$\Xi(2120)$

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(?^?)$ Status: *
J, P need confirmation.

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

$\Xi(2120)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
≈ 2120 OUR ESTIMATE					
2137±4	18	[1] CHLIAPNIK...	79	HBC	$K^+ p$ 32 GeV/c
2123±7		[2] GAY	76C	HBC	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c

$\Xi(2120)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<20	18	[1] CHLIAPNIK...	79	HBC	$K^+ p$ 32 GeV/c
25±12		[2] GAY	76C	HBC	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c

$\Xi(2120)$ DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$\Lambda\overline{K}$	seen

$\Xi(2120)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{K})/\Gamma_{total}$ **Γ_1/Γ**

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
seen	[1] CHLIAPNIK...	79	HBC	$K^+ p \rightarrow (\overline{\Lambda} K^+)$ X
seen	[2] GAY	76C	HBC	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c

$\Xi(2120)$ FOOTNOTES

[1] CHLIAPNIKOV 79 does not uniquely identify the K^+ in the $(\overline{\Lambda} K^+)$ X final state. It also reports bumps with fewer events at 2240, 2540, and 2830 MeV.

[2] GAY 76C sees a 4-standard deviation signal. However, HEMINGWAY 77, with more events from the same experiment points out that the signal is greatly reduced if a cut is made on the 4-momentum u. This suggests an anomalous production mechanism if the $\Xi(2120)$ is real.

$\Xi(2120)$ REFERENCES

CHLIAPNIK...	79	NP B158 253	Chliapnikov, Gerdyukov+	(CERN, BELG, MONS)
HEMINGWAY	77	PL 68B 197	+Armenteros+	(AMST, CERN, NIJM, OXF)
GAY	76C	PL 62B 477	+Armenteros, Berge+	(AMST, CERN, NIJM)