$\Xi(2030)$

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\geq \frac{5}{2}^?)$ Status: ∗∗∗

The evidence for this state has been much improved by HEMINGWAY 77, who see an eight standard deviation enhancement in $\Sigma\overline{K}$ and a weaker coupling to $\Lambda\overline{K}$. ALITTI 68 and HEMINGWAY 77 observe no signals in the $\Xi\pi\pi$ (or $\Xi(1530)\pi$) channel, in contrast to DIBIANCA 75. The decay $(\Lambda/\Sigma)\overline{K}\pi$ reported by BARTSCH 69 is also not confirmed by HEMINGWAY 77.

A moments analysis of the HEMINGWAY 77 data indicates at a level of three standard deviations that $J \geq 5/2$.

$\Xi(2030)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
2025 ± 5 OUR ESTIMATE						
2025.1± 2.4 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.					
2022 ± 7		JENKINS	83	MPS	−	$K^- p \to K^+$ MM
2024 ± 2	200	HEMINGWAY	77	HBC	−	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c
2044 ± 8		DIBIANCA	75	DBC	−0	$\Xi\pi\pi$, $\Xi^*\pi$
2019 ± 7	15	ROSS	73C	HBC	−0	$\Sigma\overline{K}$
2030 ±10	42	ALITTI	69	HBC	−	$K^- p$ 3.9–5 GeV/c
2058 ±17	40	BARTSCH	69	HBC	−0	$K^- p$ 10 GeV/c



$\Xi(2030)$ mass (MeV)

$\Xi(2030)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
20^{+15}_{-5} OUR ESTIMATE						
21± 6 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.					
16± 5	200	HEMINGWAY	77	HBC	−	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c
60±24		DIBIANCA	75	DBC	−0	$\Xi\pi\pi$, $\Xi^*\pi$
33±17	15	ROSS	73C	HBC	−0	$\Sigma\overline{K}$
45^{+40}_{-20}		ALITTI	69	HBC	−	$K^- p$ 3.9–5 GeV/c
57±30		BARTSCH	69	HBC	−0	$K^- p$ 10 GeV/c



$\Xi(2030)$ width (MeV)

$\Xi(2030)$ DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$\Lambda\overline{K}$	$\sim$ 20 %
Γ_2	$\Sigma\overline{K}$	$\sim$ 80 %
Γ_3	$\Xi\pi$	small
Γ_4	$\Xi(1530)\pi$	small
Γ_5	$\Xi\pi\pi$ (not $\Xi(1530)\pi$)	small
Γ_6	$\Lambda\overline{K}\pi$	small
Γ_7	$\Sigma\overline{K}\pi$	small

$\Xi(2030)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\Xi\pi)/[\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{K})+\Gamma(\Sigma\overline{K})+\Gamma(\Xi\pi)+\Gamma(\Xi(1530)\pi)]$ — $\Gamma_3/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_2+\Gamma_3+\Gamma_4)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.30	ALITTI	69	HBC	−	1 standard dev. limit

$\Gamma(\Xi\pi)/\Gamma(\Sigma\overline{K})$ — Γ_3/Γ_2

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<0.19	95	HEMINGWAY	77	HBC	−	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c

$\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{K})/[\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{K})+\Gamma(\Sigma\overline{K})+\Gamma(\Xi\pi)+\Gamma(\Xi(1530)\pi)]$ — $\Gamma_1/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_2+\Gamma_3+\Gamma_4)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.25±0.15	ALITTI	69	HBC	−	$K^- p$ 3.9–5 GeV/c

$\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{K})/\Gamma(\Sigma\overline{K})$ — Γ_1/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.22±0.09	HEMINGWAY	77	HBC	−	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c

$\Gamma(\Sigma\overline{K})/[\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{K})+\Gamma(\Sigma\overline{K})+\Gamma(\Xi\pi)+\Gamma(\Xi(1530)\pi)]$ — $\Gamma_2/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_2+\Gamma_3+\Gamma_4)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.75±0.20	ALITTI	69	HBC	−	$K^- p$ 3.9–5 GeV/c

$\Gamma(\Xi(1530)\pi)/[\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{K})+\Gamma(\Sigma\overline{K})+\Gamma(\Xi\pi)+\Gamma(\Xi(1530)\pi)]$ — $\Gamma_4/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_2+\Gamma_3+\Gamma_4)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.15	ALITTI	69	HBC	−	1 standard dev. limit

$[\Gamma(\Xi(1530)\pi)+\Gamma(\Xi\pi\pi(\text{not}\,\Xi(1530)\pi))]/\Gamma(\Sigma\overline{K})$ — $(\Gamma_4+\Gamma_5)/\Gamma_2$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<0.11	95	[1] HEMINGWAY	77	HBC	−	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c

$\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_6/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
seen	BARTSCH	69	HBC	$K^- p$ 10 GeV

$\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{K}\pi)/\Gamma(\Sigma\overline{K})$ — Γ_6/Γ_2

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<0.32	95	HEMINGWAY	77	HBC	−	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c

$\Gamma(\Sigma\overline{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_7/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
seen	BARTSCH	69	HBC	$K^- p$ 10 GeV

$\Gamma(\Sigma\overline{K}\pi)/\Gamma(\Sigma\overline{K})$ Γ_7/Γ_2

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<0.04	95	[2] HEMINGWAY 77	HBC	−	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c

Ξ(2030) FOOTNOTES

[1] For the decay mode $\Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$ only.
[2] For the decay mode $\Sigma^\pm K^- \pi^\mp$ only.

Ξ(2030) REFERENCES

JENKINS	83	PRL 51 951	+Albright, Diamond+	(FSU, BRAN, LBL, CINC, MASD)
HEMINGWAY	77	PL 68B 197	+Armenteros+	(AMST, CERN, NIJM, OXF) IJ
Also	76C	PL 62B 477	Gay, Armenteros, Berge+	(AMST, CERN, NIJM)
DIBIANCA	75	NP B98 137	+Endorf	(CMU)
ROSS	73C	Purdue Conf. 345	+Lloyd, Radojicic	(OXF)
ALITTI	69	PRL 22 79	+Barnes, Flaminio, Metzger+	(BNL, SYRA) I
BARTSCH	69	PL 28B 439	+	(AACH, BERL, CERN, LOIC, VIEN)
ALITTI	68	PRL 21 1119	+Flaminio, Metzger, Radojicic+	(BNL, SYRA)