± 0.016	<sup>105</sup> BUSKULIC	92D ALEP	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
<sup>104</sup> ACCIARRI 97D obtain this value averaging over the two decay channels $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$ and $\eta' \rightarrow \rho^0 \gamma$ .			
<sup>105</sup> BUSKULIC 92D obtain this value for $x > 0.1$ .			

$\langle N_{f_0(980)} \rangle$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.098 \pm 0.016$	<sup>106</sup> ABREU	95L DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
$0.10 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.019$	<sup>107</sup> ABREU	93 DLPH	Repl. by ABREU 95L

<sup>106</sup> ABREU 95L obtain this value for  $0.05 < x < 0.6$ .

<sup>107</sup> ABREU 93 obtain this value for  $x > 0.05$ .

$\langle N_\phi \rangle$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

**0.108 ± 0.006 OUR AVERAGE** Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.


$0.104 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.007$	ABREU	96U DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
-----------------------------	-------	----------	--------------------------

$0.122 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.008$	BUSKULIC	96H ALEP	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
-----------------------------	----------	----------	--------------------------

$0.100 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.007$	AKERS	95X OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
-----------------------------	-------	----------	--------------------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.086 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.010$	ACTON	92O OPAL	Repl. by AKERS 95X
-----------------------------	-------	----------	--------------------



$\langle N_\phi \rangle$

$\langle N_{f_2(1270)} \rangle$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.170 \pm 0.043$	<sup>108</sup> ABREU	95L DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
-------------------	----------------------	----------	--------------------------

$0.11 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.03$	<sup>109</sup> ABREU	93 DLPH	Repl. by ABREU 95L
--------------------------	----------------------	---------	--------------------

<sup>108</sup> ABREU 95L obtain this value for  $x > 0.05$ .

<sup>109</sup> ABREU 93 obtain this value for  $x > 0.1$ .

$\langle N_{f_2'(1525)} \rangle$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.020 ± 0.005 ± 0.006</b>	ABREU	96C DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV

 $\langle N_{K^\pm} \rangle$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>2.37 ± 0.11 OUR AVERAGE</b>			
2.26 ± 0.01 ± 0.18	ABREU	95F DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
2.42 ± 0.13	AKERS	94P OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV

 $\langle N_{K^0} \rangle$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>2.013 ± 0.023 OUR AVERAGE</b>			
2.024 ± 0.006 ± 0.042	ACCIARRI	97L L3	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
1.962 ± 0.022 ± 0.056	ABREU	95L DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
1.99 ± 0.01 ± 0.04	AKERS	95U OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
2.061 ± 0.047	BUSKULIC	94K ALEP	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
2.04 ± 0.02 ± 0.14	ACCIARRI	94B L3	Repl. by ACCIARRI 97L
2.12 ± 0.05 ± 0.04	ABREU	92G DLPH	Repl. by ABREU 95L

 $\langle N_{K^*(892)^\pm} \rangle$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.72 ± 0.05 OUR AVERAGE</b>			
0.712 ± 0.031 ± 0.059	ABREU	95L DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
0.72 ± 0.02 ± 0.08	ACTON	93 OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1.33 ± 0.11 ± 0.24	ABREU	92G DLPH	Repl. by ABREU 95L

 $\langle N_{K^*(892)^0} \rangle$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.752 ± 0.025 OUR AVERAGE</b>			
0.74 ± 0.02 ± 0.02	ACKERSTAFF	97S OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
0.77 ± 0.02 ± 0.07	ABREU	96U DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
0.83 ± 0.01 ± 0.09	BUSKULIC	96H ALEP	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
0.97 ± 0.18 ± 0.31	ABREU	93 DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.74 ± 0.03 ± 0.03	AKERS	95X OPAL	Repl. by ACKERSTAFF 97S

 $\langle N_{K_2^*(1430)} \rangle$ 


VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.079 ± 0.026 ± 0.031</b>	ABREU	96U DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.19 ± 0.04 ± 0.06	<sup>110</sup> AKERS	95X OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV

<sup>110</sup> AKERS 95X obtain this value for  $x < 0.3$ .

$\langle N_{D^\pm} \rangle$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.187±0.020 OUR AVERAGE</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.		
0.170±0.009±0.014	ALEXANDER	96R OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
0.251±0.026±0.025	BUSKULIC	94J ALEP	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
0.199±0.019±0.024	<sup>111</sup> ABREU	93I DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV

<sup>111</sup> See ABREU 95 (erratum).



$\langle N_{D^0} \rangle$

$\langle N_{D^0} \rangle$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.462±0.026 OUR AVERAGE</b>			
0.465±0.017±0.027	ALEXANDER	96R OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
0.518±0.052±0.035	BUSKULIC	94J ALEP	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
0.403±0.038±0.044	<sup>112</sup> ABREU	93I DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV

<sup>112</sup> See ABREU 95 (erratum).

$\langle N_{D_s^\pm} \rangle$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.131±0.010±0.018</b>	ALEXANDER	96R OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV

$\langle N_{D^*(2010)^\pm} \rangle$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

**0.183 ± 0.008 OUR AVERAGE**

0.1854 ± 0.0041 ± 0.0091	113 ACKERSTAFF	98E OPAL	$E_{\text{cm}}^{ee} = 91.2 \text{ GeV}$
--------------------------	----------------	----------	---

0.187 ± 0.015 ± 0.013	BUSKULIC	94J ALEP	$E_{\text{cm}}^{ee} = 91.2 \text{ GeV}$
-----------------------	----------	----------	---

0.171 ± 0.012 ± 0.016	114 ABREU	93I DLPH	$E_{\text{cm}}^{ee} = 91.2 \text{ GeV}$
-----------------------	-----------	----------	---

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.183 ± 0.009 ± 0.011	115 AKERS	95O OPAL	Repl. by ACKERSTAFF 98E
-----------------------	-----------	----------	-------------------------

113 ACKERSTAFF 98E systematic error includes an uncertainty of  $\pm 0.0069$  due to the branching ratios  $B(D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+) = 0.683 \pm 0.014$  and  $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+) = 0.0383 \pm 0.0012$ .

114 See ABREU 95 (erratum).

115 AKERS 95O systematic error includes an uncertainty of  $\pm 0.008$  due to the  $D^{*\pm}$  and  $D^0$  branching ratios [they use  $B(D^* \rightarrow D^0 \pi) = 0.681 \pm 0.016$  and  $B(D^0 \rightarrow K \pi) = 0.0401 \pm 0.0014$  to obtain this measurement].

 $\langle N_{D_{s1}(2536)^+} \rangle$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$2.9^{+0.7}_{-0.6} \pm 0.2$	116 ACKERSTAFF	97W OPAL	$E_{\text{cm}}^{ee} = 91.2 \text{ GeV}$
-----------------------------	----------------	----------	---

116 ACKERSTAFF 97W obtain this value for  $x > 0.6$  and with the assumption that its decay width is saturated by the  $D^* K$  final states.

 $\langle N_{B^*} \rangle$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

<b>0.28 ± 0.01 ± 0.03</b>	117 ABREU	95R DLPH	$E_{\text{cm}}^{ee} = 91.2 \text{ GeV}$
---------------------------	-----------	----------	---

117 ABREU 95R quote this value for a flavor-averaged excited state.

 $\langle N_{J/\psi(1S)} \rangle$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

<b>0.0056 ± 0.0003 ± 0.0004</b>	118 ALEXANDER	96B OPAL	$E_{\text{cm}}^{ee} = 91.2 \text{ GeV}$
---------------------------------	---------------	----------	---

118 ALEXANDER 96B identify  $J/\psi(1S)$  from the decays into lepton pairs.

 $\langle N_{\psi(2S)} \rangle$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

<b>0.0023 ± 0.0004 ± 0.0003</b>	ALEXANDER	96B OPAL	$E_{\text{cm}}^{ee} = 91.2 \text{ GeV}$
---------------------------------	-----------	----------	---

 $\langle N_{\rho} \rangle$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

**0.98 ± 0.09 OUR AVERAGE**

1.07 ± 0.01 ± 0.14	ABREU	95F DLPH	$E_{\text{cm}}^{ee} = 91.2 \text{ GeV}$
--------------------	-------	----------	---

0.92 ± 0.11	AKERS	94P OPAL	$E_{\text{cm}}^{ee} = 91.2 \text{ GeV}$
-------------	-------	----------	---

$\langle N_{\Delta(1232)^{++}} \rangle$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.087 ± 0.033 OUR AVERAGE</b>	Error includes scale factor of 2.4.		
0.079 ± 0.009 ± 0.011	ABREU	95w DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
0.22 ± 0.04 ± 0.04	ALEXANDER	95D OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV

 $\langle N_{\Lambda} \rangle$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.372 ± 0.007 OUR AVERAGE</b>			
0.364 ± 0.004 ± 0.017	ACCIARRI	97L L3	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
0.374 ± 0.002 ± 0.010	ALEXANDER	97D OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
0.386 ± 0.016	BUSKULIC	94k ALEP	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
0.357 ± 0.003 ± 0.017	ABREU	93L DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.37 ± 0.01 ± 0.04	ACCIARRI	94B L3	Repl. by ACCIARRI 97L
0.351 ± 0.019	ACTON	92J OPAL	Repl. by ALEXANDER 97D

 $\langle N_{\Lambda(1520)} \rangle$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.0213 ± 0.0021 ± 0.0019</b>	ALEXANDER	97D OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV

 $\langle N_{\Sigma^+} \rangle$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.099 ± 0.008 ± 0.013</b>	ALEXANDER	97E OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV

 $\langle N_{\Sigma^-} \rangle$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.083 ± 0.006 ± 0.009</b>	ALEXANDER	97E OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV

 $\langle N_{\Sigma^+ + \Sigma^-} \rangle$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.181 ± 0.018 OUR AVERAGE</b>			
0.182 ± 0.010 ± 0.016	<sup>119</sup> ALEXANDER	97E OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
0.170 ± 0.014 ± 0.061	ABREU	95o DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV

<sup>119</sup> We have combined the values of  $\langle N_{\Sigma^+} \rangle$  and  $\langle N_{\Sigma^-} \rangle$  from ALEXANDER 97E adding the statistical and systematic errors of the two final states separately in quadrature. If isospin symmetry is assumed this value becomes  $0.174 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.015$ .

 $\langle N_{\Sigma^0} \rangle$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.070 ± 0.011 OUR AVERAGE</b>			
0.071 ± 0.012 ± 0.013	ALEXANDER	97E OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
0.070 ± 0.010 ± 0.010	ADAM	96B DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV

 $\langle N_{(\Sigma^+ + \Sigma^- + \Sigma^0)/3} \rangle$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.084 ± 0.005 ± 0.008</b>	ALEXANDER	97E OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV

$\langle N_{\Sigma(1385)^+} \rangle$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.0239 ± 0.0009 ± 0.0012</b>	ALEXANDER	97D OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV

 $\langle N_{\Sigma(1385)^-} \rangle$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.0240 ± 0.0010 ± 0.0014</b>	ALEXANDER	97D OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV

 $\langle N_{\Sigma(1385)^+ + \Sigma(1385)^-} \rangle$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.046 ± 0.004 OUR AVERAGE</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.6.		
0.0479 ± 0.0013 ± 0.0026	ALEXANDER	97D OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
0.0382 ± 0.0028 ± 0.0045	ABREU	95O DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.0380 ± 0.0062	ACTON	92J OPAL	Repl. by ALEXANDER 97D

 $\langle N_{\Xi^-} \rangle$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.0258 ± 0.0009 OUR AVERAGE</b>			
0.0259 ± 0.0004 ± 0.0009	ALEXANDER	97D OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
0.0250 ± 0.0009 ± 0.0021	ABREU	95O DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.020 ± 0.004 ± 0.003	ABREU	92G DLPH	Repl. by ABREU 95O
0.0206 ± 0.0021	ACTON	92J OPAL	Repl. by ALEXANDER 97D

 $\langle N_{\Xi(1530)^0} \rangle$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.0053 ± 0.0013 OUR AVERAGE</b>	Error includes scale factor of 3.2.		
0.0068 ± 0.0005 ± 0.0004	ALEXANDER	97D OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
0.0041 ± 0.0004 ± 0.0004	ABREU	95O DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.0063 ± 0.0014	ACTON	92J OPAL	Repl. by ALEXANDER 97D

 $\langle N_{\Omega^-} \rangle$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.00164 ± 0.00028 OUR AVERAGE</b>			
0.0018 ± 0.0003 ± 0.0002	ALEXANDER	97D OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
0.0014 ± 0.0002 ± 0.0004	ADAM	96B DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.0050 ± 0.0015	ACTON	92J OPAL	Repl. by ALEXANDER 97D

 $\langle N_{\Lambda_c^+} \rangle$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.078 ± 0.012 ± 0.012</b>	ALEXANDER	96R OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV



### $\langle N_{\text{charged}} \rangle$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>21.00±0.13 OUR AVERAGE</b>			
21.05±0.20	AKERS	95Z OPAL	$E_{\text{cm}}^{ee} = 91.2 \text{ GeV}$
20.91±0.03±0.22	BUSKULIC	95R ALEP	$E_{\text{cm}}^{ee} = 91.2 \text{ GeV}$
21.40±0.43	ACTON	92B OPAL	$E_{\text{cm}}^{ee} = 91.2 \text{ GeV}$
20.71±0.04±0.77	ABREU	91H DLPH	$E_{\text{cm}}^{ee} = 91.2 \text{ GeV}$
20.7 ±0.7	ADEVA	91I L3	$E_{\text{cm}}^{ee} = 91.2 \text{ GeV}$
20.1 ±1.0 ±0.9	ABRAMS	90 MRK2	$E_{\text{cm}}^{ee} = 91.1 \text{ GeV}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
20.85±0.02±0.24	DECAMP	91K ALEP	Repl. by BUSKULIC 95R

## Z HADRONIC POLE CROSS SECTION

This quantity is defined as

$$\sigma_h^0 = \frac{12\pi}{M_Z^2} \frac{\Gamma(e^+ e^-) \Gamma(\text{hadrons})}{\Gamma_Z^2}$$

It is one of the parameters used in the Z lineshape fit. (See the 'Note on the Z Boson.')

<u>VALUE (nb)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>41.54±0.14 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>41.49±0.10 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
41.23±0.20	1.05M	ABREU	94 DLPH	$E_{\text{cm}}^{ee} = 88\text{--}94 \text{ GeV}$
41.39±0.26	1.09M	ACCIARRI	94 L3	$E_{\text{cm}}^{ee} = 88\text{--}94 \text{ GeV}$
41.70±0.23	1.19M	AKERS	94 OPAL	$E_{\text{cm}}^{ee} = 88\text{--}94 \text{ GeV}$
41.60±0.16	1.27M	BUSKULIC	94 ALEP	$E_{\text{cm}}^{ee} = 88\text{--}94 \text{ GeV}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
41.45±0.31	512k	ACTON	93D OPAL	Repl. by AKERS 94
41.34±0.28	460k	ADRIANI	93M L3	Repl. by ACCIARRI 94
41.60±0.27	520k	BUSKULIC	93J ALEP	Repl. by BUSKULIC 94
42 ±4	450	ABRAMS	89B MRK2	$E_{\text{cm}}^{ee} = 89.2\text{--}93.0 \text{ GeV}$

## Z VECTOR COUPLINGS TO CHARGED LEPTONS

These quantities are the effective vector couplings of the Z to charged leptons. Their magnitude is derived from a measurement of the Z lineshape and the forward-backward lepton asymmetries as a function of energy around the Z mass. The relative sign among the vector to axial-vector couplings is obtained from a measurement of the Z asymmetry parameters,  $A_e$  and  $A_\tau$ , or  $\nu_e$  scattering. The fit values quoted below correspond to global nine- or five-parameter fits to lineshape, lepton forward-backward asymmetry, and  $A_e$  and  $A_\tau$  measurements. See "Note on the Z boson" for details.

Within the current data set, the reason for the smallness of  $g_V^\mu$  compared to  $g_V^e$  and  $g_V^\tau$  is due to the large value of  $A_e$  which is heavily weighted by the SLD result. This large value of  $A_e$  leads to a large value of  $g_V^e$ . Since

$g_V^\mu$  is obtained using the relation  $A_{FB}^\mu = 0.75 \times A_e \times A_\mu$ , a large value of  $g_V^e$  leads to a SMALL value of  $g_V^\mu$ . Concerning the  $\tau$ , its  $g_V$  gets mainly determined directly from  $A_\tau$  which is obtained from a measurement of the  $\tau$  polarization (see "Note on the Z boson").

 $g_V^e$ 

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>-0.0383 ± 0.0008 OUR FIT</b>				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
-0.0414 ± 0.0020		120 ABE	95J SLD	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.31$ GeV
-0.0364 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.0096 \\ -0.0082 \end{smallmatrix}$	38k	121 ACCIARRI	94 L3	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
-0.036 ± 0.005	45.8k	122 BUSKULIC	94 ALEP	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
-0.040 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.013 \\ -0.011 \end{smallmatrix}$		123 ADRIANI	93M L3	Repl. by ACCIARRI 94
-0.034 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.006 \\ -0.005 \end{smallmatrix}$		121 BUSKULIC	93J ALEP	Repl. by BUSKULIC 94
120 ABE 95J obtain this result combining polarized Bhabha results with the $A_{LR}$ measurement of ABE 94C. The Bhabha results alone give $-0.0507 \pm 0.0096 \pm 0.0020$ .				
121 The $\tau$ polarization result has been included.				
122 BUSKULIC 94 use the added constraint of $\tau$ polarization.				
123 ADRIANI 93M use their measurement of the $\tau$ polarization in addition to forward-backward lepton asymmetries.				

 $g_V^\mu$ 

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>-0.0274 ± 0.0047 OUR FIT</b>				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
-0.0402 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.0153 \\ -0.0211 \end{smallmatrix}$	34k	124 ACCIARRI	94 L3	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
-0.034 ± 0.013	46.4k	125 BUSKULIC	94 ALEP	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
-0.048 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.021 \\ -0.033 \end{smallmatrix}$		126 ADRIANI	93M L3	Repl. by ACCIARRI 94
-0.019 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.018 \\ -0.019 \end{smallmatrix}$		124 BUSKULIC	93J ALEP	Repl. by BUSKULIC 94
124 The $\tau$ polarization result has been included.				
125 BUSKULIC 94 use the added constraint of $\tau$ polarization.				
126 ADRIANI 93M use their measurement of the $\tau$ polarization in addition to forward-backward lepton asymmetries.				

 $g_V^\tau$ 

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>-0.0378 ± 0.0020 OUR FIT</b>				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
-0.0384 ± 0.0078	25k	127 ACCIARRI	94 L3	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
-0.038 ± 0.005	45.1k	128 BUSKULIC	94 ALEP	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
-0.037 ± 0.008	7441	129 ADRIANI	93M L3	Repl. by ACCIARRI 94
-0.039 ± 0.006		127 BUSKULIC	93J ALEP	Repl. by BUSKULIC 94
127 The $\tau$ polarization result has been included.				
128 BUSKULIC 94 use the added constraint of $\tau$ polarization.				
129 ADRIANI 93M use their measurement of the $\tau$ polarization in addition to forward-backward lepton asymmetries.				

$g_V^l$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>-0.0377 \pm 0.0007</math> OUR FIT</b>				
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$-0.039 \pm 0.004$	50.3k	<sup>130</sup> ABREU	94 DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
$-0.0378^{+0.0045}_{-0.0042}$	97k	<sup>131</sup> ACCIARRI	94 L3	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
$-0.034 \pm 0.004$	146k	<sup>130</sup> AKERS	94 OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
$-0.038 \pm 0.004$	137.3k	<sup>130</sup> BUSKULIC	94 ALEP	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
$-0.027 \pm 0.008$	58k	<sup>130</sup> ACTON	93D OPAL	Repl. by AKERS 94
$-0.040^{+0.006}_{-0.005}$		<sup>131</sup> ADRIANI	93M L3	Repl. by ACCIARRI 94
$-0.034^{+0.004}_{-0.003}$		<sup>131</sup> BUSKULIC	93J ALEP	Repl. by BUSKULIC 94

<sup>130</sup> Using forward-backward lepton asymmetries.<sup>131</sup> The  $\tau$  polarization result has been included.

## Z AXIAL-VECTOR COUPLINGS TO CHARGED LEPTONS

These quantities are the effective axial-vector couplings of the  $Z$  to charged leptons. Their magnitude is derived from a measurement of the  $Z$  lineshape and the forward-backward lepton asymmetries as a function of energy around the  $Z$  mass. The relative sign among the vector to axial-vector couplings is obtained from a measurement of the  $Z$  asymmetry parameters,  $A_e$  and  $A_\tau$ , or  $\nu_e$  scattering. The fit values quoted below correspond to global nine- or five-parameter fits to lineshape, lepton forward-backward asymmetry, and  $A_e$  and  $A_\tau$  measurements. See "Note on the  $Z$  boson" for details.

 $g_A^e$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>-0.5007 \pm 0.0009</math> OUR FIT</b>				
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$-0.4977 \pm 0.0045$		<sup>132</sup> ABE	95J SLD	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.31$ GeV
$-0.4998 \pm 0.0016$	38k	<sup>133</sup> ACCIARRI	94 L3	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
$-0.503 \pm 0.002$	45.8k	BUSKULIC	94 ALEP	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
$-0.4980 \pm 0.0021$		<sup>133</sup> ADRIANI	93M L3	Repl. by ACCIARRI 94
$-0.5029 \pm 0.0018$		<sup>133</sup> BUSKULIC	93J ALEP	Repl. by BUSKULIC 94

<sup>132</sup> ABE 95J obtain this result combining polarized Bhabha results with the  $A_{LR}$  measurement of ABE 94C. The Bhabha results alone give  $-0.4968 \pm 0.0039 \pm 0.0027$ .<sup>133</sup> The  $\tau$ -polarization constraint has been included. $g_A^\mu$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>-0.5015 \pm 0.0012</math> OUR FIT</b>				
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$-0.4987^{+0.0030}_{-0.0026}$	34k	<sup>134</sup> ACCIARRI	94 L3	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
$-0.501 \pm 0.002$	46.4k	BUSKULIC	94 ALEP	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
$-0.4968^{+0.0050}_{-0.0037}$		<sup>134</sup> ADRIANI	93M L3	Repl. by ACCIARRI 94
$-0.5014 \pm 0.0029$		<sup>134</sup> BUSKULIC	93J ALEP	Repl. by BUSKULIC 94

<sup>134</sup> The  $\tau$ -polarization constraint has been included.

$g_A^\tau$ 

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

–0.5009±0.0013 OUR FIT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

–0.5014±0.0029	25k	<sup>135</sup> ACCIARRI	94 L3	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
–0.502 ±0.003	45.1k	BUSKULIC	94 ALEP	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
–0.5032±0.0038	7441	<sup>135</sup> ADRIANI	93M L3	Repl. by ACCIARRI 94
–0.5016±0.0033		<sup>135</sup> BUSKULIC	93J ALEP	Repl. by BUSKULIC 94

<sup>135</sup> The  $\tau$ -polarization constraint has been included.

 $g_A^l$ 

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

–0.5008±0.0008 OUR FIT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

–0.4999±0.0014	71k	ABREU	94 DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
–0.4998±0.0014	97k	<sup>136</sup> ACCIARRI	94 L3	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
–0.500 ±0.001	146k	AKERS	94 OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
–0.502 ±0.001	137k	BUSKULIC	94 ALEP	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
–0.4998±0.0016	58k	ACTON	93D OPAL	Repl. by AKERS 94
–0.4986±0.0015		<sup>136</sup> ADRIANI	93M L3	Repl. by ACCIARRI 94
–0.5022±0.0015		<sup>136</sup> BUSKULIC	93J ALEP	Repl. by BUSKULIC 94

<sup>136</sup> The  $\tau$ -polarization constraint has been included.

## Z COUPLINGS TO NEUTRAL LEPTONS

These quantities are the effective couplings of the  $Z$  to neutral leptons.  $\nu_e e$  and  $\nu_\mu e$  scattering results are combined with  $g_A^e$  and  $g_V^e$  measurements at the  $Z$  mass to obtain  $g^{\nu e}$  and  $g^{\nu\mu}$  following NOVIKOV 93C.

 $g^{\nu e}$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.528±0.085</b>	<sup>137</sup> VILAIN	94 CHM2	From $\nu_\mu e$ and $\nu_e e$ scattering

<sup>137</sup> VILAIN 94 derive this value from their value of  $g^{\nu\mu}$  and their ratio  $g^{\nu e}/g^{\nu\mu} = 1.05^{+0.15}_{-0.18}$ .

 $g^{\nu\mu}$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.502±0.017</b>	<sup>138</sup> VILAIN	94 CHM2	From $\nu_\mu e$ scattering

<sup>138</sup> VILAIN 94 derive this value from their measurement of the couplings  $g_A^{e\nu\mu} = -0.503 \pm 0.017$  and  $g_V^{e\nu\mu} = -0.035 \pm 0.017$  obtained from  $\nu_\mu e$  scattering. We have re-evaluated this value using the current PDG values for  $g_A^e$  and  $g_V^e$ .

## Z ASYMMETRY PARAMETERS

For each fermion-antifermion pair coupling to the  $Z$  these quantities are defined as

$$A_f = \frac{2g_V^f g_A^f}{(g_V^f)^2 + (g_A^f)^2}$$

where  $g_V^f$  and  $g_A^f$  are the effective vector and axial-vector couplings. For their relation to the various lepton asymmetries see the 'Note on the  $Z$  Boson.'

### $A_e$

Using polarized beams, this quantity can also be measured as  $(\sigma_L - \sigma_R)/(\sigma_L + \sigma_R)$ , where  $\sigma_L$  and  $\sigma_R$  are the  $e^+e^-$  production cross sections for  $Z$  bosons produced with left-handed and right-handed electrons respectively.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.1519 ± 0.0034 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.162 ± 0.041 ± 0.014	89838	139 ABE	97 SLD	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.27$ GeV
0.1543 ± 0.0039	93644	140 ABE	97E SLD	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.27$ GeV
0.152 ± 0.012		141 ABE	97N SLD	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.27$ GeV
0.129 ± 0.014 ± 0.005	89075	142 ALEXANDER	96U OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
0.202 ± 0.038 ± 0.008		143 ABE	95J SLD	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.31$ GeV
0.136 ± 0.027 ± 0.003		144 ABREU	95I DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
0.129 ± 0.016 ± 0.005	33000	145 BUSKULIC	95Q ALEP	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
0.157 ± 0.020 ± 0.005	86000	144 ACCIARRI	94E L3	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
0.122 ± 0.030 ± 0.012	30663	144 AKERS	95 OPAL	Repl. by ALEXANDER 96U
0.1656 ± 0.0071 ± 0.0028	49392	146 ABE	94C SLD	Repl. by ABE 97E
0.097 ± 0.044 ± 0.004	10224	147 ABE	93 SLD	Repl. by ABE 97E
0.120 ± 0.026		144 BUSKULIC	93P ALEP	Repl. by BUSKULIC 95Q

139 ABE 97 obtain this result from a measurement of the observed left-right charge asymmetry,  $A_Q^{obs} = 0.225 \pm 0.056 \pm 0.019$ , in hadronic  $Z$  decays. If they combine this value of  $A_Q^{obs}$  with their earlier measurement of  $A_{LR}^{obs}$  they determine  $A_e$  to be  $0.1574 \pm 0.0197 \pm 0.0067$  independent of the beam polarization.

140 ABE 97E measure the left-right asymmetry in hadronic  $Z$  production. This value (statistical and systematic errors added in quadrature) leads to  $\sin^2\theta_W^{eff} = 0.23060 \pm 0.00050$ .

141 ABE 97N obtain this direct measurement using the left-right cross section asymmetry and the left-right forward-backward asymmetry in leptonic decays of the  $Z$  boson obtained with a polarized electron beam.

142 ALEXANDER 96U measure the  $\tau$ -lepton polarization and the forward-backward polarization asymmetry.

143 ABE 95J obtain this result from polarized Bhabha scattering.

144 Derived from the measurement of forward-backward  $\tau$  polarization asymmetry.

145 BUSKULIC 95Q obtain this result fitting the  $\tau$  polarization as a function of the polar  $\tau$  production angle.

146 ABE 94C measured the left-right asymmetry in  $Z$  production. This value leads to  $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.2292 \pm 0.0009 \pm 0.0004$ .

147 ABE 93 measured the left-right asymmetry in  $Z$  production.

$A_\mu$ 

This quantity is directly extracted from a measurement of the left-right forward-backward asymmetry in  $\mu^+\mu^-$  production at SLC using a polarized electron beam. This double asymmetry eliminates the dependence on the  $Z$ - $e$ - $e$  coupling parameter  $A_e$ .

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.102±0.034</b>	3788	148 ABE	97N SLD	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.27$ GeV

148 ABE 97N obtain this direct measurement using the left-right cross section asymmetry and the left-right forward-backward asymmetry in  $\mu^+\mu^-$  decays of the  $Z$  boson obtained with a polarized electron beam.

 $A_\tau$ 

The LEP Collaborations derive this quantity from the measurement of the average  $\tau$  polarization in  $Z \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ . The SLD Collaboration directly extracts this quantity from its measured left-right forward-backward asymmetry in  $Z \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$  produced using a polarized  $e^-$  beam. This double asymmetry eliminates the dependence on the  $Z$ - $e$ - $e$  coupling parameter  $A_e$ .

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.143±0.008 OUR AVERAGE</b>				

0.195±0.034		149 ABE	97N SLD	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.27$ GeV
-------------	--	---------	---------	---------------------------

0.134±0.009±0.010	89075	150 ALEXANDER	96U OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
-------------------	-------	---------------	----------	---------------------------

0.148±0.017±0.014		ABREU	95I DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
-------------------	--	-------	----------	---------------------------

0.136±0.012±0.009	33000	151 BUSKULIC	95Q ALEP	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
-------------------	-------	--------------	----------	---------------------------

0.150±0.013±0.009	86000	ACCIARRI	94E L3	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94$ GeV
-------------------	-------	----------	--------	---------------------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.153±0.019±0.013	30663	AKERS	95 OPAL	Repl. by ALEXANDER 96U
-------------------	-------	-------	---------	------------------------

0.132±0.033	10732	ADRIANI	93M L3	Repl. by ACCIARRI 94E
-------------	-------	---------	--------	-----------------------

0.143±0.023		BUSKULIC	93P ALEP	Repl. by BUSKULIC 95Q
-------------	--	----------	----------	-----------------------

0.24 ±0.07	2021	ABREU	92N DLPH	Repl. by ABREU 95I
------------	------	-------	----------	--------------------

149 ABE 97N obtain this direct measurement using the left-right cross section asymmetry and the left-right forward-backward asymmetry in  $\tau^+\tau^-$  decays of the  $Z$  boson obtained with a polarized electron beam.

150 ALEXANDER 96U measure the  $\tau$ -lepton polarization and the forward-backward polarization asymmetry.

151 BUSKULIC 95Q obtain this result fitting the  $\tau$  polarization as a function of the polar  $\tau$  production angle.

 $A_c$ 

This quantity is directly extracted from a measurement of the left-right forward-backward asymmetry in  $c\bar{c}$  production at SLC using polarized electron beam. This double asymmetry eliminates the dependence on the  $Z$ - $e$ - $e$  coupling parameter  $A_e$ .

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.59±0.19 OUR AVERAGE</b>			

0.37±0.23±0.21	152 ABE	95L SLD	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.26$ GeV
----------------	---------	---------	---------------------------

0.73±0.22±0.10	153 ABE,K	95 SLD	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.26$ GeV
----------------	-----------	--------	---------------------------

152 ABE 95L tag  $b$  and  $c$  quarks through their semileptonic decays into electrons and muons. A maximum likelihood fit is performed to extract  $A_b$  and  $A_c$ .

153 ABE,K 95 tag  $Z \rightarrow c\bar{c}$  events using  $D^{*+}$  and  $D^+$  meson production. To take care of the  $b\bar{b}$  contamination in their analysis they use  $A_b^D = 0.64 \pm 0.11$  (which is  $A_b$  from

$D^*/D$  tagging). This is obtained by starting with a Standard Model value of 0.935, assigning it an estimated error of  $\pm 0.105$  to cover LEP and SLD measurements, and finally taking into account  $B\text{-}\bar{B}$  mixing ( $1-2\chi_{\text{mix}} = 0.72 \pm 0.09$ ). Combining with ABE 95L they quote  $0.59 \pm 0.19$ .

## $A_b$

This quantity is directly extracted from a measurement of the left-right forward-backward asymmetry in  $b\bar{b}$  production at SLC using polarized electron beam. This double asymmetry eliminates the dependence on the  $Z\text{-}e\text{-}e$  coupling parameter  $A_e$ .

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.89<math>\pm</math>0.11 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.87 $\pm$ 0.11 $\pm$ 0.09	4032	154 ABE	95K SLD	$E_{\text{cm}}^{ee} = 91.26$ GeV
0.91 $\pm$ 0.14 $\pm$ 0.07		155 ABE	95L SLD	$E_{\text{cm}}^{ee} = 91.26$ GeV

- 154 ABE 95K obtain an enriched sample of  $b\bar{b}$  events tagging with the impact parameter. A momentum-weighted charge sum is used to identify the charge of the underlying  $b$  quark.
- 155 ABE 95L tag  $b$  and  $c$  quarks through their semileptonic decays into electrons and muons. A maximum likelihood fit is performed to extract  $A_b$  and  $A_c$ . Combining with ABE 95K, they quote  $0.89 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.06$ .

## TRANSVERSE SPIN CORRELATIONS IN $Z \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$

The correlations between the transverse spin components of  $\tau^+\tau^-$  produced in  $Z$  decays may be expressed in terms of the vector and axial-vector couplings:

$$C_{TT} = \frac{|g_A^\tau|^2 - |g_V^\tau|^2}{|g_A^\tau|^2 + |g_V^\tau|^2}$$

$$C_{TN} = -2 \frac{|g_A^\tau| |g_V^\tau|}{|g_A^\tau|^2 + |g_V^\tau|^2} \sin(\Phi_{g_V^\tau} - \Phi_{g_A^\tau})$$

$C_{TT}$  refers to the transverse-transverse (within the collision plane) spin correlation and  $C_{TN}$  refers to the transverse-normal (to the collision plane) spin correlation.

The longitudinal  $\tau$  polarization  $P_\tau (= -A_\tau)$  is given by:

$$P_\tau = -2 \frac{|g_A^\tau| |g_V^\tau|}{|g_A^\tau|^2 + |g_V^\tau|^2} \cos(\Phi_{g_V^\tau} - \Phi_{g_A^\tau})$$

Here  $\Phi$  is the phase and the phase difference  $\Phi_{g_V^\tau} - \Phi_{g_A^\tau}$  can be obtained using both the measurements of  $C_{TN}$  and  $P_\tau$ .

## $C_{TT}$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1.01<math>\pm</math>0.12 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.87 $\pm$ 0.20 $^{+0.10}_{-0.12}$	9.1K	ABREU	97G DLPH	$E_{\text{cm}}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
1.06 $\pm$ 0.13 $\pm$ 0.05	120K	BARATE	97D ALEP	$E_{\text{cm}}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV

**$C_{TN}$** 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>0.08 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.04</math></b>	120K	<sup>156</sup> BARATE	97D ALEP	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 91.2$ GeV
<sup>156</sup> BARATE 97D combine their value of $C_{TN}$ with the world average $P_\tau = -0.140 \pm 0.007$ to obtain $\tan(\Phi_{g_V^T} - \Phi_{g_A^T}) = -0.57 \pm 0.97$ .				

 **$A_{FB}^{(0,e)}$  CHARGE ASYMMETRY IN  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$** 

For the Z peak, we report the pole asymmetry defined by  $(3/4)A_e^2$  as determined by the nine-parameter fit to cross-section and lepton forward-backward asymmetry data. For details see the "Note on the Z boson."

<u>ASYMMETRY (%)</u>	<u>STD. MODEL</u>	<u><math>\sqrt{s}</math> (GeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
<b><math>1.51 \pm 0.40</math> OUR FIT</b>				
<b><math>1.5 \pm 0.4</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>				
2.5 $\pm$ 0.9		91.2	ABREU	94 DLPH
1.04 $\pm$ 0.92		91.2	ACCIARRI	94 L3
0.62 $\pm$ 0.80		91.2	AKERS	94 OPAL
1.85 $\pm$ 0.66		91.2	BUSKULIC	94 ALEP

 **$A_{FB}^{(0,\mu)}$  CHARGE ASYMMETRY IN  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$** 

For the Z peak, we report the pole asymmetry defined by  $(3/4)A_e A_\mu$  as determined by the nine-parameter fit to cross-section and lepton forward-backward asymmetry data. For details see the "Note on the Z boson."

<u>ASYMMETRY (%)</u>	<u>STD. MODEL</u>	<u><math>\sqrt{s}</math> (GeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
<b><math>1.33 \pm 0.26</math> OUR FIT</b>				
<b><math>1.34 \pm 0.24</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>				
1.4 $\pm$ 0.5		91.2	ABREU	94 DLPH
1.79 $\pm$ 0.61		91.2	ACCIARRI	94 L3
0.99 $\pm$ 0.42		91.2	AKERS	94 OPAL
1.46 $\pm$ 0.48		91.2	BUSKULIC	94 ALEP

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

9 $\pm$ 30	-2	20	<sup>157</sup> ABREU	95M DLPH
7 $\pm$ 26	-10	40	<sup>157</sup> ABREU	95M DLPH
-11 $\pm$ 33	-25	57	<sup>157</sup> ABREU	95M DLPH
-62 $\pm$ 17	-45	69	<sup>157</sup> ABREU	95M DLPH
-56 $\pm$ 10	-58	79	<sup>157</sup> ABREU	95M DLPH
-13 $\pm$ 5	-23	87.5	<sup>157</sup> ABREU	95M DLPH
-29.0 $\pm$ 5.0 $\pm$ 0.5 - 4.8	-32.1	56.9	<sup>158</sup> ABE	90I VNS
- 9.9 $\pm$ 1.5 $\pm$ 0.5	-9.2	35	HEGNER	90 JADE
0.05 $\pm$ 0.22	0.026	91.14	<sup>159</sup> ABRAMS	89D MRK2
-43.4 $\pm$ 17.0	-24.9	52.0	<sup>160</sup> BACALA	89 AMY
-11.0 $\pm$ 16.5	-29.4	55.0	<sup>160</sup> BACALA	89 AMY
-30.0 $\pm$ 12.4	-31.2	56.0	<sup>160</sup> BACALA	89 AMY
-46.2 $\pm$ 14.9	-33.0	57.0	<sup>160</sup> BACALA	89 AMY
-29 $\pm$ 13	-25.9	53.3	ADACHI	88C TOPZ



+ 5.3 ± 5.0 ±0.5	-1.2	14.0	ADEVA	88	MRKJ
-10.4 ± 1.3 ±0.5	-8.6	34.8	ADEVA	88	MRKJ
-12.3 ± 5.3 ±0.5	-10.7	38.3	ADEVA	88	MRKJ
-15.6 ± 3.0 ±0.5	-14.9	43.8	ADEVA	88	MRKJ
- 1.0 ± 6.0	-1.2	13.9	BRAUNSCH...	88D	TASS
- 9.1 ± 2.3 ±0.5	-8.6	34.5	BRAUNSCH...	88D	TASS
-10.6 <sup>+</sup> 2.2 <sub>-</sub> 2.3 ±0.5	-8.9	35.0	BRAUNSCH...	88D	TASS
-17.6 <sup>+</sup> 4.4 <sub>-</sub> 4.3 ±0.5	-15.2	43.6	BRAUNSCH...	88D	TASS
- 4.8 ± 6.5 ±1.0	-11.5	39	BEHREND	87C	CELL
-18.8 ± 4.5 ±1.0	-15.5	44	BEHREND	87C	CELL
+ 2.7 ± 4.9	-1.2	13.9	BARTEL	86C	JADE
-11.1 ± 1.8 ±1.0	-8.6	34.4	BARTEL	86C	JADE
-17.3 ± 4.8 ±1.0	-13.7	41.5	BARTEL	86C	JADE
-22.8 ± 5.1 ±1.0	-16.6	44.8	BARTEL	86C	JADE
- 6.3 ± 0.8 ±0.2	-6.3	29	ASH	85	MAC
- 4.9 ± 1.5 ±0.5	-5.9	29	DERRICK	85	HRS
- 7.1 ± 1.7	-5.7	29	LEVI	83	MRK2
-16.1 ± 3.2	-9.2	34.2	BRANDELIK	82C	TASS

<sup>157</sup> ABREU 95M perform this measurement using radiative muon-pair events associated with high-energy isolated photons.

<sup>158</sup> ABE 90I measurements in the range  $50 \leq \sqrt{s} \leq 60.8$  GeV.

<sup>159</sup> ABRAMS 89D asymmetry includes both 9  $\mu^+\mu^-$  and 15  $\tau^+\tau^-$  events.

<sup>160</sup> BACALA 89 systematic error is about 5%.

### $A_{FB}^{(0,\tau)}$ CHARGE ASYMMETRY IN $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$

For the Z peak, we report the pole asymmetry defined by  $(3/4)A_e A_\tau$  as determined by the nine-parameter fit to cross-section and lepton forward-backward asymmetry data. For details see the "Note on the Z boson."

<u>ASYMMETRY (%)</u>	<u>STD. MODEL</u>	<u><math>\sqrt{s}</math> (GeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
<b>2.12 ± 0.32 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>2.13 ± 0.31 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
2.2 ± 0.7		91.2	ABREU	94 DLPH
2.65 ± 0.88		91.2	ACCIARRI	94 L3
2.05 ± 0.52		91.2	AKERS	94 OPAL
1.97 ± 0.56		91.2	BUSKULIC	94 ALEP

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

-32.8 <sup>+</sup> 6.4 <sub>-</sub> 6.2 ±1.5	-32.1	56.9	<sup>161</sup> ABE	90I	VNS
- 8.1 ± 2.0 ±0.6	-9.2	35	HEGNER	90	JADE
-18.4 ±19.2	-24.9	52.0	<sup>162</sup> BACALA	89	AMY
-17.7 ±26.1	-29.4	55.0	<sup>162</sup> BACALA	89	AMY
-45.9 ±16.6	-31.2	56.0	<sup>162</sup> BACALA	89	AMY
-49.5 ±18.0	-33.0	57.0	<sup>162</sup> BACALA	89	AMY
-20 ±14	-25.9	53.3	ADACHI	88C	TOPZ
-10.6 ± 3.1 ±1.5	-8.5	34.7	ADEVA	88	MRKJ

$-8.5 \pm 6.6 \pm 1.5$	$-15.4$	43.8	ADEVA	88	MRKJ
$-6.0 \pm 2.5 \pm 1.0$	8.8	34.6	BARTEL	85F	JADE
$-11.8 \pm 4.6 \pm 1.0$	14.8	43.0	BARTEL	85F	JADE
$-5.5 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.5$	$-0.063$	29.0	FERNANDEZ	85	MAC
$-4.2 \pm 2.0$	0.057	29	LEVI	83	MRK2
$-10.3 \pm 5.2$	$-9.2$	34.2	BEHREND	82	CELL
$-0.4 \pm 6.6$	$-9.1$	34.2	BRANDELIK	82C	TASS

<sup>161</sup> ABE 90I measurements in the range  $50 \leq \sqrt{s} \leq 60.8$  GeV.

<sup>162</sup> BACALA 89 systematic error is about 5%.

### $A_{FB}^{(0,\ell)}$ CHARGE ASYMMETRY IN $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$

For the Z peak, we report the pole asymmetry defined by  $(3/4)A_\ell^2$  as determined by the five-parameter fit to cross-section and lepton forward-backward asymmetry data assuming lepton universality. For details see the "Note on the Z boson."

<u>ASYMMETRY (%)</u>	<u>STD. MODEL</u>	<u><math>\sqrt{s}</math> (GeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
<b>1.59 ± 0.18 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>1.60 ± 0.18 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
1.77 ± 0.37		91.2	ABREU	94 DLPH
1.84 ± 0.45		91.2	ACCIARRI	94 L3
1.28 ± 0.30		91.2	AKERS	94 OPAL
1.71 ± 0.33		91.2	BUSKULIC	94 ALEP

### $A_{FB}^{(0,u)}$ CHARGE ASYMMETRY IN $e^+ e^- \rightarrow u \bar{u}$

<u>ASYMMETRY (%)</u>	<u>STD. MODEL</u>	<u><math>\sqrt{s}</math> (GeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
<b>4.0 ± 7.3 OUR EVALUATION</b>				
<b>4.0 ± 6.7 ± 2.8</b>	6	91.2	<sup>163</sup> ACKERSTAFF 97T	OPAL

<sup>163</sup> ACKERSTAFF 97T measure the forward-backward asymmetry of various fast hadrons made of light quarks. Then using SU(2) isospin symmetry and flavor independence for down and strange quarks authors solve for the different quark types.

### $A_{FB}^{(0,s)}$ CHARGE ASYMMETRY IN $e^+ e^- \rightarrow s \bar{s}$

The s-quark asymmetry is derived from measurements of the forward-backward asymmetry of fast hadrons containing an s quark.

<u>ASYMMETRY (%)</u>	<u>STD. MODEL</u>	<u><math>\sqrt{s}</math> (GeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
<b>9.9 ± 3.1 OUR AVERAGE</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
6.8 ± 3.5 ± 1.1	10	91.2	<sup>164</sup> ACKERSTAFF 97T	OPAL
13.1 ± 3.5 ± 1.3		91.2	<sup>165</sup> ABREU	95G DLPH

<sup>164</sup> ACKERSTAFF 97T measure the forward-backward asymmetry of various fast hadrons made of light quarks. Then using SU(2) isospin symmetry and flavor independence for down and strange quarks authors solve for the different quark types. The value reported here corresponds then to the forward-backward asymmetry for "down-type" quarks.

<sup>165</sup> ABREU 95G require the presence of a high-momentum charged kaon or  $\Lambda^0$  to tag the  $s$  quark. An unresolved  $s$ - and  $d$ -quark asymmetry of  $(11.2 \pm 3.1 \pm 5.4)\%$  is obtained by tagging the presence of a high-energy neutron or neutral kaon in the hadron calorimeter.

### $A_{FB}^{(0,c)}$ CHARGE ASYMMETRY IN $e^+e^- \rightarrow c\bar{c}$

OUR FIT, which is obtained by a simultaneous fit to several  $c$ - and  $b$ -quark measurements as explained in the "Note on the  $Z$  boson," refers to the  $Z$  pole asymmetry. As a cross check we have also performed a weighted average of the "near peak" measurements taking into account the various common systematic errors. We have assumed that the smallest common systematic error is fully correlated. Applying to this combined "peak" measurement QCD, QED, and energy-dependence corrections, our weighted average gives a pole asymmetry of  $(7.20 \pm 0.64)\%$ .

ASYMMETRY (%)	STD. MODEL	$\sqrt{s}$ (GeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
<b>7.32 ± 0.58 OUR FIT</b>				
6.3 ± 1.2 ± 0.6		91.22	166 ALEXANDER	97C OPAL
6.00 ± 0.67 ± 0.52		91.24	167 ALEXANDER	96 OPAL
7.7 ± 2.9 ± 1.2		91.27	168 ABREU	95E DLPH
8.3 ± 2.2 ± 1.6		91.27	169 ABREU	95K DLPH
6.99 ± 2.05 ± 1.02		91.24	170 BUSKULIC	95I ALEP
9.9 ± 2.0 ± 1.7		91.24	171 BUSKULIC	94G ALEP
8.3 ± 3.8 ± 2.7	5.6	91.24	172 ADRIANI	92D L3
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
3.9 ± 5.1 ± 0.9		89.45	166 ALEXANDER	97C OPAL
15.8 ± 4.1 ± 1.1		93.00	166 ALEXANDER	97C OPAL
− 7.5 ± 3.4 ± 0.6	− 3.5	89.52	167 ALEXANDER	96 OPAL
14.1 ± 2.8 ± 0.9	12.0	92.94	167 ALEXANDER	96 OPAL
6.8 ± 4.2 ± 0.9		91.25	173 BUSKULIC	94J ALEP
1.4 ± 3.0 ± 2.0	5.6	91.24	174 ACTON	93K OPAL
3.8 ± 4.4 ± 1.0	5.4	91.28	175 AKERS	93D OPAL
− 12.9 ± 7.8 ± 5.5	− 13.6	35	BEHREND	90D CELL
7.7 ± 13.4 ± 5.0	− 22.1	43	BEHREND	90D CELL
− 12.8 ± 4.4 ± 4.1	− 13.6	35	ELSEN	90 JADE
− 10.9 ± 12.9 ± 4.6	− 23.2	44	ELSEN	90 JADE
− 14.9 ± 6.7	− 13.3	35	OULD-SAADA	89 JADE

<sup>166</sup> ALEXANDER 97C identify the  $b$  and  $c$  events using a  $D/D^*$  tag.

<sup>167</sup> ALEXANDER 96 tag heavy flavors using one or two identified leptons. This allows the simultaneous fitting of the  $b$  and  $c$  quark forward-backward asymmetries as well as the average  $B^0-\bar{B}^0$  mixing.

<sup>168</sup> ABREU 95E require the presence of a  $D^{*\pm}$  to identify  $c$  and  $b$  quarks.

<sup>169</sup> ABREU 95K identify  $c$  and  $b$  quarks using both electron and muon semileptonic decays.

<sup>170</sup> BUSKULIC 95I require the presence of a high momentum  $D^{*\pm}$  to have an enriched sample of  $Z \rightarrow c\bar{c}$  events.

<sup>171</sup> BUSKULIC 94G perform a simultaneous fit to the  $p$  and  $p_T$  spectra of both single and dilepton events.

<sup>172</sup> ADRIANI 92D use both electron and muon semileptonic decays.

<sup>173</sup> BUSKULIC 94J Identify the  $b$  and  $c$  decays using  $D^*$ . Replaced by BUSKULIC 95I.

<sup>174</sup> ACTON 93K use the lepton tagging technique. Replaced by ALEXANDER 96.

<sup>175</sup> AKERS 93D identify the  $b$  and  $c$  decays using  $D^*$ . Replaced by ALEXANDER 97C.

## $A_{FB}^{(0,b)}$ CHARGE ASYMMETRY IN $e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$

OUR FIT, which is obtained by a simultaneous fit to several  $c$ - and  $b$ -quark measurements as explained in the "Note on the  $Z$  boson," refers to the  $Z$  pole asymmetry. As a cross check we have also performed a weighted average of the "near peak" measurements taking into account the various common systematic errors. We have assumed that the smallest common systematic error is fully correlated. Applying to this combined "peak" measurement QCD, QED, and energy-dependence corrections, our weighted average gives a pole asymmetry of  $(10.07 \pm 0.32)\%$ . For the jet-charge measurements (where the QCD corrections are already included since they represent an inherent part of the analysis), we subtract the QCD correction before combining.

<u>ASYMMETRY (%)</u>	<u>STD. MODEL</u>	<u><math>\sqrt{s}</math> (GeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
<b>10.02 ± 0.28 OUR FIT</b>				
9.94 ± 0.52 ± 0.44		91.21	176 ACKERSTAFF	97P OPAL
9.4 ± 2.7 ± 2.2		91.22	177 ALEXANDER	97C OPAL
9.06 ± 0.51 ± 0.23		91.24	178 ALEXANDER	96 OPAL
9.65 ± 0.44 ± 0.26		91.21	179 BUSKULIC	96Q ALEP
5.9 ± 6.2 ± 2.4		91.27	180 ABREU	95E DLPH
10.4 ± 1.3 ± 0.5		91.27	181 ABREU	95K DLPH
11.5 ± 1.7 ± 1.0		91.27	182 ABREU	95K DLPH
8.7 ± 1.1 ± 0.4		91.3	183 ACCIARRI	94D L3
9.92 ± 0.84 ± 0.46		91.19	184 BUSKULIC	94I ALEP
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
4.1 ± 2.1 ± 0.2		89.44	176 ACKERSTAFF	97P OPAL
14.5 ± 1.7 ± 0.7		92.91	176 ACKERSTAFF	97P OPAL
− 8.6 ± 10.8 ± 2.9		89.45	177 ALEXANDER	97C OPAL
− 2.1 ± 9.0 ± 2.6		93.00	177 ALEXANDER	97C OPAL
5.5 ± 2.4 ± 0.3	5.5	89.52	178 ALEXANDER	96 OPAL
11.7 ± 2.0 ± 0.3	11.4	92.94	178 ALEXANDER	96 OPAL
− 3.4 ± 11.2 ± 0.7		88.38	179 BUSKULIC	96Q ALEP
5.3 ± 2.0 ± 0.2		89.38	179 BUSKULIC	96Q ALEP
8.9 ± 5.9 ± 0.4		90.21	179 BUSKULIC	96Q ALEP
3.8 ± 5.1 ± 0.2		92.05	179 BUSKULIC	96Q ALEP
10.3 ± 1.6 ± 0.4		92.94	179 BUSKULIC	96Q ALEP
8.8 ± 7.5 ± 0.5		93.90	179 BUSKULIC	96Q ALEP
6.2 ± 3.4 ± 0.2		89.52	185 AKERS	95S OPAL
9.63 ± 0.67 ± 0.38		91.25	185 AKERS	95S OPAL
17.2 ± 2.8 ± 0.7		92.94	185 AKERS	95S OPAL
8.7 ± 1.4 ± 0.2		91.24	186 BUSKULIC	94G ALEP
7.1 ± 5.4 ± 0.7	5.2	89.66	187 ACTON	93K OPAL
9.2 ± 1.8 ± 0.8	8.5	91.24	187 ACTON	93K OPAL

13.1 ± 4.7 ± 1.3	10.8	92.75	187	ACTON	93K	OPAL
13.9 ± 9.7 ± 4.9	9.4	91.28	188	AKERS	93D	OPAL
16.1 ± 6.0 ± 2.1		91.2	189	ABREU	92H	DLPH
8.6 ± 1.5 ± 0.7	8.2	91.24	190	ADRIANI	92D	L3
2.5 ± 5.1 ± 0.7	5.3	89.67	191	ADRIANI	92D	L3
9.7 ± 1.7 ± 0.7	8.2	91.24	191	ADRIANI	92D	L3
6.2 ± 4.2 ± 0.7	10.8	92.81	191	ADRIANI	92D	L3
-71 ± 34 ± 7 - 8	-58	58.3		SHIMONAKA	91	TOPZ
-22.2 ± 7.7 ± 3.5	-26.0	35		BEHREND	90D	CELL
-49.1 ± 16.0 ± 5.0	-39.7	43		BEHREND	90D	CELL
-28 ± 11	-23	35		BRAUNSCH...	90	TASS
-16.6 ± 7.7 ± 4.8	-24.3	35		ELSEN	90	JADE
-33.6 ± 22.2 ± 5.2	-39.9	44		ELSEN	90	JADE
3.4 ± 7.0 ± 3.5	-16.0	29.0		BAND	89	MAC
-72 ± 28 ± 13	-56	55.2		SAGAWA	89	AMY

176 ACKERSTAFF 97P tag  $b$  quarks using lifetime. The quark charge is measured using both jet charge and vertex charge, a weighted sum of the charges of tracks in a jet which contains a tagged secondary vertex.

177 ALEXANDER 97C identify the  $b$  and  $c$  events using a  $D/D^*$  tag.

178 ALEXANDER 96 tag heavy flavors using one or two identified leptons. This allows the simultaneous fitting of the  $b$  and  $c$  quark forward-backward asymmetries as well as the average  $B^0-\bar{B}^0$  mixing.

179 BUSKULIC 96Q tag  $b$ -quark flavor and charge using high transverse momentum leptons. The asymmetry value at the  $Z$  peak is obtained using a charm charge asymmetry of 6.17%.

180 ABREU 95E require the presence of a  $D^{*\pm}$  to identify  $c$  and  $b$  quarks.

181 ABREU 95K identify  $c$  and  $b$  quarks using both electron and muon semileptonic decays. The systematic error includes an uncertainty of  $\pm 0.3$  due to the mixing correction ( $\chi = 0.115 \pm 0.011$ ).

182 ABREU 95K tag  $b$  quarks using lifetime; the quark charge is identified using jet charge. The systematic error includes an uncertainty of  $\pm 0.3$  due to the mixing correction ( $\chi = 0.115 \pm 0.011$ ).

183 ACCIARRI 94D use both electron and muon semileptonic decays.

184 BUSKULIC 94I use the lifetime tag method to obtain a high purity sample of  $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$  events and the hemisphere charge technique to obtain the jet charge.

185 AKERS 95S tag  $b$  quarks using lifetime; the quark charge is measured using jet charge. These asymmetry values are obtained using  $R_b = \Gamma(b\bar{b})/\Gamma(\text{hadrons}) = 0.216$ . For a value of  $R_b$  different from this by an amount  $\Delta R_b$ , the change in the asymmetry values is given by  $-K\Delta R_b$ , where  $K = 0.082, 0.471, \text{ and } 0.855$  for  $\sqrt{s}$  values of 89.52, 91.25, and 92.94 GeV respectively. Replaced by ACKERSTAFF 97P.

186 BUSKULIC 94G perform a simultaneous fit to the  $p$  and  $p_T$  spectra of both single and dilepton events. Replaced by BUSKULIC 96Q.

187 ACTON 93K use the lepton tagging technique. The systematic error includes the uncertainty on the mixing parameter. Replaced by ALEXANDER 96.

188 AKERS 93D identify the  $b$  and  $c$  decays using  $D^*$ . Replaced by ALEXANDER 97C.

189  $B$  tagging via its semimuonic decay. Experimental value corrected using average LEP  $B^0-\bar{B}^0$  mixing parameter  $\chi = 0.143 \pm 0.023$ .

190 ADRIANI 92D use both electron and muon semileptonic decays. For this measurement ADRIANI 92D average over all  $\sqrt{s}$  values to obtain a single result.

191 ADRIANI 92D use both electron and muon semileptonic decays. The quoted systematic error is common to all measurements. The peak value is superseded by ACCIARRI 94D.

## CHARGE ASYMMETRY IN $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$

Summed over five lighter flavors.

Experimental and Standard Model values are somewhat event-selection dependent. Standard Model expectations contain some assumptions on  $B^0-\bar{B}^0$  mixing and on other electroweak parameters.

<u>ASYMMETRY (%)</u>	<u>STD. MODEL</u>	<u><math>\sqrt{s}</math> (GeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
– 0.76 ± 0.12 ± 0.15		91.2	<sup>192</sup> ABREU	92I DLPH
4.0 ± 0.4 ± 0.63	4.0	91.3	<sup>193</sup> ACTON	92L OPAL
9.1 ± 1.4 ± 1.6	9.0	57.9	ADACHI	91 TOPZ
– 0.84 ± 0.15 ± 0.04		91	DECAMP	91B ALEP
8.3 ± 2.9 ± 1.9	8.7	56.6	STUART	90 AMY
11.4 ± 2.2 ± 2.1	8.7	57.6	ABE	89L VNS
6.0 ± 1.3	5.0	34.8	GREENSHAW	89 JADE
8.2 ± 2.9	8.5	43.6	GREENSHAW	89 JADE

<sup>192</sup> ABREU 92I has 0.14 systematic error due to uncertainty of quark fragmentation.

<sup>193</sup> ACTON 92L use the weight function method on 259k selected  $Z \rightarrow$  hadrons events.

The systematic error includes a contribution of 0.2 due to  $B^0-\bar{B}^0$  mixing effect, 0.4 due to Monte Carlo (MC) fragmentation uncertainties and 0.3 due to MC statistics.

ACTON 92L derive a value of  $\sin^2\theta_{W}^{\text{eff}}$  to be  $0.2321 \pm 0.0017 \pm 0.0028$ .

## CHARGE ASYMMETRY IN $p\bar{p} \rightarrow Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$

<u>ASYMMETRY (%)</u>	<u>STD. MODEL</u>	<u><math>\sqrt{s}</math> (GeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
5.2 ± 5.9 ± 0.4		91	ABE	91E CDF

## Z REFERENCES

ABE	98D	PRL 80 660	K. Abe+	(SLD Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	98E	EPJ C1 439	K. Ackerstaff+	(OPAL Collab.)
ABE	97	PRL 78 17	+Abe, Abt, Akagi, Allen+	(SLD Collab.)
ABE	97E	PRL 78 2075	+Abe, Abt, Akagi, Allen+	(SLD Collab.)
ABE	97N	PRL 79 804	K. Abe+	(SLD Collab.)
ABREU	97C	ZPHY C73 243	+Adam, Adye, Ajinenko+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	97G	PL B404 194	P. Abreu+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	97D	PL B393 465	+Adriani, Aguilar-Benitez, Ahlen+	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	97J	PL B407 351	M. Acciarri+	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	97K	PL B407 361	M. Acciarri+	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	97L	PL B407 389	M. Acciarri+	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	97R	PL B413 167	M. Acciarri+	(L3 Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97C	PL B391 221	+Alexander, Allison, Altekamp, Ametewee+	(OPAL Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97K	ZPHY C74 1	K. Ackerstaff+	(OPAL Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97M	ZPHY C74 413	K. Ackerstaff+	(OPAL Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97P	ZPHY C75 385	K. Ackerstaff+	(OPAL Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97S	PL B412 210	K. Ackerstaff+	(OPAL Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97T	ZPHY C76 387	K. Ackerstaff+	(OPAL Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97W	ZPHY C76 425	K. Ackerstaff+	(OPAL Collab.)
ALEXANDER	97C	ZPHY C73 379	+Allison, Altekamp, Ametewee+	(OPAL Collab.)
ALEXANDER	97D	ZPHY C73 569	+Allison, Altekamp, Ametewee+	(OPAL Collab.)
ALEXANDER	97E	ZPHY C73 587	+Allison, Altekamp, Ametewee+	(OPAL Collab.)
BARATE	97D	PL B405 191	R. Barate+	(ALEPH Collab.)
BARATE	97E	PL B401 150	R. Barate+	(ALEPH Collab.)

BARATE	97F	PL B401 163	R. Barate+	(ALEPH Collab.)
BARATE	97J	ZPHY C74 451	R. Barate+	(ALEPH Collab.)
ABE	96E	PR D53 1023	+Abt, Ahn, Akagi, Allen+	(SLD Collab.)
ABREU	96	ZPHY C70 531	+Adam, Adye+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	96C	PL B379 309	+Adam, Adye+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	96R	ZPHY C72 31	+Adam, Adye+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	96S	PL B389 405	+Adam, Adye, Ajinenko+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	96U	ZPHY C73 61	+Adam, Adye, Agasi, Ajinenko+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	96	PL B371 126	+Adam, Adriani+	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	96B	PL B370 195	+Adam, Adriani+	(L3 Collab.)
ADAM	96	ZPHY C69 561	+Adye, Agasi, Ajinenko+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ADAM	96B	ZPHY C70 371	+Adye, Agasi+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ALEXANDER	96	ZPHY C70 357	+Allison, Altekamp+	(OPAL Collab.)
ALEXANDER	96B	ZPHY C70 197	+Allison, Altekamp+	(OPAL Collab.)
ALEXANDER	96F	PL B370 185	+Allison, Altekamp+	(OPAL Collab.)
ALEXANDER	96N	PL B384 343	+Allison, Altekamp, Ametewee+	(OPAL Collab.)
ALEXANDER	96R	ZPHY C72 1	+Allison, Altekamp+	(OPAL Collab.)
ALEXANDER	96U	ZPHY C72 365	+Allison, Altekamp, Ametewee+	(OPAL Collab.)
ALEXANDER	96X	PL B376 232	G. Alexander+	(OPAL Collab.)
BUSKULIC	96D	ZPHY C69 393	+Casper, De Bonis, Decamp+	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	96H	ZPHY C69 379	+Casper, De Bonis+	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	96Q	PL B384 414	+De Bonis, Decamp, Ghez+	(ALEPH Collab.)
ABE	95J	PRL 74 2880	+Abt, Ahn, Akagi+	(SLD Collab.)
ABE	95K	PRL 74 2890	+Abt, Ahn, Akagi+	(SLD Collab.)
ABE	95L	PRL 74 2895	+Abt, Ahn, Akagi+	(SLD Collab.)
ABE,K	95	PRL 75 3609	K. Abe, Abt, Ahn, Akagi+	(SLD Collab.)
ABREU	95	ZPHY C65 709	erratum +Adam, Adye, Agasi+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	95D	ZPHY C66 323	+Adam, Adye, Agasi+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	95E	ZPHY C66 341	+Adam, Adye, Agasi+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	95F	NP B444 3	+Adam, Adye, Agasi+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	95G	ZPHY C67 1	+Adam, Adye, Agasi+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	95I	ZPHY C67 183	+Adam, Adye, Agasi+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	95J	ZPHY C65 555	+Adam, Adye, Agasi+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	95K	ZPHY C65 569	+Adam, Adye, Agasi+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	95L	ZPHY C65 587	+Adam, Adye, Agasi+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	95M	ZPHY C65 603	+Adam, Adye, Agasi, Ajinenko+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	95O	ZPHY C67 543	+Adam, Adye, Agasi+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	95R	ZPHY C68 353	+Adam, Adye, Agasi+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	95W	PL B361 207	+Adam, Adye, Agasi+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	95X	ZPHY C69 1	+Adam, Adye, Agasi+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	95B	PL B345 589	+Adam, Adriani, Aguilar-Benitez+	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	95C	PL B345 609	+Adam, Adriani, Aguilar-Benitez+	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	95G	PL B353 136	+Adam, Adriani, Aguilar-Benitez, Ahlen+	(L3 Collab.)
AKERS	95	ZPHY C65 1	+Alexander, Allison+	(OPAL Collab.)
AKERS	95B	ZPHY C65 17	+Alexander, Allison+	(OPAL Collab.)
AKERS	95C	ZPHY C65 47	+Alexander, Allison+	(OPAL Collab.)
AKERS	95O	ZPHY C67 27	+Alexander, Allison+	(OPAL Collab.)
AKERS	95S	ZPHY C67 365	+Alexander, Allison+	(OPAL Collab.)
AKERS	95U	ZPHY C67 389	+Alexander, Allison+	(OPAL Collab.)
AKERS	95W	ZPHY C67 555	+Alexander, Allison+	(OPAL Collab.)
AKERS	95X	ZPHY C68 1	+Alexander, Allison+	(OPAL Collab.)
AKERS	95Z	ZPHY C68 203	+Alexander, Allison+	(OPAL Collab.)
ALEXANDER	95D	PL B358 162	+Allison, Altekamp+	(OPAL Collab.)
BUSKULIC	95I	PL B352 479	+Casper, De Bonis+	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	95Q	ZPHY C69 183	+Casper, De Bonis+	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	95R	ZPHY C69 15	+Casper, De Bonis, Decamp+	(ALEPH Collab.)
MIYABAYASHI	95	PL B347 171	+Adachi, Fujii+	(TOPAZ Collab.)
ABE	94C	PRL 73 25	+Abt, Ash, Aston, Bacchetta, Baird+	(SLD Collab.)
ABREU	94	NP B418 403	+Adam, Adye, Agasi+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	94B	PL B327 386	+Adam, Adye, Agasi+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	94P	PL B341 109	+Adam, Adye, Agasi, Ajinenko+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	94	ZPHY C62 551	+Adam, Adriani, Aguilar-Benitez+	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	94B	PL B328 223	+Adam, Adriani, Aguilar-Benitez+	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	94D	PL B335 542	+Adam, Adriani, Aguilar-Benitez, Ahlen+	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	94E	PL B341 245	+Adam, Adriani+	(L3 Collab.)
AKERS	94	ZPHY C61 19	+Alexander, Allison+	(OPAL Collab.)
AKERS	94P	ZPHY C63 181	+Alexander, Allison+	(OPAL Collab.)
BUSKULIC	94	ZPHY C62 539	+Casper, De Bonis, Decamp, Ghez, Goy+	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	94G	ZPHY C62 179	+Casper, De Bonis, Decamp, Ghez+	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	94I	PL B335 99	+Casper, De Bonis+	(ALEPH Collab.)

BUSKULIC	94J	ZPHY C62 1	+De Bonis, Decamp+	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	94K	ZPHY C64 361	+De Bonis, Decamp+	(ALEPH Collab.)
VILAIN	94	PL B320 203	+Wilquet, Beyer+	(CHARM II Collab.)
ABE	93	PRL 70 2515	+Abt, Acton+	(SLD Collab.)
ABREU	93	PL B298 236	+Adam, Adye, Agasi+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	93I	ZPHY C59 533	+Adam, Adye, Agasi+	(DELPHI Collab.)
Also	95	ZPHY C65 709 erratum	Abreu, Adam, Adye, Agasi+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	93L	PL B318 249	+Adam, Adami, Adye+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACTON	93	PL B305 407	+Alexander, Allison+	(OPAL Collab.)
ACTON	93D	ZPHY C58 219	+Alexander, Allison+	(OPAL Collab.)
ACTON	93E	PL B311 391	+Akers, Alexander+	(OPAL Collab.)
ACTON	93F	ZPHY C58 405	+Alexander, Allison+	(OPAL Collab.)
ACTON	93K	ZPHY C60 19	+Akers, Alexander+	(OPAL Collab.)
ADRIANI	93	PL B301 136	+Aguilar-Benitez, Ahlen+	(L3 Collab.)
ADRIANI	93E	PL B307 237	+Aguilar-Benitez, Ahlen+	(L3 Collab.)
ADRIANI	93F	PL B309 451	+Aguilar-Benitez, Ahlen+	(L3 Collab.)
ADRIANI	93I	PL B316 427	+Aguilar-Benitez, Ahlen+	(L3 Collab.)
ADRIANI	93J	PL B317 467	+Aguilar-Benitez, Ahlen, Alcaraz+	(L3 Collab.)
ADRIANI	93M	PRPL 236 1	+Aguilar-Benitez, Ahlen, Alcaraz, Aloisio+	(L3 Collab.)
AKERS	93B	ZPHY C60 199	+Alexander, Allison, Anderson, Arcelli+	(OPAL Collab.)
AKERS	93D	ZPHY C60 601	+Alexander, Allison+	(OPAL Collab.)
BUSKULIC	93J	ZPHY C60 71	+Decamp, Goy, Lees, Minard+	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	93L	PL B313 520	+De Bonis, Decamp+	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	93N	PL B313 549	+De Bonis, Decamp+	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	93P	ZPHY C59 369	+Decamp, Goy+	(ALEPH Collab.)
NOVIKOV	93C	PL B298 453	+Okun, Vysotsky	(ITEP)
ABREU	92	ZPHY C53 567	+Adam, Adami, Adye+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	92G	PL B275 231	+Adam, Adami, Adye+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	92H	PL B276 536	+Adam, Adami, Adye+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	92I	PL B277 371	+Adam, Adami, Adye+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	92K	PL B281 383	+Adam, Adami, Adye+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	92M	PL B289 199	+Adam, Adye, Agasi, Alekseev+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	92N	ZPHY C55 555	+Adam, Adye, Agasi+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	92O	PL B295 383	+Adam, Adami, Adye+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACTON	92B	ZPHY C53 539	+Alexander, Allison, Allport+	(OPAL Collab.)
ACTON	92J	PL B291 503	+Alexander, Allison, Allport+	(OPAL Collab.)
ACTON	92L	PL B294 436	+Alexander, Allison, Allport+	(OPAL Collab.)
ACTON	92N	PL B295 357	+Alexander, Allison, Allport, Anderson+	(OPAL Collab.)
ACTON	92O	ZPHY C56 521	+Alexander, Allison+	(OPAL Collab.)
ADEVA	92	PL B275 209	+Adriani, Aguilar-Benitez+	(L3 Collab.)
ADRIANI	92B	PL B288 404	+Aguilar-Benitez, Ahlen, Akbari, Alcaraz+	(L3 Collab.)
ADRIANI	92D	PL B292 454	+Aguilar-Benitez, Ahlen, Akbari+	(L3 Collab.)
ADRIANI	92E	PL B292 463	+Aguilar-Benitez, Ahlen, Akbari, Alcaraz+	(L3 Collab.)
ALITTI	92B	PL B276 354	+Ambrosini, Ansari, Autiero, Bareyre+	(UA2 Collab.)
BUSKULIC	92D	PL B292 210	+Decamp, Goy, Lees+	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	92E	PL B294 145	+Decamp, Goy, Lees, Minard+	(ALEPH Collab.)
DECAMP	92	PRPL 216 253	+Deschizeaux, Goy, Lees, Minard+	(ALEPH Collab.)
DECAMP	92B	ZPHY C53 1	+Deschizeaux, Goy, Lees, Minard+	(ALEPH Collab.)
LEP	92	PL B276 247	+ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL	(LEP Collabs.)
ABE	91E	PRL 67 1502	+Amidei, Apollinari+	(CDF Collab.)
ABREU	91H	ZPHY C50 185	+Adam, Adami, Adye+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACTON	91B	PL B273 338	+Alexander, Allison, Allport, Anderson+	(OPAL Collab.)
ADACHI	91	PL B255 613	+Anazawa, Doser, Enomoto+	(TOPAZ Collab.)
ADEVA	91I	PL B259 199	+Adriani, Aguilar-Benitez, Akbari+	(L3 Collab.)
AKRAWY	91F	PL B257 531	+Alexander, Allison, Allport, Anderson+	(OPAL Collab.)
DECAMP	91B	PL B259 377	+Deschizeaux, Goy+	(ALEPH Collab.)
DECAMP	91J	PL B266 218	+Deschizeaux, Goy, Lees+	(ALEPH Collab.)
DECAMP	91K	PL B273 181	+Deschizeaux, Goy, Lees, Minard+	(ALEPH Collab.)
JACOBSEN	91	PRL 67 3347	+Koetke, Adolphsen, Fujino+	(Mark II Collab.)
SHIMONAKA	91	PL B268 457	+Fujii, Miyamoto+	(TOPAZ Collab.)
ABE	90I	ZPHY C48 13	+Amako, Arai, Asano, Chiba+	(VENUS Collab.)
ABRAMS	90	PRL 64 1334	+Adolphsen, Averill, Ballam+	(Mark II Collab.)
ADACHI	90F	PL B234 525	+Doser, Enomoto, Fujii+	(TOPAZ Collab.)
AKRAWY	90J	PL B246 285	+Alexander, Allison, Allport, Anderson+	(OPAL Collab.)
BEHREND	90D	ZPHY C47 333	+Criegee, Field, Franke, Jung+	(CELLO Collab.)
BRAUNSCH...	90	ZPHY C48 433	Braunschweig, Gerhards, Kirschfink+	(TASSO Collab.)
ELSEN	90	ZPHY C46 349	+Allison, Ambrus, Barlow, Bartel+	(JADE Collab.)
HEGNER	90	ZPHY C46 547	+Naroska, Schroth, Allison+	(JADE Collab.)
KRAL	90	PRL 64 1211	+Abrams, Adolphsen, Averill, Ballam+	(Mark II Collab.)



STUART	90	PRL 64 983	+Breedon, Kim, Ko, Lander, Maeshima+	(AMY Collab.)
ABE	89	PRL 62 613	+Amidei, Apollinari, Ascori, Atac+	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	89C	PRL 63 720	+Amidei, Apollinari, Atac, Auchincloss+	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	89L	PL B232 425	+Amako, Arai, Asano, Chiba+	(VENUS Collab.)
ABRAMS	89B	PRL 63 2173	+Adolphsen, Averill, Ballam, Barish+	(Mark II Collab.)
ABRAMS	89D	PRL 63 2780	+Adolphsen, Averill, Ballam, Barish+	(Mark II Collab.)
ALBAJAR	89	ZPHY C44 15	+Albrow, Allkofer, Arnison, Astbury+	(UA1 Collab.)
BACALA	89	PL B218 112	+Malchow, Sparks, Imlay, Kirk+	(AMY Collab.)
BAND	89	PL B218 369	+Camporesi, Chadwick, Delfino, Desangro+	(MAC Collab.)
GREENSHAW	89	ZPHY C42 1	+Warming, Allison, Ambrus, Barlow+	(JADE Collab.)
OULD-SAAD	89	ZPHY C44 567	+Allison, Ambrus, Barlow, Bartel+	(JADE Collab.)
SAGAWA	89	PRL 63 2341	+Lim, Abe, Fujii, Higashi+	(AMY Collab.)
ADACHI	88C	PL B208 319	+Aihara, Dijkstra, Enomoto, Fujii+	(TOPAZ Collab.)
ADEVA	88	PR D38 2665	+Anderhub, Ansari, Becker+	(Mark-J Collab.)
BRAUNSCH...	88D	ZPHY C40 163	Braunschweig, Gerhards, Kirschfink+	(TASSO Collab.)
ANSARI	87	PL B186 440	+Bagnaia, Banner, Battiston+	(UA2 Collab.)
BEHREND	87C	PL B191 209	+Buerger, Criegee, Dainton+	(CELLO Collab.)
BARTEL	86C	ZPHY C30 371	+Becker, Cords, Felst, Haidt+	(JADE Collab.)
Also	85B	ZPHY C26 507	Bartel, Becker, Bowdery, Cords+	(JADE Collab.)
Also	82	PL 108B 140	Bartel, Cords, Dittmann, Eichler+	(JADE Collab.)
ASH	85	PRL 55 1831	+Band, Blume, Camporesi+	(MAC Collab.)
BARTEL	85F	PL 161B 188	+Becker, Cords, Felst+	(JADE Collab.)
DERRICK	85	PR D31 2352	+Fernandez, Fries, Hyman+	(HRS Collab.)
FERNANDEZ	85	PRL 54 1624	+Ford, Qi, Read+	(MAC Collab.)
LEVI	83	PRL 51 1941	+Blocker, Strait+	(Mark II Collab.)
BEHREND	82	PL 114B 282	+Chen, Fenner, Field+	(CELLO Collab.)
BRANDELIK	82C	PL 110B 173	+Braunschweig, Gather	(TASSO Collab.)