$\Delta(1905)\ F_{35}$

$I(J^P) = \frac{3}{2}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$ Status: ****

Most of the results published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

$\Delta(1905)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1870 to 1920 (≈ 1905) OUR ESTIMATE				
1881 ±18	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
1910 ±30	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1905 ±20	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1895 ± 8	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1850	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
1960 ±40	CANDLIN	84	DPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \Sigma^+ K^+$
$1787.0^{+6.0}_{-5.7}$	CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$
1880	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1892	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
1830	[1] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$\Delta(1905)$ BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
280 to 440 (≈ 350) OUR ESTIMATE				
327 ± 51	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
400 ±100	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
260 ± 20	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
354 ± 10	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
294	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
270 ± 40	CANDLIN	84	DPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \Sigma^+ K^+$
$66.0^{+24.0}_{-16.0}$	CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$
193	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
159	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
220	[1] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$\Delta(1905)$ POLE POSITION

REAL PART

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1800 to 1860 (≈ 1830) OUR ESTIMATE				
1832	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
1829	[2] HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1830 ±40	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1794	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
1813 or 1808	[3] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

−2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
230 to 330 (≈ 280) OUR ESTIMATE				
254	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
303	[2] HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
280±60	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
230	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
193 or 187	[3] LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

Δ(1905) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
12	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
25	HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
25±8	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
14	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
− 4	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
−50±20	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−40	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90

Δ(1905) DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$N\pi$	5–15 %
Γ_2	ΣK	
Γ_3	$N\pi\pi$	85–95 %
Γ_4	$\Delta\pi$	<25 %
Γ_5	$\Delta(1232)\pi$, P-wave	
Γ_6	$\Delta(1232)\pi$, F-wave	
Γ_7	$N\rho$	>60 %
Γ_8	$N\rho$, S=3/2, P-wave	
Γ_9	$N\rho$, S=3/2, F-wave	
Γ_{10}	$N\rho$, S=1/2, F-wave	
Γ_{11}	$N\gamma$	0.01–0.03 %
Γ_{12}	$N\gamma$, helicity=1/2	0.0–0.1 %
Γ_{13}	$N\gamma$, helicity=3/2	0.004–0.03 %

$\Delta(1905)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.05 to 0.15 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.12 ± 0.03	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.08 ± 0.03	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
0.15 ± 0.02	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.12	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
0.11	CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to \Delta(1905) \to \Sigma K$ — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
-0.015 ± 0.003	CANDLIN	84	DPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \Sigma^+ K^+$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
-0.013	LIVANOS	80	DPWA	$\pi p \to \Sigma K$
0.021 to 0.054	[4] DEANS	75	DPWA	$\pi N \to \Sigma K$

Note: Signs of couplings from $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ analyses were changed in the 1986 edition to agree with the baryon-first convention; the overall phase ambiguity is resolved by choosing a negative sign for the $\Delta(1620)$ S_{31} coupling to $\Delta(1232)\pi$.

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to \Delta(1905) \to \Delta(1232)\pi$, *P*-wave — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_5)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
-0.04 ± 0.05	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to \Delta(1905) \to \Delta(1232)\pi$, *F*-wave — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_6)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$+0.02 \pm 0.03$	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
$+0.20$	[1] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$+0.17$	[5] NOVOSELLER	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
$+0.06$	[6] NOVOSELLER	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to \Delta(1905) \to N\rho$, S=3/2, *P*-wave — $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_8)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.030 to +0.36 OUR ESTIMATE				
$+0.33 \pm 0.03$	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
$+0.33$	[1] LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$+0.26$	[5] NOVOSELLER	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$
$+0.11$ to $+0.33$	[7] NOVOSELLER	78	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$\Delta(1905)$ PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

$\Delta(1905) \rightarrow N\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE (GeV$^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.026±0.011 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.022±0.005	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.021±0.010	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.043±0.020	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.022±0.010	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
0.031±0.009	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
0.024±0.014	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.055±0.004	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
+0.033±0.018	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$\Delta(1905) \rightarrow N\gamma$, helicity-3/2 amplitude $A_{3/2}$

VALUE (GeV$^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.045±0.020 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.045±0.005	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.056±0.028	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.025±0.023	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.029±0.007	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
−0.045±0.006	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
−0.072±0.035	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.002±0.003	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
−0.055±0.019	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$\Delta(1905)$ FOOTNOTES

[1] From method II of LONGACRE 75: eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.

[2] See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

[3] LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.

[4] The range given for DEANS 75 is from the four best solutions.

[5] A Breit-Wigner fit to the HERNDON 75 IPWA.

[6] A Breit-Wigner fit to the NOVOSELLER 78B IPWA.

[7] A Breit-Wigner fit to the NOVOSELLER 78B IPWA; the phase is near 90°.

Δ(1905) REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 70 (1982).

ARNDT	96	PR C53 430	+Strakovsky, Workman	(VPI)	
ARNDT	95	PR C52 2120	+Strakovsky, Workman, Pavan	(VPI, BRCO)	
HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1		(KARL)	
LI	93	PR C47 2759	+Arndt, Roper, Workman	(VPI)	
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	+Saleski	(KENT)	IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904	Manley, Arndt, Goradia, Teplitz	(VPI)	
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	+Li, Roper, Workman, Ford	(VPI, TELE)	IJP
CANDLIN	84	NP B238 477	+Lowe, Peach, Scotland+	(EDIN, RAL, LOWC)	
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	+Morton	(GLAS)	
PDG	82	PL 111B	Roos, Porter, Aguilar-Benitez+	(HELS, CIT, CERN)	
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	+Kajikawa	(NAGO)	
Also	82	NP B197 365	Fujii, Hayashii, Iwata, Kajikawa+	(NAGO)	
ARAI	80	Toronto Conf. 93		(INUS)	
Also	82	NP B194 251	Arai, Fujii	(INUS)	
CHEW	80	Toronto Conf. 123		(LBL)	IJP
CRAWFORD	80	Toronto Conf. 107		(GLAS)	
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	+Forsyth, Babcock, Kelly, Hendrick	(CMU, LBL)	IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839	Cutkosky, Forsyth, Hendrick, Kelly	(CMU, LBL)	IJP
LIVANOS	80	Toronto Conf. 35	+Baton, Coutures, Kochowski, Neveu	(SACL)	IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	+Kaiser, Koch, Pietarinen	(KARLT)	IJP
Also	80	Toronto Conf. 3	Koch	(KARLT)	IJP
BARBOUR	78	NP B141 253	+Crawford, Parsons	(GLAS)	
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	+Lasinski, Rosenfeld, Smadja+	(LBL, SLAC)	
NOVOSELLER	78	NP B137 509		(CIT)	IJP
NOVOSELLER	78B	NP B137 445		(CIT)	IJP
DEANS	75	NP B96 90	+Mitchell, Montgomery+	(SFLA, ALAH)	IJP
HERNDON	75	PR D11 3183	+Longacre, Miller, Rosenfeld+	(LBL, SLAC)	
LONGACRE	75	PL 55B 415	+Rosenfeld, Lasinski, Smadja+	(LBL, SLAC)	IJP