



$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(0^+)$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Needs confirmation. See the mini-review on scalar mesons under  $f_0(600)$  (see the index for the page number).

### $K_0^*(800)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>676 ± 40</b>	<b>OUR AVERAGE</b>	Error includes scale factor of 2.9.		
849 ± 77 <sup>+18</sup> / <sub>-14</sub>	1421	1,2 ABLIKIM	10E BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp \pi^0$
841 ± 30 <sup>+81</sup> / <sub>-73</sub>	25k	3,4 ABLIKIM	06C BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^+ \pi^-$
658 ± 13		5 DESCOTES-G..06	RVUE	$\pi K \rightarrow \pi K$
797 ± 19 ± 43	15k	6,7 AITALA	02 E791	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
663 ± 8 ± 34		8 BUGG	10 RVUE	S-matrix pole
706.0 ± 1.8 ± 22.8	141k	9 BONVICINI	08A CLEO	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
856 ± 17 ± 13	54k	10 LINK	07B FOCS	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
750 <sup>+30</sup> / <sub>-55</sub>		11 BUGG	06 RVUE	
855 ± 15	0.6k	12 CAWLFIELD	06A CLEO	$D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
694 ± 53		2,13 ZHOU	06 RVUE	$K p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
753 ± 52		14 PELAEZ	04A RVUE	$K \pi \rightarrow K \pi$
594 ± 79		13 ZHENG	04 RVUE	$K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
722 ± 60		15 BUGG	03 RVUE	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
905 <sup>+65</sup> / <sub>-30</sub>		16 ISHIDA	97B RVUE	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$

<sup>1</sup> From a fit including ten additional resonances and energy-independent Breit-Wigner width.

<sup>2</sup> S-matrix pole.

<sup>3</sup> S-matrix pole. GUO 06 in a chiral unitary approach report a mass of  $757 \pm 33$  MeV and a width of  $558 \pm 82$  MeV.

<sup>4</sup> A fit in the  $K_0^*(800) + K^*(892) + K^*(1410)$  model with mass and width of the  $K_0^*(800)$  from ABLIKIM 06C well describes the left slope of the  $K_S^0 \pi^-$  invariant mass spectrum in  $\tau^- \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \nu_\tau$  decay studied by EPIFANOV 07.

<sup>5</sup> S-matrix pole. Using Roy-Steiner equations (ROY 71) as well as unitarity, analyticity and crossing symmetry constraints.

<sup>6</sup> Not seen by KOPP 01 using 7070 events of  $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$ . LINK 02E and LINK 05I show clear evidence for a constant non-resonant scalar amplitude rather than  $K_0^*(800)$  in their high statistics analysis of  $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ .

<sup>7</sup> AUBERT 07T does not find evidence for the charged  $K_0^*(800)$  using 11k events of  $D^0 \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^0$ .

<sup>8</sup> S-Matrix pole. Supersedes BUGG 06. Combined analysis of ASTON 88, ABLIKIM 06C, AITALA 06, and LINK 09 using an s-dependent width with couplings to  $K \pi$  and  $K \eta'$ , and the Adler zero near thresholds.

<sup>9</sup> T-matrix pole.

- 10 A Breit-Wigner mass and width.  
 11 S-matrix pole. Reanalysis of ASTON 88, AITALA 02, and ABLIKIM 06C using for the  $\kappa$  an s-dependent width with an Adler zero near threshold.  
 12 Breit-Wigner parameters. A significant S-wave can be also modeled as a non-resonant contribution.  
 13 Using ASTON 88.  
 14 T-matrix pole. Reanalysis of data from LINGLIN 73, ESTABROOKS 78, and ASTON 88 in the unitarized ChPT model.  
 15 T-matrix pole. Reanalysis of ASTON 88 data.  
 16 Reanalysis of ASTON 88 using interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes.

## $K_0^*(800)$ WIDTH

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>548 ± 24</b>	<b>OUR AVERAGE</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
512 ± 80	$\begin{matrix} + 92 \\ - 44 \end{matrix}$	1421	17,18	ABLIKIM 10E BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp \pi^0$
618 ± 90	$\begin{matrix} + 96 \\ - 144 \end{matrix}$	25k	17,19	ABLIKIM 06C BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^+ \pi^-$
557 ± 24		20	DESCOTES-G..06	RVUE $\pi K \rightarrow \pi K$
410 ± 43	± 87	15k	21,22	AITALA 02 E791 $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
658 ± 10	± 44	23	BUGG 10	RVUE S-matrix pole
638.8 ± 4.4	± 40.4	141k	24	BONVICINI 08A CLEO $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
464 ± 28	± 22	54k	25	LINK 07B FOCS $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
684 ± 120		26	BUGG 06	RVUE
251 ± 48	0.6k	27	CAWLFIELD 06A	CLEO $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
606 ± 59		17,28	ZHOU 06	RVUE $K p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
470 ± 66		29	PELAEZ 04A	RVUE $K \pi \rightarrow K \pi$
724 ± 332		28	ZHENG 04	RVUE $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
772 ± 100		30	BUGG 03	RVUE $11 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
545 $\begin{matrix} + 235 \\ - 110 \end{matrix}$		31	ISHIDA 97B	RVUE $11 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$

- 17 S-matrix pole.  
 18 From a fit including ten additional resonances and energy-independent Breit-Wigner width.  
 19 A fit in the  $K_0^*(800) + K^*(892) + K^*(1410)$  model with mass and width of the  $K_0^*(800)$  from ABLIKIM 06C well describes the left slope of the  $K_S^0 \pi^-$  invariant mass spectrum in  $\tau^- \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \nu_\tau$  decay studied by EPIFANOV 07.  
 20 S-matrix pole. Using Roy-Steiner equations (ROY 71) as well as unitarity, analyticity and crossing symmetry constraints.  
 21 Not seen by KOPP 01 using 7070 events of  $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$ . LINK 02E and LINK 05I show clear evidence for a constant non-resonant scalar amplitude rather than  $K_0^*(800)$  in their high statistics analysis of  $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ .  
 22 AUBERT 07T does not find evidence for the charged  $K_0^*(800)$  using 11k events of  $D^0 \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^0$ .  
 23 S-Matrix pole. Supersedes BUGG 06. Combined analysis of ASTON 88, ABLIKIM 06C, AITALA 06, and LINK 09 using an s-dependent width with couplings to  $K \pi$  and  $K \eta'$ , and the Adler zero near thresholds.  
 24 T-matrix pole.  
 25 A Breit-Wigner mass and width.

- <sup>26</sup> S-matrix pole. Reanalysis of ASTON 88, AITALA 02, and ABLIKIM 06C using for the  $\kappa$  an  $s$ -dependent width with an Adler zero near threshold.
- <sup>27</sup> Statistical error only. A fit to the Dalitz plot including the  $K_0^*(800)^\pm$ ,  $K^*(892)^\pm$ , and  $\phi$  resonances modeled as Breit-Wigners. A significant S-wave can be also modeled as a non-resonant contribution.
- <sup>28</sup> Using ASTON 88.
- <sup>29</sup> T-matrix pole. Reanalysis of data from LINGLIN 73, ESTABROOKS 78, and ASTON 88 in the unitarized ChPT model.
- <sup>30</sup> T-matrix pole. Reanalysis of ASTON 88 data.
- <sup>31</sup> Reanalysis of ASTON 88 using interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes.

---

### $K_0^*(800)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	10E	PL B693 88	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES II Collab.)
BUGG	10	PR D81 014002	D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
LINK	09	PL B681 14	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
BONVICINI	08A	PR D78 052001	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	07T	PR D76 011102R	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
EPIFANOV	07	PL B654 65	D. Epifanov <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LINK	07B	PL B653 1	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06C	PL B633 681	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
AITALA	06	PR D73 032004	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
Also		PR D74 059901 (errata.)	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
BUGG	06	PL B632 471	D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
CAWLFIELD	06A	PR D74 031108R	C. Cawfield <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
DESCOTES-G...	06	EPJ C48 553	S. Descotes-Genon, B. Moussallam	
GUO	06	NP A773 78	F.K. Guo <i>et al.</i>	
ZHOU	06	NP A775 212	Z.Y. Zhou, H.Q. Zheng	
LINK	05I	PL B621 72	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
PELAEZ	04A	MPL A19 2879	J.R. Pelaez	
ZHENG	04	NP A733 235	H.Q. Zheng <i>et al.</i>	
BUGG	03	PL B572 1	D.V. Bugg	
AITALA	02	PRL 89 121801	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
LINK	02E	PL B535 43	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
KOPP	01	PR D63 092001	S. Kopp <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ISHIDA	97B	PTP 98 621	S. Ishida <i>et al.</i>	
ASTON	88	NP B296 493	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
ESTABROOKS	78	NP B133 490	P.G. Estabrooks <i>et al.</i>	(MCGI, CARL, DURH+)
LINGLIN	73	NP B55 408	D. Linglin	(CERN)
ROY	71	PL 36B 353	S.M. Roy	

---