

X(1900–3600)OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE
THE X(1900–3600) REGION

This high-mass region is covered nearly continuously with evidence for peaks of various widths and decay modes. As no satisfactory grouping into particles is yet possible, we list together in order of increasing mass all the $Y=0$ bumps above 1900 MeV that are coupled neither to $\bar{N}N$ nor to e^+e^- .

X(1900–3600) MASSES AND WIDTHS

We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc.

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>				
1900 to 3600 OUR LIMIT						
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
1870 ± 40		¹ ALDE	86D	GAM4	0	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\eta X$
250 ± 30		¹ ALDE	86D	GAM4	0	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\eta X$
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
1898 ± 18	100	THOMPSON	74	HBC	+	13 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow 2\rho X$
108 ⁺⁴¹ ₋₂₇	100	THOMPSON	74	HBC	+	13 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow 2\rho X$
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
1900 ± 40	100	BOESEBECK	68	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow$ $\pi^+ \pi^0 X$
216 ± 105	100	BOESEBECK	68	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow$ $\pi^+ \pi^0 X$
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
1929 ± 14		² FOCACCI	66	MMS	-	3-12 $\pi^- p$
22 ± 2		² FOCACCI	66	MMS	-	3-12 $\pi^- p$
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
1970 ± 10		CHLIAPNIK...	80	HBC	0	32 $K^+ p \rightarrow$ $2K_S^0 2\pi X$
40 ± 20		CHLIAPNIK...	80	HBC	0	32 $K^+ p \rightarrow$ $2K_S^0 2\pi X$
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
1973 ± 15	30	CASO	70	HBC	-	11.2 $\pi^- p \rightarrow$ $\rho 2\pi$
80	30	CASO	70	HBC	-	11.2 $\pi^- p \rightarrow$ $\rho 2\pi$

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
2070	50	TAKAHASHI 72	HBC	$8 \pi^- p \rightarrow N 2\pi$	
160	50	TAKAHASHI 72	HBC	$8 \pi^- p \rightarrow N 2\pi$	
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
~ 2104		BUGG 95	MRK3		$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
2103 ± 50	586	³ BISELLO 89B	DM2		$J/\psi \rightarrow 4\pi\gamma$
187 ± 75	586	³ BISELLO 89B	DM2		$J/\psi \rightarrow 4\pi\gamma$
2100 ± 40		⁴ ALDE 86D	GAM4	0	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\eta X$
250 ± 40		⁴ ALDE 86D	GAM4	0	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\eta X$
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
2141 ± 12	389	GREEN 86	MPSF	400 $pA \rightarrow 4KX$	
49 ± 28	389	GREEN 86	MPSF	400 $pA \rightarrow 4KX$	
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2190 ± 10		CLAYTON 67	HBC	±	$2.5 \bar{p} p \rightarrow a_2, \omega$
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2195 ± 15		² FOCACCI 66	MMS	-	3-12 $\pi^- p$
39 ± 14		² FOCACCI 66	MMS	-	3-12 $\pi^- p$
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2207 ± 22		⁵ CASO 70	HBC	-	11.2 $\pi^- p$
130		⁵ CASO 70	HBC	-	11.2 $\pi^- p$
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
2280 ± 50		ATKINSON 85	OMEG	20-70 $\gamma p \rightarrow p\omega\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	
440 ± 110		ATKINSON 85	OMEG	20-70 $\gamma p \rightarrow p\omega\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2300 ± 100		ATKINSON 84F	OMEG	±0	20-70 $\gamma p \rightarrow \rho f$
~ 250		ATKINSON 84F	OMEG	±0	20-70 $\gamma p \rightarrow \rho f$
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2330 ± 30		ATKINSON 88	OMEG	0	25-50 $\gamma p \rightarrow \rho^\pm \rho^0 \pi^\mp$
435 ± 75		ATKINSON 88	OMEG	0	25-50 $\gamma p \rightarrow \rho^\pm \rho^0 \pi^\mp$
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2340 ± 20	126	⁶ BALTAY 75	HBC	+	15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow p 5\pi$
180 ± 60	126	⁶ BALTAY 75	HBC	+	15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow p 5\pi$
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2382 ± 24		² FOCACCI 66	MMS	-	3-12 $\pi^- p$
62 ± 6		² FOCACCI 66	MMS	-	3-12 $\pi^- p$

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
2500 ± 32		ANDERSON	69	MMS	–	16 $\pi^- p$ backward
87		ANDERSON	69	MMS	–	16 $\pi^- p$ backward
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
2620 ± 20	550	BAUD	69	MMS	–	8–10 $\pi^- p$
85 ± 30	550	BAUD	69	MMS	–	8–10 $\pi^- p$
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
2676 ± 27		⁵ CASO	70	HBC	–	11.2 $\pi^- p$
150		⁵ CASO	70	HBC	–	11.2 $\pi^- p$
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>		
2747 ± 32		DENNEY	83	LASS	10 $\pi^+ N$	
195 ± 75		DENNEY	83	LASS	10 $\pi^+ N$	
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
2800 ± 20	640	BAUD	69	MMS	–	8–10 $\pi^- p$
46 ± 10	640	BAUD	69	MMS	–	8–10 $\pi^- p$
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
2820 ± 10	15	⁷ SABAU	71	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p$
50 ± 10	15	⁷ SABAU	71	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+ p$
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
2880 ± 20	230	BAUD	69	MMS	–	8–10 $\pi^- p$
< 15	230	BAUD	69	MMS	–	8–10 $\pi^- p$
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
3025 ± 20		BAUD	70	MMS	–	10.5–13 $\pi^- p$
~ 25		BAUD	70	MMS	–	10.5–13 $\pi^- p$
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
3075 ± 20		BAUD	70	MMS	–	10.5–13 $\pi^- p$
~ 25		BAUD	70	MMS	–	10.5–13 $\pi^- p$
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
3145 ± 20		BAUD	70	MMS	–	10.5–15 $\pi^- p$
< 10		BAUD	70	MMS	–	10.5–15 $\pi^- p$
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
3475 ± 20		BAUD	70	MMS	–	14–15.5 $\pi^- p$
~ 30		BAUD	70	MMS	–	14–15.5 $\pi^- p$

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
3535 ± 20	BAUD	70	MMS	— 14–15.5 $\pi^- p$
~ 30	BAUD	70	MMS	— 14–15.5 $\pi^- p$

¹ Seen in $J = 2$ wave in one of the two ambiguous solutions.

² Not seen by ANTIPOV 72, who performed a similar experiment at 25 and 40 GeV/c.

³ ASTON 81B sees no peak, has 850 events in Ajinenko+Barth bins. ARESTOV 80 sees no peak.

⁴ Seen in $J = 0$ wave in one of the two ambiguous solutions.

⁵ Seen in $\rho^- \pi^+ \pi^-$ (ω and η antiselected in 4π system).

⁶ Dominant decay into $\rho^0 \rho^0 \pi^+$. BALTAY 78 finds confirmation in $2\pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$ events which contain $\rho^+ \rho^0 \pi^0$ and $2\rho^+ \pi^-$.

⁷ Seen in $(K \bar{K} \pi \pi)$ mass distribution.

X(1900–3600) REFERENCES

BUGG	95	PL B353 378	+Scott, Zoli+	(LOQM, PNPI, WASH)
BISELLO	89B	PR D39 701	Busetto+	(DM2 Collab.)
ATKINSON	88	ZPHY C38 535	+Axon+ (BONN, CERN, GLAS, LANC, MCHS, CURIN)	
ALDE	86D	NP B269 485	+Binon, Bricman+ (BELG, LAPP, SERP, CERN, LANL)	
GREEN	86	PRL 56 1639	+Lai+ (FNAL, ARIZ, FSU, NDAM, TUFTS, VAND+)	
ATKINSON	85	ZPHY C29 333	+ (BONN, CERN, GLAS, LANC, MCHS, IPNP+)	
ATKINSON	84F	NP B239 1	+ (BONN, CERN, GLAS, LANC, MCHS, IPNP+)	
DENNEY	83	PR D28 2726	+Cranley, Firestone, Chapman+ (IOWA, MICH) J	
ASTON	81B	NP B189 205	+ (BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC, MCHS+)	
ARESTOV	80	IHEP 80-165	+Bogoljubski+ (SERP)	
CHLIAPNIK...	80	ZPHY C3 285	Chliapnikov, Gerdyukov+ (SERP, BRUX, MONS)	
BALTAY	78	PR D17 52	+Cautis, Cohen, Csorna, Kalelkar+ (COLU, BING)	
BALTAY	75	PRL 35 891	+Cautis, Cohen, Kalelkar, Pisello+ (COLU, BING)	
THOMPSON	74	NP B69 220	+Gaidos, McIlwain, Miller, Mulera+ (PURD)	
ANTIPOV	72	PL 40 147	+Kienzle, Landsberg+ (SERP)	
TAKAHASHI	72	PR D6 1266	+Barish+ (TOHOK, PENN, NDAM, ANL)	
SABAU	71	LNC 1 514	+Uretsky (BUCH, ANL)	
BAUD	70	PL 31B 549	+Benz+ (CERN Boson Spectrometer Collab.)	
CASO	70	LNC 3 707	+Conte, Tomasini+ (GENO, HAMB, MILA, SACL)	
ANDERSON	69	PRL 22 1390	+Collins+ (BNL, CMU)	
BAUD	69	PL 30B 129	+Benz+ (CERN Boson Spectrometer Collab.)	
BOESEBECK	68	NP B4 501	+Deutschmann+ (AACH, BERL, CERN)	
CLAYTON	67	Heidelberg Conf. 57	+Mason, Muirhead, Filippas+ (LIVP, ATHU)	
FOCACCI	66	PRL 17 890	+Kienzle, Levrat, Maglich, Martin (CERN)	

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

ANTIPOV	72	PL 40 147	+Kienzle, Landsberg+	(SERP)
CHIKOVANI	66	PL 22 233	+Kienzle, Maglich+	(SERP)