$K^*(892)$

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(1^-)$

$K^*(892)$ MASS

CHARGED ONLY

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
891.66±0.26 OUR AVERAGE						
892.6 ±0.5	5840	BAUBILLIER	84B	HBC	−	8.25 $K^- p \to \overline{K}^0 \pi^- p$
888 ±3		NAPIER	84	SPEC	+	200 $\pi^- p \to 2K^0_S$ X
891 ±1		NAPIER	84	SPEC	−	200 $\pi^- p \to 2K^0_S$ X
891.7 ±2.1	3700	BARTH	83	HBC	+	70 $K^+ p \to K^0 \pi^+$ X
891 ±1	4100	TOAFF	81	HBC	−	6.5 $K^- p \to \overline{K}^0 \pi^- p$
892.8 ±1.6		AJINENKO	80	HBC	+	32 $K^+ p \to K^0 \pi^+$ X
890.7 ±0.9	1800	AGUILAR-...	78B	HBC	±	0.76 $\overline{p} p \to K^\mp K^0_S \pi^\pm$
886.6 ±2.4	1225	BALAND	78	HBC	±	12 $\overline{p} p \to (K\pi)^\pm$ X
891.7 ±0.6	6706	COOPER	78	HBC	±	0.76 $\overline{p} p \to (K\pi)^\pm$ X
891.9 ±0.7	9000	[1] PALER	75	HBC	−	14.3 $K^- p \to (K\pi)^-$ X
892.2 ±1.5	4404	AGUILAR-...	71B	HBC	−	3.9,4.6 $K^- p \to (K\pi)^- p$
891 ±2	1000	CRENNELL	69D	DBC	−	3.9 $K^- N \to K^0 \pi^-$ X
890 ±3.0	720	BARLOW	67	HBC	±	1.2 $\overline{p} p \to (K^0\pi)^\pm K^\mp$
889 ±3.0	600	BARLOW	67	HBC	±	1.2 $\overline{p} p \to (K^0\pi)^\pm K\pi$
891 ±2.3	620	[2] DEBAERE	67B	HBC	+	3.5 $K^+ p \to K^0 \pi^+ p$
891.0 ±1.2	1700	[3] WOJCICKI	64	HBC	−	1.7 $K^- p \to \overline{K}^0 \pi^- p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
890.4 ±0.2 ±0.5	79709±801	[4] BIRD	89	LASS	−	11 $K^- p \to \overline{K}^0 \pi^- p$
890.0 ±2.3	800	[2,3] CLELAND	82	SPEC	+	30 $K^+ p \to K^0_S \pi^+ p$
896.0 ±1.1	3200	[2,3] CLELAND	82	SPEC	+	50 $K^+ p \to K^0_S \pi^+ p$
893 ±1	3600	[2,3] CLELAND	82	SPEC	−	50 $K^+ p \to K^0_S \pi^- p$
896.0 ±1.9	380	DELFOSSE	81	SPEC	+	50 $K^\pm p \to K^\pm \pi^0 p$
886.0 ±2.3	187	DELFOSSE	81	SPEC	−	50 $K^\pm p \to K^\pm \pi^0 p$
894.2 ±2.0	765	[2] CLARK	73	HBC	−	3.13 $K^- p \to \overline{K}^0 \pi^- p$
894.3 ±1.5	1150	[2,3] CLARK	73	HBC	−	3.3 $K^- p \to \overline{K}^0 \pi^- p$
892.0 ±2.6	341	[2] SCHWEING...	68	HBC	−	5.5 $K^- p \to \overline{K}^0 \pi^- p$

NEUTRAL ONLY

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
896.10±0.28 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.				
895.9 ±0.5 ±0.2		ASTON	88	LASS	0	11 $K^- p \to K^- \pi^+ n$
894.52±0.63	25k	[1] ATKINSON	86	OMEG		20–70 γp
894.63±0.76	20k	[1] ATKINSON	86	OMEG		20–70 γp
897 ±1	28k	EVANGELISTA	80	OMEG	0	10 $\pi^- p \to$ $K^+ \pi^- (\Lambda, \Sigma)$
898.4 ±1.4	1180	AGUILAR-...	78B	HBC	0	0.76 $\overline{p} p \to$ $K^\mp K^0_S \pi^\pm$
894.9 ±1.6		WICKLUND	78	ASPK	0	3,4,6 $K^\pm N \to$ $(K\pi)^0 N$
897.6 ±0.9		BOWLER	77	DBC	0	5.4 $K^+ d \to$ $K^+ \pi^- p p$
895.5 ±1.0	3600	MCCUBBIN	75	HBC	0	3.6 $K^- p \to K^- \pi^+ n$
897.1 ±0.7	22k	[1] PALER	75	HBC	0	14.3 $K^- p \to (K\pi)^0$ X
896.0 ±0.6	10k	FOX	74	RVUE	0	2 $K^- p \to K^- \pi^+ n$
896.0 ±0.6		FOX	74	RVUE	0	2 $K^+ n \to K^+ \pi^- p$
896 ±2		[5] MATISON	74	HBC	0	12 $K^+ p \to K^+ \pi^- \Delta$
896 ±1	3186	LEWIS	73	HBC	0	2.1–2.7 $K^+ p \to$ $K \pi \pi p$
894.0 ±1.3		[5] LINGLIN	73	HBC	0	2–13 $K^+ p \to$ $K^+ \pi^- \pi^+ p$
898.4 ±1.3	1700	[2] BUCHNER	72	DBC	0	4.6 $K^+ n \to K^+ \pi^- p$
897.9 ±1.1	2934	[2] AGUILAR-...	71B	HBC	0	3.9,4.6 $K^- p \to$ $K^- \pi^+ n$
898.0 ±0.7	5362	[2] AGUILAR-...	71B	HBC	0	3.9,4.6 $K^- p \to$ $K^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
895 ±1	4300	[3] HABER	70	DBC	0	3 $K^- N \to K^- \pi^+$ X
893.7 ±2.0	10k	DAVIS	69	HBC	0	12 $K^+ p \to$ $K^+ \pi^- \pi^+ p$
894.7 ±1.4	1040	[2] DAUBER	67B	HBC	0	2.0 $K^- p \to$ $K^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
900.7 ±1.1	5900	BARTH	83	HBC	0	70 $K^+ p \to K^+ \pi^-$ X



$K^*(892)^0$ mass (MeV)

[1] Inclusive reaction. Complicated background and phase-space effects.
[2] Mass errors enlarged by us to $\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$. See note.
[3] Number of events in peak reevaluated by us.
[4] From a partial wave amplitude analysis.
[5] From pole extrapolation.

$K^*(892)$ MASSES AND MASS DIFFERENCES

Unrealistically small errors have been reported by some experiments. We use simple "realistic" tests for the minimum errors on the determination of a mass and width from a sample of N events:

$$\delta_{\min}(m) = \frac{\Gamma}{\sqrt{N}}, \quad \delta_{\min}(\Gamma) = 4\frac{\Gamma}{\sqrt{N}} \ .$$

We consistently increase unrealistic errors before averaging. For a detailed discussion, see the 1971 edition of this Note.

$m_{K^*(892)^0} - m_{K^*(892)^\pm}$

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
6.7±1.2 OUR AVERAGE						
7.7±1.7	2980	AGUILAR-...	78B	HBC	±0	0.76 $\overline{p}p \rightarrow K^\mp K^0_S \pi^\pm$
5.7±1.7	7338	AGUILAR-...	71B	HBC	−0	3.9,4.6 $K^- p$
6.3±4.1	283	[6] BARASH	67B	HBC		0.0 $\overline{p}p$

[6] Number of events in peak reevaluated by us.

$K^*(892)$ RANGE PARAMETER

All from partial wave amplitude analyses.

VALUE (GeV^{-1})	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
3.4±0.7	ASTON	88	LASS	0	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
12.1±3.2±3.0	BIRD	89	LASS	−	11 $K^- p \rightarrow \overline{K}^0 \pi^- p$

$K^*(892)$ WIDTH

CHARGED ONLY

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
50.8±0.9 OUR FIT						
50.8±0.9 OUR AVERAGE						
49 ±2	5840	BAUBILLIER	84B	HBC	−	8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow \overline{K}^0 \pi^- p$
56 ±4		NAPIER	84	SPEC	−	200 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2K^0_S$ X
51 ±2	4100	TOAFF	81	HBC	−	6.5 $K^- p \rightarrow \overline{K}^0 \pi^- p$
50.5±5.6		AJINENKO	80	HBC	+	32 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+$ X
45.8±3.6	1800	AGUILAR-...	78B	HBC	±	0.76 $\overline{p}p \rightarrow K^\mp K^0_S \pi^\pm$
52.0±2.5	6706	[7] COOPER	78	HBC	±	0.76 $\overline{p}p \rightarrow (K\pi)^\pm$ X
52.1±2.2	9000	[8] PALER	75	HBC	−	14.3 $K^- p \rightarrow (K\pi)^-$ X
46.3±6.7	765	[7] CLARK	73	HBC	−	3.13 $K^- p \rightarrow \overline{K}^0 \pi^- p$
48.2±5.7	1150	[7,9] CLARK	73	HBC	−	3.3 $K^- p \rightarrow \overline{K}^0 \pi^- p$
54.3±3.3	4404	[7] AGUILAR-...	71B	HBC	−	3.9,4.6 $K^- p \rightarrow (K\pi)^- p$
46 ±5	1700	[7,9] WOJCICKI	64	HBC	−	1.7 $K^- p \rightarrow \overline{K}^0 \pi^- p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
45.2±1 ±2	79709±801	[10] BIRD	89	LASS	−	11 $K^- p \rightarrow \overline{K}^0 \pi^- p$
42.8±7.1	3700	BARTH	83	HBC	+	70 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+$ X
64.0±9.2	800	[7,9] CLELAND	82	SPEC	+	30 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^0_S \pi^+ p$
62.0±4.4	3200	[7,9] CLELAND	82	SPEC	+	50 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^0_S \pi^+ p$
55 ±4	3600	[7,9] CLELAND	82	SPEC	−	50 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^0_S \pi^- p$
62.6±3.8	380	DELFOSSE	81	SPEC	+	50 $K^\pm p \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^0 p$
50.5±3.9	187	DELFOSSE	81	SPEC	−	50 $K^\pm p \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^0 p$

NEUTRAL ONLY

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
50.5±0.6 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.						
50.5±0.6 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.						
50.8±0.8±0.9		ASTON	88	LASS	0	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
46.5±4.3	5900	BARTH	83	HBC	0	70 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- X$
54 ±2	28k	EVANGELISTA	80	OMEG	0	10 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- (\Lambda, \Sigma)$
45.9±4.8	1180	AGUILAR-...	78B	HBC	0	0.76 $\overline{p} p \rightarrow K^\mp K^0_S \pi^\pm$
51.2±1.7		WICKLUND	78	ASPK	0	3,4,6 $K^\pm N \rightarrow (K\pi)^0 N$
48.9±2.5		BOWLER	77	DBC	0	5.4 $K^+ d \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- p p$
48 $^{+3}_{-2}$	3600	MCCUBBIN	75	HBC	0	3.6 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
50.6±2.5	22k	[8] PALER	75	HBC	0	14.3 $K^- p \rightarrow (K\pi)^0$ X
47 ±2	10k	FOX	74	RVUE	0	2 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
51 ±2		FOX	74	RVUE	0	2 $K^+ n \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- p$
46.0±3.3	3186	[7] LEWIS	73	HBC	0	2.1–2.7 $K^+ p \rightarrow K \pi \pi p$
51.4±5.0	1700	[7] BUCHNER	72	DBC	0	4.6 $K^+ n \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- p$
55.8 $^{+4.2}_{-3.4}$	2934	[7] AGUILAR-...	71B	HBC	0	3.9,4.6 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
48.5±2.7	5362	AGUILAR-...	71B	HBC	0	3.9,4.6 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
54.0±3.3	4300	[7,9] HABER	70	DBC	0	3 $K^- N \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ X$
53.2±2.1	10k	[7] DAVIS	69	HBC	0	12 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \pi^+ p$
44 ±5.5	1040	[7] DAUBER	67B	HBC	0	2.0 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$

[7] Width errors enlarged by us to $4 \times \Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see note.
[8] Inclusive reaction. Complicated background and phase-space effects.
[9] Number of events in peak reevaluated by us.
[10] From a partial wave amplitude analysis.

*K**(892) DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1	$K\pi$	$\sim$ 100 %	
Γ_2	$(K\pi)^\pm$	(99.901±0.009) %	
Γ_3	$(K\pi)^0$	(99.770±0.020) %	
Γ_4	$K^0\gamma$	(2.30 ±0.20) $\times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_5	$K^\pm\gamma$	(9.9 ±0.9) $\times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_6	$K\pi\pi$	$<$ 7 $\times 10^{-4}$	95%

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to the total width and a partial width uses 13 measurements and one constraint to determine 3 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 7.8$ for 11 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \cdot \delta p_j)$, in percent, from the fit to parameters p_i, including the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_5	−100		
Γ	19	−19	
	x_2	x_5	

	Mode	Rate (MeV)
Γ_2	$(K\pi)^{\pm}$	50.7 ±0.9
Γ_5	$K^{\pm}\gamma$	0.050±0.005

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to the total width and a partial width uses 18 measurements and one constraint to determine 3 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 18.4$ for 16 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \cdot \delta p_j)$, in percent, from the fit to parameters p_i, including the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_4	−100		
Γ	14	−14	
	x_3	x_4	

	Mode	Rate (MeV)	Scale factor
Γ_3	$(K\pi)^0$	50.4 ±0.6	1.1
Γ_4	$K^0\gamma$	0.117±0.010	

$K^*(892)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(K^0\gamma)$ Γ_4

VALUE (keV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
116 ±10 OUR FIT						
116.5± 9.9	584	CARLSMITH	86	SPEC	0	K^0_L A → $K^0_S\pi^0$ A

$\Gamma(K^{\pm}\gamma)$ Γ_5

VALUE (keV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
50± 5 OUR FIT					
50± 5 OUR AVERAGE					
48±11	BERG	83	SPEC	−	156 K^- A → $\overline{K}\pi$ A
51± 5	CHANDLEE	83	SPEC	+	200 K^+ A → $K\pi$ A

$K^*(892)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(K^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
2.30±0.20 OUR FIT					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.5 ±0.7	CARITHERS	75B	CNTR	0	8–16 $\overline{K}^0$ A

$\Gamma(K^{\pm}\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
0.99±0.09 OUR FIT						
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<1.6	95	BEMPORAD	73	CNTR	+	10–16 K^+ A

$\Gamma(K\pi\pi)/\Gamma((K\pi)^{\pm})$ Γ_6/Γ_2

VALUE	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
<0.0007	95	JONGEJANS	78	HBC		4 $K^- p \rightarrow p\overline{K}^0 2\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<0.002		WOJCICKI	64	HBC	−	1.7 $K^- p \rightarrow \overline{K}^0 \pi^- p$

$K^*(892)$ REFERENCES

BIRD	89	SLAC-332		(SLAC)
ASTON	88	NP B296 493	+Awaji, Bienz, Bird+	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
ATKINSON	86	ZPHY C30 521	+	(BONN, CERN, GLAS, LANC, MCHS, CURIN+)
CARLSMITH	86	PRL 56 18	+Bernstein, Peyaud, Turlay	(EFI, SACL)
BAUBILLIER	84B	ZPHY C26 37	+	(BIRM, CERN, GLAS, MICH, CURIN)
NAPIER	84	PL 149B 514	+Chen+	(TUFTS, ARIZ, FNAL, FLOR, NDAM+)
BARTH	83	NP B223 296	+Drevermann+	(BRUX, CERN, GENO, MONS+)
BERG	83	Thesis UMI 83-21652		(ROCH)
CHANDLEE	83	PRL 51 168	+Berg, Cihangir, Collick+	(ROCH, FNAL, MINN)
CLELAND	82	NP B208 189	+Delfosse, Dorsaz, Gloor	(DURH, GEVA, LAUS, PITT)
DELFOSSE	81	NP B183 349	+Guisan, Martin, Muhlemann, Weill+	(GEVA, LAUS)
TOAFF	81	PR D23 1500	+Musgrave, Ammar, Davis, Ecklund+	(ANL, KANS)
AJINENKO	80	ZPHY C5 177	+Barth, Dujardin+	(SERP, BRUX, MONS, SACL)
EVANGELISTA	80	NP B165 383	+	(BARI, BONN, CERN, DARE, GLAS, LIVP+)
AGUILAR-...	78B	NP B141 101	Aguilar-Benitez+	(MADR, TATA, CERN+)
BALAND	78	NP B140 220	+Grard+	(MONS, BELG, CERN, LOIC, LALO)
COOPER	78	NP B136 365	+Gurtu+	(TATA, CERN, CDEF+)
JONGEJANS	78	NP B139 383	+Cerrada+	(ZEEM, CERN, NIJM, OXF)
WICKLUND	78	PR D17 1197	+Ayres, Diebold, Greene, Kramer, Pawlicki	(ANL)
BOWLER	77	NP B126 31	+Dainton, Drake, Williams	(OXF)

CARITHERS	75B	PRL 35 349	+Muhlemann, Underwood+	(ROCH, MCGI)
MCCUBBIN	75	NP B86 13	+Lyons	(OXF)
PALER	75	NP B96 1	+Tovey, Shah, Spiro+	(RHEL, SACL, EPOL)
FOX	74	NP B80 403	+Griss	(CIT)
MATISON	74	PR D9 1872	+Galtieri, Alston-Garnjost, Flatte, Friedman+	(LBL)
BEMPORAD	73	NP B51 1	+Beusch, Freudenreich+	(CERN, ETH, LOIC)
CLARK	73	NP B54 432	+Lyons, Radojicic	(OXF)
LEWIS	73	NP B60 283	+Allen, Jacobs+	(LOWC, LOIC, CDEF)
LINGLIN	73	NP B55 408		(CERN)
BUCHNER	72	NP B45 333	+Dehm, Charriere, Cornet+	(MPIM, CERN, BRUX)
AGUILAR-...	71B	PR D4 2583	Aguilar-Benitez, Eisner, Kinson	(BNL)
HABER	70	NP B17 289	+Shapira, Alexander+	(REHO, SACL, BGNA, EPOL)
CRENNELL	69D	PRL 22 487	+Karshon, Lai, O'Neall, Scarr	(BNL)
DAVIS	69	PRL 23 1071	+Derenzo, Flatte, Garnjost, Lynch, Solmitz	(LRL)
SCHWEING...	68	PR 166 1317	Schweingruber, Derrick, Fields+	(ANL, NWES)
BARASH	67B	PR 156 1399	+Kirsch, Miller, Tan	(COLU)
BARLOW	67	NC 50A 701	+Lillestol, Montanet+	(CERN, CDEF, IRAD, LIVP)
DAUBER	67B	PR 153 1403	+Schlein, Slater, Ticho	(UCLA)
DEBAERE	67B	NC 51A 401	+Goldschmidt-Clermont, Henri+	(BRUX, CERN)
WOJCICKI	64	PR 135B 484		(LRL)

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

KAMAL	92	PL B284 421	+Xu	(ALBE)
NAPIER	84	PL 149B 514	+Chen+	(TUFTS, ARIZ, FNAL, FLOR, NDAM+)
CLELAND	82	NP B208 189	+Delfosse, Dorsaz, Gloor	(DURH, GEVA, LAUS, PITT)
ALEXANDER	62	PRL 8 447	+Kalbfleisch, Miller, Smith	(LRL)
ALSTON	61	PRL 6 300	+Alvarez, Eberhard, Good+	(LRL)