

$\Delta(1700) D_{33}$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{3}{2}(\frac{3}{2}^-) \text{ Status: } ****$$

Most of the results published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

 $\Delta(1700)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1670 to 1770 (≈ 1700) OUR ESTIMATE			
1762 ± 44	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
1710 ± 30	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1680 ± 70	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1690 ± 15	ARNDT	96	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1680	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
1655	LI	93	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1650	BARNHAM	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
1718.4 $^{+13.1}_{-13.0}$	¹ CHEW	80	BPWA $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$
1622	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1629	BARBOUR	78	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1600	² LONGACRE	77	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
1680	³ LONGACRE	75	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

 $\Delta(1700)$ BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
200 to 400 (≈ 300) OUR ESTIMATE			
600 ± 250	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
280 ± 80	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
230 ± 80	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
285 ± 20	ARNDT	96	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
272	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
348	LI	93	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
160	BARNHAM	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
193.3 ± 26.0	¹ CHEW	80	BPWA $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$
209	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
216	BARBOUR	78	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
200	² LONGACRE	77	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
240	³ LONGACRE	75	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$\Delta(1700)$ POLE POSITION**REAL PART**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1620 to 1700 (≈ 1660) OUR ESTIMATE			
1655	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
1651	⁴ HOEHLER	93	SPED $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1675 \pm 25	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1646	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
1681 or 1672	⁵ LONGACRE	78	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
1600 or 1594	² LONGACRE	77	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

– 2×IMAGINARY PART

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
150 to 250 (≈ 200) OUR ESTIMATE			
242	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
159	⁴ HOEHLER	93	SPED $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
220 \pm 40	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
208	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
245 or 241	⁵ LONGACRE	78	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
208 or 201	² LONGACRE	77	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

 $\Delta(1700)$ ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE**MODULUS $|r|$**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
16	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
10	HOEHLER	93	SPED $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
13 \pm 3	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
13	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

PHASE θ

<u>VALUE ($^\circ$)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
– 12	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
– 20 \pm 25	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
– 22	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

Δ(1700) DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $N\pi$	10–20 %
Γ_2 ΣK	
Γ_3 $N\pi\pi$	80–90 %
Γ_4 $\Delta\pi$	30–60 %
Γ_5 $\Delta(1232)\pi$, <i>S</i> -wave	25–50 %
Γ_6 $\Delta(1232)\pi$, <i>D</i> -wave	1–7 %
Γ_7 $N\rho$	30–55 %
Γ_8 $N\rho$, $S=1/2$, <i>D</i> -wave	
Γ_9 $N\rho$, $S=3/2$, <i>S</i> -wave	5–20 %
Γ_{10} $N\rho$, $S=3/2$, <i>D</i> -wave	
Γ_{11} $N\gamma$	0.12–0.26 %
Γ_{12} $N\gamma$, helicity=1/2	0.08–0.16 %
Γ_{13} $N\gamma$, helicity=3/2	0.025–0.12 %

Δ(1700) BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_1/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
0.10 to 0.20 OUR ESTIMATE	
0.14±0.06	MANLEY 92 IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.12±0.03	CUTKOSKY 80 IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.20±0.03	HOEHLER 79 IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●	
0.16	ARNDT 95 DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
0.16	¹ CHEW 80 BPWA $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1700) \rightarrow \Sigma K$	$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●	
0.002	LIVANOS 80 DPWA $\pi p \rightarrow \Sigma K$
0.001 to 0.011	⁶ DEANS 75 DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \Sigma K$

Note: Signs of couplings from $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ analyses were changed in the 1986 edition to agree with the baryon-first convention; the overall phase ambiguity is resolved by choosing a negative sign for the $\Delta(1620) S_{31}$ coupling to $\Delta(1232)\pi$.

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1700) \rightarrow \Delta(1232)\pi$, <i>S</i> -wave	$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_5)^{1/2}/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
+0.21 to +0.29 OUR ESTIMATE	
+0.32±0.06	MANLEY 92 IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
+0.18±0.04	BARNHAM 80 IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
+0.30	^{2,7} LONGACRE 77 IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
+0.24	³ LONGACRE 75 IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1700) \rightarrow \Delta(1232)\pi, D\text{-wave} \quad (\Gamma_1 \Gamma_6)^{1/2} / \Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
+0.05 to +0.11 OUR ESTIMATE			
+0.08 ± 0.03	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.14 ± 0.04	BARNHAM	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
+0.05	^{2,7} LONGACRE	77	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
+0.10	³ LONGACRE	75	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1700) \rightarrow N\rho, S=1/2, D\text{-wave} \quad (\Gamma_1 \Gamma_8)^{1/2} / \Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
+0.17 ± 0.05	BARNHAM	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1700) \rightarrow N\rho, S=3/2, S\text{-wave} \quad (\Gamma_1 \Gamma_9)^{1/2} / \Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
±0.11 to ±0.19 OUR ESTIMATE			
+0.10 ± 0.03	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
+0.04	^{2,7} LONGACRE	77	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
-0.30	³ LONGACRE	75	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1700) \rightarrow N\rho, S=3/2, D\text{-wave} \quad (\Gamma_1 \Gamma_{10})^{1/2} / \Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.18 ± 0.07	BARNHAM	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$\Delta(1700)$ PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

$\Delta(1700) \rightarrow N\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE ($\text{GeV}^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
+0.104 ± 0.015 OUR ESTIMATE			
0.090 ± 0.025	ARNDT	96	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.111 ± 0.017	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.089 ± 0.033	AWAJI	81	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.112 ± 0.006	ARAI	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
0.130 ± 0.006	ARAI	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
0.123 ± 0.022	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.121 ± 0.004	LI	93	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
+0.130 ± 0.037	BARBOUR	78	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
+0.072 ± 0.033	FELLER	76	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$\Delta(1700) \rightarrow N\gamma$, helicity-3/2 amplitude $A_{3/2}$

VALUE ($\text{GeV}^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
+0.085 ± 0.022 OUR ESTIMATE			
0.097 ± 0.020	ARNDT	96	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.107 ± 0.015	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.060 ± 0.015	AWAJI	81	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.047 ± 0.007	ARAI	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
0.050 ± 0.007	ARAI	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
0.102 ± 0.015	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.115±0.004	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
+0.098±0.036	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
+0.087±0.023	FELLER	76	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$\Delta(1700)$ FOOTNOTES

- ¹ Problems with CHEW 80 are discussed in section 2.1.11 of HOEHLER 83.
- ² LONGACRE 77 pole positions are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix; the first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis. The other LONGACRE 77 values are from eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.
- ³ From method II of LONGACRE 75: eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.
- ⁴ See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.
- ⁵ LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.
- ⁶ The range given is from the four best solutions. DEANS 75 disagrees with $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^+$ data of WINNIK 77 around 1920 MeV.
- ⁷ LONGACRE 77 considers this coupling to be well determined.

$\Delta(1700)$ REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 70 (1982).

ARNDT	96	PR C53 430	+Strakovsky, Workman	(VPI)
ARNDT	95	PR C52 2120	+Strakovsky, Workman, Pavan	(VPI, BRCO)
HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1		(KARL)
LI	93	PR C47 2759	+Arndt, Roper, Workman	(VPI)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	+Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904	Manley, Arndt, Goradia, Teplitz	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	+Li, Roper, Workman, Ford	(VPI, TELE) IJP
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	+Morton	(GLAS)
HOEHLER	83	Landolt-Boernstein 1/9B2		(KARLT)
PDG	82	PL 111B	Roos, Porter, Aguilar-Benitez+	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	+Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also	82	NP B197 365	Fujii, Hayashii, Iwata, Kajikawa+	(NAGO)
ARAI	80	Toronto Conf. 93		(INUS)
Also	82	NP B194 251	Arai, Fujii	(INUS)
BARNHAM	80	NP B168 243	+Glickman, Mier-Jedrzejowicz+	(LOIC)
CHEW	80	Toronto Conf. 123		(LBL) IJP
CRAWFORD	80	Toronto Conf. 107		(GLAS)
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	+Forsyth, Babcock, Kelly, Hendrick	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839	Cutkosky, Forsyth, Hendrick, Kelly	(CMU, LBL) IJP
LIVANOS	80	Toronto Conf. 35	+Baton, Coutures, Kochowski, Neveu	(SACL) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	+Kaiser, Koch, Pietarinen	(KARLT) IJP
Also	80	Toronto Conf. 3	Koch	(KARLT) IJP
BARBOUR	78	NP B141 253	+Crawford, Parsons	(GLAS)
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	+Lasinski, Rosenfeld, Smadja+	(LBL, SLAC)
LONGACRE	77	NP B122 493	+Dolbeau	(SACL) IJP
Also	76	NP B108 365	Dolbeau, Triantis, Neveu, Cadiet	(SACL) IJP
WINNIK	77	NP B128 66	+Toaff, Revel, Goldberg, Berny	(HAIF) I
FELLER	76	NP B104 219	+Fukushima, Horikawa, Kajikawa+	(NAGO, OSAK) IJP
DEANS	75	NP B96 90	+Mitchell, Montgomery+	(SFLA, ALAH) IJP
LONGACRE	75	PL 55B 415	+Rosenfeld, Lasinski, Smadja+	(LBL, SLAC) IJP