$B^{\pm}/B^0$ ADMIXTURE

B DECAY MODES

The branching fraction measurements are for an admixture of B mesons at the $\Upsilon(4S)$. The values quoted assume that $B(\Upsilon(4S) \to B\overline{B}) = 100\%$.

Inclusive branching fractions have a multiplicity definition analogous to inclusive cross sections. For example, in counting "$B \to K^+$ anything" events, each event is weighted by the number of K^+'s in the final state.

$\overline{B}$ modes are charge conjugates of the modes below. Reactions indicate the weak decay vertex and do not include mixing.

	Mode		Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
	Semileptonic and leptonic modes			
Γ_1	$e^+ \nu_e$ anything	[a]	(10.4 ± 0.4) %	S=1.3
Γ_2	$\overline{p} e^+ \nu_e$ anything		$< 1.6 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_3	$\mu^+ \nu_\mu$ anything	[a]	(10.3 ± 0.5) %	
Γ_4	$\ell^+ \nu_\ell$ anything	[a,b]	(10.43 ± 0.24) %	
Γ_5	$D^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ anything	[b]	(2.7 ± 0.8) %	
Γ_6	$\overline{D}^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ anything	[b]	(7.0 ± 1.4) %	
Γ_7	$D^{**} \ell^+ \nu_\ell$	[b,c]	(2.7 ± 0.7) %	
Γ_8	$D_s^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ anything	[b]	$< 9 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_9	$D_s^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell K^+$ anything	[b]	$< 6 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{10}	$D_s^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell K^0$ anything	[b]	$< 9 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{11}	$\ell^+ \nu_\ell$ noncharmed	[b]		
Γ_{12}	$K^+ \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ anything	[b]	(6.0 ± 0.5) %	
Γ_{13}	$K^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ anything	[b]	$(10 \pm 4) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{14}	$K^0/\overline{K}^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ anything	[b]	(4.4 ± 0.5) %	
	D*, *D*, or *D**s* modes**			
Γ_{15}	D^- anything		(24.2 ± 3.3) %	
Γ_{16}	$\overline{D}^0$ anything		(58 ± 5) %	S=1.1
Γ_{17}	$D^*(2010)^-$ anything		(23.2 ± 3.3) %	S=1.1
Γ_{18}	$D_s^{\pm}$ anything	[d]	(8.9 ± 1.1) %	
Γ_{19}	$D_s D$, $D_s^* D$, $D_s D^*$, or $D_s^* D^*$	[d]	(5.0 ± 0.9) %	
Γ_{20}	$D^*(2010)\gamma$		$< 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{21}	$D_s^+ \pi^-$, $D_s^{*+} \pi^-$, $D_s^+ \rho^-$, $D_s^{*+} \rho^-$, $D_s^+ \pi^0$, $D_s^{*+} \pi^0$, $D_s^+ \eta$, $D_s^{*+} \eta$, $D_s^+ \rho^0$, $D_s^{*+} \rho^0$, $D_s^+ \omega$, $D_s^{*+} \omega$	[d]	$< 5 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
	Charmonium modes			
Γ_{22}	$J/\psi(1S)$ anything		(1.30 ± 0.17) %	
Γ_{23}	$\psi(2S)$ anything		$(4.6 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{24}	$\chi_{c1}(1P)$ anything		(1.1 ± 0.4) %	
	***K* or *K** modes**			
Γ_{25}	$K^{\pm}$ anything	[d]	(78.9 ± 2.5) %	
Γ_{26}	K^+ anything		(66 ± 5) %	
Γ_{27}	K^- anything		(13 ± 4) %	
Γ_{28}	$K^0/\overline{K}^0$ anything		(64 ± 4) %	
Γ_{29}	$K^*(892)^{\pm}$ anything		(18 ± 6) %	
Γ_{30}	$K^*(892)^0/\overline{K}^*(892)^0$ anything		(14.6 ± 2.6) %	
Γ_{31}	$K^*(892)\gamma$		$< 2.4 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{32}	$K_1(1400)\gamma$		$< 4.1 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{33}	$K_2^*(1430)\gamma$		$< 8.3 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{34}	$K_2(1770)\gamma$		$< 1.2 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{35}	$K_3^*(1780)\gamma$		$< 3.0 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{36}	$K_4^*(2045)\gamma$		$< 1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%

Light unflavored meson modes

Γ_{37}	$\pi^{\pm}$ anything	[e]	(359 ± 7) %	
Γ_{38}	ρ^0 anything		(21 ± 5) %	
Γ_{39}	ω anything		< 81 %	CL=90%
Γ_{40}	ϕ anything		(3.5 ± 0.7) %	S=1.8

Baryon modes

Γ_{41}	charmed-baryon anything		(6.4 ± 1.1) %	
Γ_{42}	$\overline{\Sigma}_c^{--}$ anything		$(4.8 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{43}	$\overline{\Sigma}_c^{-}$ anything		< 1.1 %	CL=90%
Γ_{44}	$\overline{\Sigma}_c^{0}$ anything		$(5.3 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{45}	$\overline{\Sigma}_c^{0} N (N = p$ or $n)$		$< 1.7 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{46}	p anything $+$ $\overline{p}$ anything		(8.0 ± 0.4) %	
Γ_{47}	p(direct) anything $+$ $\overline{p}$(direct) anything		(5.5 ± 0.5) %	
Γ_{48}	Λ anything $+$ $\overline{\Lambda}$ anything		(4.0 ± 0.5) %	
Γ_{49}	Ξ^- anything $+$ $\overline{\Xi}^+$ anything		$(2.7 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{50}	baryons anything		(6.8 ± 0.6) %	
Γ_{51}	$p\overline{p}$ anything		(2.47 ± 0.23) %	
Γ_{52}	$\Lambda\overline{p}$ anything $+$ $\overline{\Lambda}p$ anything		(2.5 ± 0.4) %	
Γ_{53}	$\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}$ anything		$< 5 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%

$\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current ($B1$) modes

Γ_{54}	e^+e^- anything	$B1$	$< 2.4 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{55}	$\mu^+\mu^-$ anything	$B1$	$< 2.4 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%

[a] These values are model dependent. See 'Note on Semileptonic Decays' in the B^+ Full Listings.

[b] ℓ indicates e or μ mode, not sum over modes.

[c] D^{**} stands for the sum of the $D(1\,^1P_1)$, $D(1\,^3P_0)$, $D(1\,^3P_1)$, $D(1\,^3P_2)$, $D(2\,^1S_0)$, and $D(2\,^1S_1)$ resonances.

[d] The value is for the sum of the charge states indicated.

[e] Inclusive branching fractions have a multiplicity definition and can be greater than 100%.

$B^{\pm}/B^0$ ADMIXTURE BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\ell^+\nu_\ell\,\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_4/Γ

These branching fraction values are model dependent. See the note on "Semileptonic Decays of D and B Mesons, Part II" at the beginning of the B^+ Full Listings.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.1043±0.0024 OUR AVERAGE	Includes data from the 2 datablocks that follow this one.		
0.108 ±0.002 ±0.0056	[1] HENDERSON 92	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$

[1] HENDERSON 92 measurement employs e and μ. The systematic error contains 0.004 in quadrature from model dependence. The authors average a variation of the Isgur, Scora, Grinstein, and Wise model with that of the Altarelli-Cabibbo-Corbò-Maiani-Martinelli model for semileptonic decays to correct the acceptance.

$\Gamma(e^+\nu_e\,\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_1/Γ

These branching fraction values are model dependent. See the note on "Semileptonic Decays of D and B Mesons, Part II" at the beginning of the B^+ Full Listings.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.			
0.104±0.004 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.		
0.097±0.005±0.004	[2] ALBRECHT 93H	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
0.100±0.004±0.003	[3] YANAGISAWA 91	CSB2	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
0.103±0.006±0.002	[4] ALBRECHT 90H	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
0.117±0.004±0.010	[5] WACHS 89	CBAL	Direct e at $\Upsilon(4S)$
0.120±0.007±0.005	CHEN 84	CLEO	Direct e at $\Upsilon(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.132±0.008±0.014	[6] KLOPFEN...	83B	CUSB	Direct e at $\Upsilon(4S)$

[2] ALBRECHT 93H analysis performed using tagged semileptonic decays of the B. This technique is almost model independent for the lepton branching ratio.

[3] YANAGISAWA 91 also measures an average semileptonic branching ratio at the $\Upsilon(5S)$ of 9.6–10.5% depending on assumptions about the relative production of different B meson species.

[4] ALBRECHT 90H uses the model of ALTARELLI 82 to correct over all lepton momenta. 0.099 ± 0.006 is obtained using ISGUR 89B.

[5] Using data above $p(e)$ = 2.4 GeV, WACHS 89 determine $\sigma(B \to e\nu\,\mathrm{up})/\sigma(B \to e\nu\,\mathrm{charm}) <$ 0.065 at 90% CL.

[6] Ratio $\sigma(b \to e\nu\,\mathrm{up})/\sigma(b \to e\nu\,\mathrm{charm})$ <0.055 at CL = 90%.



$\Gamma\left(e^+\nu_e\,\mathrm{anything}\right)/\Gamma_{\mathrm{total}}$

$\Gamma(\mu^+\nu_\mu\,\mathrm{anything})/\Gamma_{\mathrm{total}}$ — Γ_3/Γ

These branching fraction values are model dependent. See the note on "Semileptonic Decays of D and B Mesons, Part II" at the beginning of the B^+ Full Listings.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.				
0.103±0.005 OUR AVERAGE				
0.100±0.006±0.002	[7] ALBRECHT	90H	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
0.108±0.006±0.01	CHEN	84	CLEO	Direct μ at $\Upsilon(4S)$
0.112±0.009±0.01	LEVMAN	84	CUSB	Direct μ at $\Upsilon(4S)$

[7] ALBRECHT 90H uses the model of ALTARELLI 82 to correct over all lepton momenta. 0.097 ± 0.006 is obtained using ISGUR 89B.

$\Gamma(\overline{p}e^+\nu_e\,\mathrm{anything})/\Gamma_{\mathrm{total}}$ — Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.0016	90	ALBRECHT	90H	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(D^-\ell^+\nu_\ell\,\mathrm{anything})/\Gamma(\ell^+\nu_\ell\,\mathrm{anything})$ — Γ_5/Γ_4

ℓ = e or μ.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.26±0.07±0.04	[8] FULTON	91	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$

[8] FULTON 91 uses B($D^+ \to K^-\pi^+\pi^+$) = (9.1 ± 1.3 ± 0.4)% as measured by MARK III.

$\Gamma(\overline{D}^0\ell^+\nu_\ell\,\mathrm{anything})/\Gamma(\ell^+\nu_\ell\,\mathrm{anything})$ — Γ_6/Γ_4

ℓ = e or μ.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.67±0.09±0.10	[9] FULTON	91	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$

[9] FULTON 91 uses B($D^0 \to K^-\pi^+$) = (4.2 ± 0.4 ± 0.4)% as measured by MARK III.

$\Gamma(D^{**}\ell^+\nu_\ell)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

D^{**} stands for the sum of the $D(1\,^1P_1)$, $D(1\,^3P_0)$, $D(1\,^3P_2)$, $D(1\,^3P_2)$, $D(2\,^1S_0)$, and $D(2\,^1S_1)$ resonances. $\ell = e$ or μ, not sum over e and μ modes.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.027±0.005±0.005	63	[10] ALBRECHT	93	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$

[10] ALBRECHT 93 assumes the GISW model to correct for unseen modes. Using the BHKT model, the result becomes 0.023 ± 0.006 ± 0.004. Assumes $B(D^{*+} \to D^0\pi^+) =$ 68.1%, $B(D^0 \to K^-\pi^+) =$ 3.65%, $B(D^0 \to K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+) =$ 7.5%. We have taken their average e and μ value.

$\Gamma(D_s^-\ell^+\nu_\ell\,\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.009	90	[11] ALBRECHT	93E	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$

[11] ALBRECHT 93E reports < 0.012 for $B(D_s^+ \to \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \to \phi\pi^+) = 0.035$.

$\Gamma(D_s^-\ell^+\nu_\ell K^+\,\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.006	90	[12] ALBRECHT	93E	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$

[12] ALBRECHT 93E reports < 0.008 for $B(D_s^+ \to \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \to \phi\pi^+) = 0.035$.

$\Gamma(D_s^-\ell^+\nu_\ell K^0\,\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.009	90	[13] ALBRECHT	93E	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$

[13] ALBRECHT 93E reports < 0.012 for $B(D_s^+ \to \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \to \phi\pi^+) = 0.035$.

$\Gamma(\ell^+\nu_\ell\,\text{noncharmed})/\Gamma(\ell^+\nu_\ell\,\text{anything})$ Γ_{11}/Γ_4

ℓ denotes e or μ, not the sum. These experiments measure this ratio in very limited momentum intervals.

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
			[14] ALBRECHT	94C	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
		107	[15] BARTELT	93B	CLE2	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
		77	[16] ALBRECHT	91C	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
		76	[17] FULTON	90	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
		41	[18] ALBRECHT	90	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
<0.04	90		[19] BEHRENDS	87	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
<0.04	90		CHEN	84	CLEO	Direct e at $\Upsilon(4S)$
<0.055	90		KLOPFEN...	83B	CUSB	Direct e at $\Upsilon(4S)$

[14] ALBRECHT 94C find $\Gamma(b \to c)/\Gamma(b \to \text{all}) = 0.99 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.04$.

[15] BARTELT 93B (CLEO II) measures an excess of 107 ± 15 ± 11 leptons in the lepton momentum interval 2.3–2.6 GeV/c which is attributed to $b \to u\ell\nu_\ell$. This corresponds to a model-dependent partial branching ratio ΔB_{ub} between $(1.15 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-4}$, as evaluated using the KS model (KOERNER 88), and $(1.54 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-4}$ using the ACCMM model (ARTUSO 93). The corresponding values of $|V_{ub}|/|V_{cb}|$ are 0.056 ± 0.006 and 0.076 ± 0.008, respectively.

[16] ALBRECHT 91C result supersedes ALBRECHT 90. Two events are fully reconstructed providing evidence for the $b \to u$ transition. Using the model of ALTARELLI 82, they obtain $|V_{ub}/V_{cb}| = 0.11 \pm 0.012$ from 77 leptons in the 2.3–2.6 GeV momentum range.

[17] FULTON 90 observe 76 ± 20 excess e and μ (lepton) events in the momentum interval $p =$ 2.4–2.6 GeV signaling the presence of the $b \to u$ transition. The average branching ratio, $(1.8 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-4}$, corresponds to a model-dependent measurement of approximately $|V_{ub}/V_{cb}| = 0.1$ using $B(b \to c\ell\nu) = 10.2 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.7\%$.

[18] ALBRECHT 90 observes 41 ± 10 excess e and μ (lepton) events in the momentum interval $p =$ 2.3–2.6 GeV signaling the presence of the $b \to u$ transition. The events correspond to a model-dependent measurement of $|V_{ub}/V_{cb}| = 0.10 \pm 0.01$.

[19] The quoted possible limits range from 0.018 to 0.04 for the ratio, depending on which model or momentum range is chosen. We select the most conservative limit they have calculated. This corresponds to a limit on $|V_{ub}|/|V_{cb}| < 0.20$. While the endpoint technique employed is more robust than their previous results in CHEN 84, these results do not provide a numerical improvement in the limit.

$\Gamma(K^+\ell^+\nu_\ell\,\text{anything})/\Gamma(\ell^+\nu_\ell\,\text{anything})$ — Γ_{12}/Γ_4

ℓ denotes e or μ, not the sum.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.58 ±0.05 OUR AVERAGE			
0.594±0.021±0.056	ALBRECHT 94C	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
0.54 ±0.07 ±0.06	[20] ALAM 87B	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$

[20] ALAM 87B measurement relies on lepton-kaon correlations.

$\Gamma(K^-\ell^+\nu_\ell\,\text{anything})/\Gamma(\ell^+\nu_\ell\,\text{anything})$ — Γ_{13}/Γ_4

ℓ denotes e or μ, not the sum.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.092±0.035 OUR AVERAGE			
0.086±0.011±0.044	ALBRECHT 94C	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
0.10 ±0.05 ±0.02	[21] ALAM 87B	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$

[21] ALAM 87B measurement relies on lepton-kaon correlations.

$\Gamma(K^0/\overline{K}^0\ell^+\nu_\ell\,\text{anything})/\Gamma(\ell^+\nu_\ell\,\text{anything})$ — Γ_{14}/Γ_4

ℓ denotes e or μ, not the sum. Sum over K^0 and $\overline{K}^0$ states.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.42 ±0.05 OUR AVERAGE			
0.452±0.038±0.056	[22] ALBRECHT 94C	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
0.39 ±0.06 ±0.04	[23] ALAM 87B	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$

[22] ALBRECHT 94C assume a $K^0/\overline{K}^0$ multiplicity twice that of K^0_S.

[23] ALAM 87B measurement relies on lepton-kaon correlations.

$\Gamma(c/\overline{c})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.98±0.16±0.12	[24] ALAM 87B	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$

[24] From the difference between K^- and K^+ widths. ALAM 87B measurement relies on lepton-kaon correlations. It does not consider the possibility of $B\overline{B}$ mixing. We have thus removed it from the average.

$\Gamma(D^-\,\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.242±0.033 OUR AVERAGE				
0.25 ±0.04 ±0.02		[25] BORTOLETTO 92	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
0.23 ±0.05 $^{+0.01}_{-0.02}$		[26] ALBRECHT 91H	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.21 ±0.05 ±0.01	20k	[27] BORTOLETTO 87	CLEO	Sup. by BORTOLETTO 92

[25] BORTOLETTO 92 reports $[B(B \to D^-\,\text{anything}) \times B(D^+ \to K^-\pi^+\pi^+)] = 0.0226 \pm 0.0030 \pm 0.0018$. We divide by our best value $B(D^+ \to K^-\pi^+\pi^+) = (9.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

[26] ALBRECHT 91H reports $[B(B \to D^-\,\text{anything}) \times B(D^+ \to K^-\pi^+\pi^+)] = 0.0209 \pm 0.0027 \pm 0.0040$. We divide by our best value $B(D^+ \to K^-\pi^+\pi^+) = (9.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

[27] BORTOLETTO 87 reports $[B(B \to D^-\,\text{anything}) \times B(D^+ \to K^-\pi^+\pi^+)] = 0.019 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.002$. We divide by our best value $B(D^+ \to K^-\pi^+\pi^+) = (9.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(\overline{D}^0\,\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.58±0.05 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.				
0.61±0.05±0.02		[28] BORTOLETTO 92	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
0.51±0.08±0.02		[29] ALBRECHT 91H	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.55±0.07±0.02	21k	[30] BORTOLETTO 87	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
0.62±0.19±0.02		[31] GREEN 83	CLEO	Repl. by BORTOLETTO 87

[28] BORTOLETTO 92 reports $[B(B \to \overline{D}^0\,\text{anything}) \times B(D^0 \to K^-\pi^+)] = 0.0233 \pm 0.0012 \pm 0.0014$. We divide by our best value $B(D^0 \to K^-\pi^+) = (3.84 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

[29] ALBRECHT 91H reports [B($B \to \overline{D}^0$ anything) $\times$ B($D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$)] = 0.0194 $\pm$ 0.0015 $\pm$ 0.0025. We divide by our best value B($D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$) = $(3.84 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

[30] BORTOLETTO 87 reports [B($B \to \overline{D}^0$ anything) $\times$ B($D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$)] = 0.0210 $\pm$ 0.0015 $\pm$ 0.0021. We divide by our best value B($D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$) = $(3.84 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

[31] GREEN 83 reports [B($B \to \overline{D}^0$ anything) $\times$ B($D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$)] = 0.024 $\pm$ 0.006 $\pm$ 0.004. We divide by our best value B($D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$) = $(3.84 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(D^*(2010)^-$ anything$)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\qquad\qquad \Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.232±0.033 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
0.21 ±0.04 ±0.01		[32] BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
0.28 ±0.05 ±0.01		[33] ALBRECHT 91H	ARG	$e^+ e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.22 ±0.04 $^{+0.07}_{-0.04}$	5200	[34] BORTOLETTO87	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
0.27 ±0.06 $^{+0.08}_{-0.06}$	510	[35] CSORNA 85	CLEO	Repl. by BORTOLETTO 87

[32] BORTOLETTO 92 reports 0.25 $\pm$ 0.03 $\pm$ 0.04 for B($D^*(2010)^+ \to D^0 \pi^+$) = 0.57 $\pm$ 0.06. We rescale to our best value B($D^*(2010)^+ \to D^0 \pi^+$) = $(68.1 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. They also use the Mark III B($D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$) branching fraction.

[33] ALBRECHT 91H reports 0.348 $\pm$ 0.060 $\pm$ 0.035 for B($D^*(2010)^+ \to D^0 \pi^+$) = 0.55 $\pm$ 0.04. We rescale to our best value B($D^*(2010)^+ \to D^0 \pi^+$) = $(68.1 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Uses the PDG 90 B($D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$) =0.0371 $\pm$ 0.0025.

[34] BORTOLETTO 87 uses old MARK III (BALTRUSAITIS 86E) branching ratios B($D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$) = 0.056 $\pm$ 0.004 $\pm$ 0.003 and also assumes B($D^*(2010)^+ \to D^0 \pi^+$) = $0.60^{+0.08}_{-0.15}$. The product branching ratio for B($B \to D^*(2010)^+$) B($D^*(2010)^+ \to D^0 \pi^+$) is 0.13 $\pm$ 0.02 $\pm$ 0.012. Superseded by BORTOLETTO 92.

[35] $V-A$ momentum spectrum used to extrapolate below p = 1 GeV. We correct the value assuming B($D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$) = 0.042 $\pm$ 0.006 and B($D^{*+} \to D^0 \pi^+$) = $0.6^{+0.08}_{-0.15}$. The product branching fraction is B($B \to D^{*+}$X)·B($D^{*+} \to \pi^+ D^0$)·B($D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$) = $(68 \pm 15 \pm 9) \times 10^{-4}$.

$\Gamma(D_s^\pm$ anything$)/\Gamma_{total}$ $\qquad\qquad \Gamma_{18}/\Gamma$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.089±0.011 OUR AVERAGE				
0.084±0.014±0.010		[36] ALBRECHT 92G	ARG	$e^+ e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
0.088±0.013±0.010	257	[37] BORTOLETTO90	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
0.109±0.029±0.013		[38] HAAS 86	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.120±0.031±0.014		[39] ALBRECHT 87H	ARG	$e^+ e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$

[36] ALBRECHT 92G reports [B($B \to D_s^\pm$ anything) $\times$ B($D_s^+ \to \phi \pi^+$)] = 0.00292 $\pm$ 0.00039 $\pm$ 0.00031. We divide by our best value B($D_s^+ \to \phi \pi^+$) = $(3.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

[37] BORTOLETTO 90 reports [B($B \to D_s^\pm$ anything) $\times$ B($D_s^+ \to \phi \pi^+$)] = 0.00306 $\pm$ 0.00047. We divide by our best value B($D_s^+ \to \phi \pi^+$) = $(3.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

[38] HAAS 86 reports [B($B \to D_s^\pm$ anything) $\times$ B($D_s^+ \to \phi \pi^+$)] = 0.0038 $\pm$ 0.0010. We divide by our best value B($D_s^+ \to \phi \pi^+$) = $(3.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. 64 $\pm$ 22% decays are 2-body.

[39] ALBRECHT 87H reports [B($B \to D_s^\pm$ anything) $\times$ B($D_s^+ \to \phi \pi^+$)] = 0.0042 $\pm$ 0.0009 $\pm$ 0.0006. We divide by our best value B($D_s^+ \to \phi \pi^+$) = $(3.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. 46 $\pm$ 16% of $B \to D_s X$ decays are 2-body. Superseded by ALBRECHT 92G.

$\Gamma(D_s D,\ D_s^* D,\ D_s D^*,\ \text{or}\ D_s^* D^*)/\Gamma(D_s^\pm\ \text{anything})$ — Γ_{19}/Γ_{18}

Sum over modes.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.57±0.08 OUR AVERAGE				
0.58±0.07±0.09	ALBRECHT	92G	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
0.56±0.10	BORTOLETTO	90	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(D^*(2010)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{20}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.1 \times 10^{-3}$	90	[40] LESIAK	92	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$

[40] LESIAK 92 set a limit on the inclusive process $B(b \to s\gamma) < 2.8 \times 10^{-3}$ at 90% CL for the range of masses of 892–2045 MeV, independent of assumptions about s-quark hadronization.

$\Gamma(D_s^+\pi^-,\ D_s^{*+}\pi^-,\ D_s^+\rho^-,\ D_s^{*+}\rho^-,\ D_s^+\pi^0,\ D_s^{*+}\pi^0,\ D_s^+\eta,\ D_s^{*+}\eta,\ D_s^+\rho^0,\ D_s^{*+}\rho^0,\ D_s^+\omega,\ D_s^{*+}\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{21}/Γ

Sum over modes.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.0005	90	[41] ALEXANDER	93B	CLE2	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$

[41] ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 4.8 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \to \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \to \phi\pi^+) = 0.035$. This branching ratio limit provides a model-dependent upper limit $|V_{ub}|/|V_{cb}| < 0.16$ at CL=90%.

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{22}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.30±0.17 OUR AVERAGE					
1.3 ±0.4 ±0.1	27	[42] MASCHMANN	90	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
1.23±0.27±0.05	120	[43] ALBRECHT	87D	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
1.35±0.24±0.06	52	[44] ALAM	86	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$1.4\ ^{+0.6}_{-0.5}$	7	[45] ALBRECHT	85H	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
1.1 ±0.21±0.23	46	[46] HAAS	85	CLEO	Repl. by ALAM 86

[42] MASCHMANN 90 reports $1.12 \pm 0.33 \pm 0.25$ for $B(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-) = 0.069 \pm 0.009$. We rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-) = (5.99 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

[43] ALBRECHT 87D reports $1.07 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.22$ for $B(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-) = 0.069 \pm 0.009$. We rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \to e^+e^-) = (5.99 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. ALBRECHT 87D find the branching ratio for J/ψ not from $\psi(2S)$ to be 0.0081 ± 0.0023.

[44] ALAM 86 reports $1.09 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.21$ for $B(J/\psi(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-) = 0.074 \pm 0.012$. We rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \to \mu^+\mu^-) = (5.97 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

[45] Statistical and systematic errors were added in quadrature. ALBRECHT 85H also report a CL = 90% limit of 0.007 for $B \to J/\psi(1S) + X$ where $m_X < 1$ GeV.

[46] Dimuon and dielectron events used.

$\Gamma(\psi(2S)\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{23}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.0046±0.0017±0.0011	8	ALBRECHT	87D	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P)\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{24}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.0105±0.0035±0.0025	[47] ALBRECHT	92E	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$

[47] ALBRECHT 92E assumes no $\chi_{c2}(1P)$ production.

$\Gamma(K^\pm\ \text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{25}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.789±0.025 OUR AVERAGE				
0.82 ±0.01 ±0.05	ALBRECHT	94C	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
0.775±0.015±0.025	[48] ALBRECHT	93I	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
0.85 ±0.07 ±0.09	ALAM	87B	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
seen	[49] BRODY	82	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
seen	[50] GIANNINI	82	CUSB	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$

[48] ALBRECHT 93I value is not independent of the sum of $B \rightarrow K^+$anything and $B \rightarrow K^-$ anything ALBRECHT 94C values.

[49] Assuming $\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B\overline{B}$, a total of 3.38 ± 0.34 ± 0.68 kaons per $\Upsilon(4S)$ decay is found (the second error is systematic). In the context of the standard B-decay model, this leads to a value for (b-quark → c-quark)/(b-quark → all) of 1.09 ± 0.33 ± 0.13.

[50] GIANNINI 82 at CESR-CUSB observed 1.58 ± 0.35 K^0 per hadronic event much higher than 0.82 ± 0.10 below threshold. Consistent with predominant $b \rightarrow cX$ decay.

$\Gamma(K^+ \text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{26}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.66 ±0.05	[51] ALBRECHT	94C	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.620±0.013±0.038	[52] ALBRECHT	94C	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
0.66 ±0.05 ±0.07	[52] ALAM	87B	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

[51] Measurement relies on lepton-kaon correlations. It is for the weak decay vertex and does not include mixing of the neutral B meson. Mixing effects were corrected for by assuming a mixing parameter r of (18.1 ± 4.3)%.

[52] Measurement relies on lepton-kaon correlations. It includes production through mixing of the neutral B meson.

$\Gamma(K^- \text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{27}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.13 ±0.04	[53] ALBRECHT	94C	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.165±0.011±0.036	[54] ALBRECHT	94C	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
0.19 ±0.05 ±0.02	[54] ALAM	87B	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

[53] Measurement relies on lepton-kaon correlations. It is for the weak decay vertex and does not include mixing of the neutral B meson. Mixing effects were corrected for by assuming a mixing parameter r of (18.1 ± 4.3)%.

[54] Measurement relies on lepton-kaon correlations. It includes production through mixing of the neutral B meson.

$\Gamma(K^0/\overline{K}^0 \text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{28}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.64 ±0.04 OUR AVERAGE				
0.642±0.010±0.042	[55] ALBRECHT	94C	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
0.63 ±0.06 ±0.06	ALAM	87B	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

[55] ALBRECHT 94C assume a $K^0/\overline{K}^0$ multiplicity twice that of K^0_S.

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^\pm \text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{29}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.182±0.054±0.024	ALBRECHT	94J	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0/\overline{K}^*(892)^0 \text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{30}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.146±0.016±0.020	ALBRECHT	94J	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(K^*(892)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{31}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<2.4 × 10⁻⁴	90	ALBRECHT	88H	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<1.5 \times 10^{-3}$	90	[56] LESIAK	92	CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

[56] LESIAK 92 set a limit on the inclusive process B($b \rightarrow s\gamma$) $< 2.8 \times 10^{-3}$ at 90% CL for the range of masses of 892–2045 MeV, independent of assumptions about s-quark hadronization.

$\Gamma(K_1(1400)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{32}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<4.1 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ALBRECHT	88H	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<1.6 \times 10^{-3}$	90	[57] LESIAK	92	CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

[57] LESIAK 92 set a limit on the inclusive process B($b \rightarrow s\gamma$) $< 2.8 \times 10^{-3}$ at 90% CL for the range of masses of 892–2045 MeV, independent of assumptions about s-quark hadronization.

$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{33}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<8.3 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ALBRECHT	88H	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(K_2(1770)\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{34}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.2 \times 10^{-3}$	90	[58] LESIAK	92	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$

[58] LESIAK 92 set a limit on the inclusive process $B(b \to s\gamma) < 2.8 \times 10^{-3}$ at 90% CL for the range of masses of 892–2045 MeV, independent of assumptions about s-quark hadronization.

$\Gamma(K_3^*(1780)\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{35}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<3.0 \times 10^{-3}$	90	ALBRECHT	88H	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(K_4^*(2045)\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{36}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	90	[59] LESIAK	92	CBAL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$

[59] LESIAK 92 set a limit on the inclusive process $B(b \to s\gamma) < 2.8 \times 10^{-3}$ at 90% CL for the range of masses of 892–2045 MeV, independent of assumptions about s-quark hadronization.

$\Gamma(\pi^\pm \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{37}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.585±0.025±0.070	[60] ALBRECHT	93I	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$

[60] ALBRECHT 93 excludes $\pi^\pm$ from K_S^0 and Λ decays. If included, they find 4.105 ± 0.025 ± 0.080.

$\Gamma(\rho^0 \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{38}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.208±0.042±0.032	ALBRECHT	94J	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\omega \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{39}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.81	90	ALBRECHT	94J	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\phi \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{40}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.035 ±0.007 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.8.			
0.0390±0.0030±0.0035	ALBRECHT	94J	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
0.023 ±0.006 ±0.005	BORTOLETTO	86	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\text{charmed-baryon anything})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{41}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.064±0.008±0.008		[61] CRAWFORD	92	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.14 ±0.09		[62] ALBRECHT	88E	ARG	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$
<0.112	90	[63] ALAM	87	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$

[61] CRAWFORD 92 result derived from lepton baryon correlations. Assumes all charmed baryons in B^0 and $B^\pm$ decay are Λ_c.

[62] ALBRECHT 88E measured $B(B \to \Lambda_c^+ X)\cdot B(\Lambda_c^+ \to pK^-\pi^+) = (0.30 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.06)\%$ and used $B(\Lambda_c^+ \to pK^-\pi^+) = (2.2 \pm 1.0)\%$ from ABRAMS 80 to obtain above number.

[63] Assuming all baryons result from charmed baryons, ALAM 86 conclude the branching fraction is 7.4 ± 2.9%. The limit given above is model independent.

$\Gamma(\overline{\Sigma}_c^{--} \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{42}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.0048±0.0024±0.0006	77	[64] PROCARIO	94	CLE2	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$

[64] PROCARIO 94 reports $[B(B \to \overline{\Sigma}_c^{--} \text{anything}) \times B(\Lambda_c^+ \to pK^-\pi^+)] = 0.00021 \pm 0.00008 \pm 0.00007$. We divide by our best value $B(\Lambda_c^+ \to pK^-\pi^+) = (4.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(\overline{\Sigma}_c^- \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{43}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.011	90	[65] PROCARIO	94	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

[65] PROCARIO 94 reports [B($B \rightarrow \overline{\Sigma}_c^-$ anything) × B($\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+$)] = < 0.00048. We divide by our best value B($\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+$) = 0.044.

$\Gamma(\overline{\Sigma}_c^0 \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{44}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.0053±0.0024±0.0007	76	[66] PROCARIO	94	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

[66] PROCARIO 94 reports [B($B \rightarrow \overline{\Sigma}_c^0$anything) × B($\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+$)] = 0.00023 ± 0.00008 ± 0.00007. We divide by our best value B($\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+$) = (4.4 ± 0.6) × 10^{-2}. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(\overline{\Sigma}_c^0 N (N = p \text{ or } n))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{45}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.0017	90	[67] PROCARIO	94	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

[67] PROCARIO 94 reports < 0.0017 for B($\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+$) = 0.043. We rescale to our best value B($\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+$) = 0.044.

$[\Gamma(p \text{ anything}) + \Gamma(\overline{p} \text{ anything})]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{46}/Γ

Includes p and $\overline{p}$ from Λ and $\overline{\Lambda}$ decay.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.080±0.004 OUR AVERAGE					
0.080±0.005±0.005		ALBRECHT	93I	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
0.080±0.005±0.003		CRAWFORD	92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
$0.082\pm0.005^{+0.013}_{-0.010}$	2163	[68] ALBRECHT	89K	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
>0.021		[69] ALAM	83B	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

[68] ALBRECHT 89K include direct and nondirect protons.

[69] ALAM 83B reported their result as > 0.036 ± 0.006 ± 0.009. Data are consistent with equal yields of p and $\overline{p}$. Using assumed yields below cut, B($B \rightarrow p$+ X) = 0.03 not including protons from Λ decays.

$[\Gamma(p(\text{direct}) \text{ anything}) + \Gamma(\overline{p}(\text{direct}) \text{ anything})]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{47}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.055±0.005 OUR AVERAGE					
0.055±0.005±0.0035		ALBRECHT	93I	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
0.056±0.006±0.005		CRAWFORD	92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
0.055±0.016	1220	[70] ALBRECHT	89K	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

[70] ALBRECHT 89K subtract contribution of Λ decay from the inclusive proton yield.

$[\Gamma(\Lambda \text{ anything}) + \Gamma(\overline{\Lambda} \text{ anything})]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{48}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.040±0.005 OUR AVERAGE					
0.038±0.004±0.006	2998	CRAWFORD	92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
0.042±0.005±0.006	943	ALBRECHT	89K	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
>0.011		[71] ALAM	83B	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

[71] ALAM 83B reported their result as > 0.022 ± 0.007 ± 0.004. Values are for (B(ΛX)+B($\overline{\Lambda}$X))/2. Data are consistent with equal yields of p and $\overline{p}$. Using assumed yields below cut, B($B \rightarrow \Lambda$X) = 0.03.

$[\Gamma(\Xi^- \text{ anything}) + \Gamma(\overline{\Xi}^+ \text{ anything})]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{49}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.0027±0.0006 OUR AVERAGE					
0.0027±0.0005±0.0004	147	CRAWFORD	92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
0.0028±0.0014	54	ALBRECHT	89K	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\text{baryons anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{50}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.068±0.005±0.003	[72] ALBRECHT	92O	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.076±0.014	[73] ALBRECHT	89K	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

[72] ALBRECHT 92O result is from simultaneous analysis of p and Λ yields, $p\overline{p}$ and $\Lambda\overline{p}$ correlations, and various lepton-baryon and lepton-baryon-antibaryon correlations. Supersedes ALBRECHT 89K.

[73] ALBRECHT 89K obtain this result by adding their their measurements (5.5 ± 1.6)% for direct protons and (4.2 ± 0.5 ± 0.6)% for inclusive Λ production. They then assume (5.5 ± 1.6)% for neutron production and add it in also. Since each B decay has two baryons, they divide by 2 to obtain (7.6 ± 1.4)%.

$\Gamma(p\overline{p}\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{51}/Γ

Includes p and $\overline{p}$ from Λ and $\overline{\Lambda}$ decay.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.0247±0.0023 OUR AVERAGE					
0.024 ±0.001 ±0.004		CRAWFORD	92	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
0.025 ±0.002 ±0.002	918	ALBRECHT	89K	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(p\overline{p}\text{anything})/[\Gamma(p\text{anything})+\Gamma(\overline{p}\text{anything})]$ Γ_{51}/Γ_{46}

Includes p and $\overline{p}$ from Λ and $\overline{\Lambda}$ decay.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.30±0.02±0.05	[74] CRAWFORD	92	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

[74] CRAWFORD 92 value is not independent of their $\Gamma(p\overline{p}\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ value.

$[\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{p}\text{anything})+\Gamma(\overline{\Lambda}p\text{anything})]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{52}/Γ

Includes p and $\overline{p}$ from Λ and $\overline{\Lambda}$ decay.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.025±0.004 OUR AVERAGE					
0.029±0.005±0.005		CRAWFORD	92	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
0.023±0.004±0.003	165	ALBRECHT	89K	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

$[\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{p}\text{anything})+\Gamma(\overline{\Lambda}p\text{anything})]/[\Gamma(\Lambda\text{anything})+\Gamma(\overline{\Lambda}\text{anything})]$ Γ_{52}/Γ_{48}

Includes p and $\overline{p}$ from Λ and $\overline{\Lambda}$ decay.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.76±0.11±0.08	[75] CRAWFORD	92	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

[75] CRAWFORD 92 value is not independent of their $[\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{p}\text{anything})+\Gamma(\overline{\Lambda}p\text{anything})]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ value.

$\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{53}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.005	90		CRAWFORD	92	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<0.0088	90	12	ALBRECHT	89K	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}\text{anything})/[\Gamma(\Lambda\text{anything})+\Gamma(\overline{\Lambda}\text{anything})]$ Γ_{53}/Γ_{48}

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.13	90	[76] CRAWFORD	92	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

[76] CRAWFORD 92 value is not independent of their $\Gamma(\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ value.

$\Gamma(e^+e^-\text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{54}/Γ

Test for $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.05	90	BEBEK	81	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-\text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{55}/Γ

Test for $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.017	90	CHADWICK	81	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

*CITATION: L. Montanet et al., Physical Review D50, 1173 (1994) and 1995 off-year partial update for the 1996 edition (URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov/)

$\left[\Gamma(e^+ e^- \text{anything}) + \Gamma(\mu^+ \mu^- \text{anything})\right]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $(\Gamma_{54}+\Gamma_{55})/\Gamma$

Test for $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.0024	90	[77] BEAN	87	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.0062	90	[78] AVERY	84	CLEO	Repl. by BEAN 87

[77] BEAN 87 reports $[(\mu^+ \mu^-)+(e^+ e^-)]/2$ and we converted it.

[78] Determine ratio of B^+ to B^0 semileptonic decays to be in the range 0.25–2.9.

$B^{\pm}/B^0$ ADMIXTURE REFERENCES

ALBRECHT	94C	ZPHY C62 371	+Ehrlichmann, Hamacher, Hofmann+	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	94J	ZPHY C61 1	+Ehrlichmann, Hamacher, Hofmann+	(ARGUS Collab.)
PROCARIO	94	PRL 73 1306	+Balest, Cho, Daoudi, Ford+	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	93	ZPHY C57 533	+Ehrlichmann, Hamacher, Hofmann+	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	93E	ZPHY C60 11	+Ehrlichmann, Hamacher, Hofmann+	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	93H	PL B318 397	+Ehrlichmann, Hamacher, Hofmann+	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	93I	ZPHY C58 191	+Cronstroem, Ehrlichmann, Hamacher+	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALEXANDER	93B	PL B319 365	+Bebek, Berkelman, Bloom, Browder+	(CLEO Collab.)
ARTUSO	93	PL B311 307		(SYRA)
BARTELT	93B	PRL 71 4111	+Csorna, Egyed, Jain, Akerib+	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	92E	PL B277 209	+Ehrlichmann, Hamacher, Krueger, Nau+	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	92G	ZPHY C54 1	+Ehrlichmann, Hamacher, Krueger, Nau+	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	92O	ZPHY C56 1	+Cronstroem, Ehrlichmann+	(ARGUS Collab.)
BORTOLETTO	92	PR D45 21	+Brown, Dominick, McIlwain+	(CLEO Collab.)
CRAWFORD	92	PR D45 752	+Fulton, Jensen, Johnson+	(CLEO Collab.)
HENDERSON	92	PR D45 2212	+Kinoshita, Pipkin, Procario+	(CLEO Collab.)
LESIAK	92	ZPHY C55 33	+Antreasyan, Bartels, Besset, Bieler+	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
ALBRECHT	91C	PL B255 297	+Ehrlichmann, Glaeser, Harder, Krueger+	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	91H	ZPHY C52 353	+Ehrlichmann, Hamacher, Harder+	(ARGUS Collab.)
FULTON	91	PR D43 651	+Jensen, Johnson, Kagan, Kass+	(CLEO Collab.)
YANAGISAWA	91	PRL 66 2436	+Heintz, Lee-Franzini, Lovelock, Narain+	(CUSB II Collab.)
ALBRECHT	90	PL B234 409	+Glaeser, Harder, Krueger+	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	90H	PL B249 359	+Ehrlichmann, Glaeser, Harder, Krueger+	(Argus Collab.)
BORTOLETTO	90	PRL 64 2117	+Goldberg, Horwitz, Jain, Mestayer+	(CLEO Collab.)
Also	92	PR D45 21	Bortoletto, Brown, Dominick, McIlwain+	(CLEO Collab.)
FULTON	90	PRL 64 16	+Hempstead, Jensen, Johnson+	(CLEO Collab.)
MASCHMANN	90	ZPHY C46 555	+Antreasyan, Bartels, Besset+	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
PDG	90	PL B239	Hernandez, Stone, Porter+	(IFIC, BOST, CIT+)
ALBRECHT	89K	ZPHY C42 519	+Boeckmann, Glaeser, Harder+	(ARGUS Collab.)
ISGUR	89B	PR D39 799	+Scora, Grinstein, Wise	(TNTO, CIT)
WACHS	89	ZPHY C42 33	+Antreasyan, Bartels, Bieler+	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
ALBRECHT	88E	PL B210 263	+Boeckmann, Glaeser+	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	88H	PL B210 258	+Boeckmann, Glaeser+	(ARGUS Collab.)
KOERNER	88	ZPHY C38 511	+Schuler	(MANZ, DESY)
ALAM	87	PRL 59 22	+Kitukama, Kim, Li+	(CLEO Collab.)
ALAM	87B	PRL 58 1814	+Katayama, Kim, Sun+	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	87D	PL B199 451	+Andam, Binder, Boeckmann+	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	87H	PL B187 425	+Binder, Boeckmann, Glaser+	(ARGUS Collab.)
BEAN	87	PR D35 3533	+Bobbink, Brock, Engler+	(CLEO Collab.)
BEHRENDS	87	PRL 59 407	+Morrow, Guida, Guida+	(CLEO Collab.)
BORTOLETTO	87	PR D35 19	+Chen, Garren, Goldberg+	(CLEO Collab.)
ALAM	86	PR D34 3279	+Katayama, Kim, Sun+	(CLEO Collab.)
BALTRUSAIT...	86E	PRL 56 2140	Baltrusaitis, Becker, Blaylock, Brown+	(Mark III Collab.)
BORTOLETTO	86	PRL 56 800	+Chen, Garren, Goldberg+	(CLEO Collab.)
HAAS	86	PRL 56 2781	+Hempstead, Jensen, Kagan+	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	85H	PL 162B 395	+Binder, Harder+	(ARGUS Collab.)
CSORNA	85	PRL 54 1894	+Garren, Mestayer, Panvini+	(CLEO Collab.)
HAAS	85	PRL 55 1248	+Hempstead, Jensen, Kagan+	(CLEO Collab.)
AVERY	84	PRL 53 1309	+Bebek, Berkelman, Cassel+	(CLEO Collab.)
CHEN	84	PRL 52 1084	+Goldberg, Horwitz, Jawahery+	(CLEO Collab.)
LEVMAN	84	PL 141B 271	+Sreedhar, Han, Imlay+	(CUSB Collab.)
ALAM	83B	PRL 51 1143	+Csorna, Garren, Mestayer+	(CLEO Collab.)
GREEN	83	PRL 51 347	+Hicks, Sannes, Skubic+	(CLEO Collab.)
KLOPFEN...	83B	PL 130B 444	Klopfenstein, Horstkotte+	(CUSB Collab.)
ALTARELLI	82	NP B208 365	+Cabibbo, Corbo, Maini, Martinelli	(ROMA, INFN, FRAS)
BRODY	82	PRL 48 1070	+Chen, Goldberg, Horwitz+	(CLEO Collab.)
GIANNINI	82	NP B206 1	+Finocchiaro, Franzini+	(CUSB Collab.)
BEBEK	81	PRL 46 84	+Haggerty, izen, Longuemare+	(CLEO Collab.)
CHADWICK	81	PRL 46 88	+Ganci, Kagar, Kass+	(CLEO Collab.)
ABRAMS	80	PRL 44 10	+Alam, Blocker, Boyarski+	(SLAC, LBL)