$\Lambda(1820)$ F_{05}

$I(J^P) = 0(\frac{5}{2}^+)$ Status: ****

This resonance is the cornerstone for all partial-wave analyses in this region. Most of the results published before 1973 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

Most of the quoted errors are statistical only; the systematic errors due to the particular parametrizations used in the partial-wave analyses are not included. For this reason we do not calculate weighted averages for the mass and width.

$\Lambda(1820)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1815 to 1825 (≈ 1820) OUR ESTIMATE				
1823±3	GOPAL	80	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \rightarrow \overline{K}N$
1819±2	ALSTON-...	78	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \rightarrow \overline{K}N$
1822±2	GOPAL	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel
1821±2	KANE	74	DPWA	$K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1830	DECLAIS	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \rightarrow \overline{K}N$
1817 or 1819	[1] MARTIN	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel

$\Lambda(1820)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
70 to 90 (≈ 80) OUR ESTIMATE				
77±5	GOPAL	80	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \rightarrow \overline{K}N$
72±5	ALSTON-...	78	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \rightarrow \overline{K}N$
81±5	GOPAL	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel
87±3	KANE	74	DPWA	$K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
82	DECLAIS	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \rightarrow \overline{K}N$
76 or 76	[1] MARTIN	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel

$\Lambda(1820)$ DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$N\overline{K}$	55–65 %
Γ_2	$\Sigma\pi$	8–14 %
Γ_3	$\Sigma(1385)\pi$	5–10 %
Γ_4	$\Sigma(1385)\pi$, P-wave	
Γ_5	$\Sigma(1385)\pi$, F-wave	
Γ_6	$\Lambda\eta$	
Γ_7	$\Sigma\pi\pi$	

The above branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

$\Lambda(1820)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

Errors quoted do not include uncertainties in the parametrizations used in the partial-wave analyses and are thus too small. See also "Sign conventions for resonance couplings" in the Note on Λ and Σ Resonances.

$\Gamma(N\overline{K})/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.55 to 0.65 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.58 ± 0.02	GOPAL	80	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \rightarrow \overline{K}N$
0.60 ± 0.03	ALSTON-...	78	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \rightarrow \overline{K}N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.51	DECLAIS	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \rightarrow \overline{K}N$
0.57 ± 0.02	GOPAL	77	DPWA	See GOPAL 80
0.59 or 0.58	[1] MARTIN	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\overline{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1820) \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
-0.28 ± 0.03	GOPAL	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel
-0.28 ± 0.01	KANE	74	DPWA	$K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
-0.25 or -0.25	[1] MARTIN	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\overline{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1820) \rightarrow \Lambda\eta$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_6)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
$-0.096^{+0.040}_{-0.020}$	RADER	73	MPWA

$\Gamma(\Sigma\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
no clear signal	[2] ARMENTEROS	68C	HDBC	$K^-N \rightarrow \Sigma\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\overline{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1820) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$, *P*-wave $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_4)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
-0.167 ± 0.054	[3] CAMERON	78	DPWA	$K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$
$+0.27\ \pm0.03$	PREVOST	74	DPWA	$K^-N \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\overline{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1820) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$, *F*-wave $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_5)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$+0.065\pm0.029$	[3] CAMERON	78	DPWA	$K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$

$\Lambda(1820)$ FOOTNOTES

[1] The two MARTIN 77 values are from a T-matrix pole and from a Breit-Wigner fit.
[2] There is a suggestion of a bump, enough to be consistent with what is expected from $\Sigma(1385) \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$ decay.
[3] The published sign has been changed to be in accord with the baryon-first convention.

Λ(1820) REFERENCES

PDG	82	PL 111B	Roos, Porter, Aguilar-Benitez+	(HELS, CIT, CERN)	
GOPAL	80	Toronto Conf. 159		(RHEL)	IJP
ALSTON-...	78	PR D18 182	Alston-Garnjost, Kenney+	(LBL, MTHO, CERN)	IJP
Also	77	PRL 38 1007	Alston-Garnjost, Kenney+	(LBL, MTHO, CERN)	IJP
CAMERON	78	NP B143 189	+Franek, Gopal, Bacon, Butterworth+	(RHEL, LOIC)	IJP
DECLAIS	77	CERN 77-16	+Duchon, Louvel, Patry, Seguinot+	(CAEN, CERN)	IJP
GOPAL	77	NP B119 362	+Ross, VanHorn, McPherson+	(LOIC, RHEL)	IJP
MARTIN	77	NP B127 349	+Pidcock, Moorhouse	(LOUC, GLAS)	IJP
Also	77B	NP B126 266	Martin, Pidcock	(LOUC)	
Also	77C	NP B126 285	Martin, Pidcock	(LOUC)	IJP
KANE	74	LBL-2452		(LBL)	IJP
PREVOST	74	NP B69 246	+Barloutaud+	(SACL, CERN, HEID)	
RADER	73	NC 16A 178	+Barloutaud+	(SACL, HEID, CERN, RHEL, CDEF)	
ARMENTEROS	68C	NP B8 216	+Baillon+	(CERN, HEID, SACL)	I